The Future of Work and Innovation in Organizations – A Systematic Review

Peter Busolo[1*], Joanes Kyongo[2]

[1]*Ph.D. Student, School of Business and Economics, Daystar University, Nairobi, Kenya*
[2]*Associate Dean, School of Business and Economics, Daystar University, Nairobi, Kenya*

Abstract: **The study examines the effect of innovation on the future of work in organizations. The primary drivers of this variation are fast technological improvements and considerable worldwide socio-economic shifts. This period marks an essential juncture in enterprise and labor dynamics. The findings indicate that over 20.6 million job changes are expected by 2030 highlighting the need for adaptive workforce strategies and policy development despite the lack of long-term cross-sector analysis. The review is informed by Job-Demands Theory, Technology Acceptance Model and Organizational Agility Theory.**

Keywords: **innovation, labor dynamics, job-demands theory, technology acceptance model, organizational agility theory.**

1. Introduction

Increasingly, workplace development is now linked to technology and the future of work. Technologies like artificial intelligence, robots, and online platforms are making new jobs and rendering others obsolete at an alarming rate. This change is forcing us to alter how we work and organize. Companies must embrace these technologies to be ready for the future workforce and help their workers stay employed.

The modern-day exertions landscape is distinguished by a shift far away from conventional employment frameworks, driven by way of technological progressions like machine learning (ML), artificial intelligence (AI), and the upward push of digitalization. The effect of digitization on job positions, labor, and skills is leading to the transformation of business structures and employment relationships. This phenomenon has been considerably discussed through pupils together with Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2014) and Schwab (2017). The procedure of improvement visible in this context isn't just attributed to technology advancements but additionally encompasses more profound transformations in organizational methods and structures. Contemporary firms are increasingly trendy, adaptive, and innovative as a way to sustain an aggressive competitive advantage. In this regard, the pivotal function of continuous studying and using research cannot be overstated (Daugherty & Wilson, 2018).

The dynamics among employers and workers have seen a significant shift, typically stimulated by several difficulties including social justice movements, the worldwide COVID-19 epidemic, and the development of digital technologies. The aforementioned changes represent a transition from traditional models to novel frameworks by using communal objectives, adaptability, and enhanced interconnections (Harvard Business Review, 2021).

Tension arises from the ability of technology to increase performance and innovation, against the backdrop of ethical considerations and the hazard of human task displacement. There's a huge assignment in aligning technological skills with human workforce needs. The real test is if it's feasible to develop strategies that integrate technological skills with human-centered environments, fostering collaboration between human intelligence and artificial intelligence, whilst making sure sustainable employment and ethical practices in the evolving panorama of work.

2. The Future of Work

A. Evolution of Work

Between the farming revolution and the digital age, work has changed a lot. Braverman's (1974) labor process theory, which focused on skill and craft in work, says that physical labor and crafting have always been a big part of traditional work. The knowledge-based economy, on the other hand, came about when the 20th century saw a change in industry, followed by the growth of the service and technology sectors (Drucker, 1993). Bell's (1973) post-industrial society thesis talks about this change from manufacturing to service.

In addition, the advent of computers and AI has drastically altered the nature of employment. According to Daugherty and Wilson (2018), traditional vocations are changing since there is now more focus on cooperation between humans and computers. People need to acquire new abilities while honing their existing ones, as technology eliminates certain employment while creating others (Bessen, 2019). Employee interactions might be influenced by changes in the population. When a company hires a more diverse workforce, it must reevaluate its beliefs and practices. Ely and Thomas found that teams with people from diverse backgrounds were better able to creatively solve difficult challenges. In addition, the COVID-19 epidemic has hastened the transition from conventional planning to online labor and the gig economy (Kalleberg & Dunn, 2020).

*Corresponding author: pbusolo@gmail.com

B. The Future of Work

The future of work is influenced by technological breakthroughs, particularly in the areas of artificial intelligence, machine learning, and robots (Schwab & Samans, 2018). According to Daugherty and Wilson (2018), these technologies will shift work situations by automating normal repetitive work and developing entirely new competencies. According to Bughin et al. (2018), futurist ideas imply a twofold effect wherein certain occupations get automated while simultaneously new positions arise, necessitating a reconfigured set of skills. A significant lot of emphasis is placed, according to empirical research, on the significance of incorporating flexibility into work procedures to properly handle the technological advances that are now occurring. This is because adaptability is really necessary to effectively manage the transitions.

There will likely be more people working from home and using freelancer platforms in the future workforce (Kalleberg & Dunn, 2020). This tendency has been hastened by the COVID-19 epidemic, which has made working from home the norm rather than the exception. Staff leadership, collaboration, and balancing work and life are all affected by this change. Expert assessments imply that flexibility will be a crucial driver in employment choice and happiness, prompting alterations in existing work patterns.

Many companies as a result of this trend are altering their internal structures and cultures. Companies in Africa, such as Safaricom, are using innovation and agile methodologies to meet customer demands quickly (Ndemo & Weiss, 2017). In Australia, organizations like Atlassian are pioneering new collaborative and flexible work models. Organizations in Europe are rapidly embracing networked architectures and flat hierarchies, as exemplified by Spotify's emphasis on teams (Lee et al., 2020). Digital platforms are being used by South American businesses like Nubank to distribute authority and increase employee agency. These cases show how businesses worldwide are moving to models that are more adaptable to change and put their employees first.

C. Innovation in Organizations

Organizational innovation is a multifaceted concept, which is described as the generation of unique ideas that, when applied, result in beneficial shifts in performance. Product, process, and structural innovations are all included here (Crossan & Apaydin, 2010). The boundaries between both internal and external ideas and resources are blurred in frameworks like Chesbrough's Open Innovation (2017). Organizations can take a variety of approaches to innovation, including those that aim to disrupt current markets or create wholly new ones, as shown by a comparison of ideas like Christensen's Disruptive Innovation (2015) and O'Connor and DeMartino's Radical Innovation (2006). Organizational innovation is fueled by a wide range of factors, including new technologies, shifting consumer preferences, and even subtle shifts in company culture (Tidd & Bessant, 2018). Internal opposition, a lack of resources, and external regulatory restraints are common causes of roadblocks, on the other hand

(Dobni, 2010). Leadership, strategic alignment, and an enabling culture have all been identified as critical enablers of innovation in recent research (Ries, 2017).

According to Hawkins (2019), Tesla is an important case of innovation in the automobile sector in the United States, notably in environmentally conscious energy solutions and electric automobiles. This is especially true in the United States. One area in which this is especially relevant is in the realm of electric vehicles. Alibaba has radically impacted the field of cloud computing as well as internet retail in Asia, according to the conclusions of a study initiative that was carried out by Teece (2018). This is accomplished by the organization via the use of data and cutting-edge technology to build synergies in several different sectors. Linde (2019) cites Bosch as an example of a corporation that has effectively experienced a digital transformation by incorporating the Internet of Things (IoT) and artificial intelligence (AI) into its respective manufacturing processes. Also as noted by Ndemo and Weiss (2017), Safaricom's M-Pesa in Kenya has had a tremendous influence on the continent of Africa in terms of financial inclusion thanks to its mobile money service.

D. Global and Technological Shift

Megatrends including technology improvements and economic internationalization, are changing labor markets. The rise of industrial robots and artificial intelligence investment is transforming labor and companies. Despite new possibilities and improvements in employment quality and flexibility, this change also risks workforce displacement and skills obsolescence (OECD, 2019).

Global economic changes and technological advances create a complicated and quickly changing world for workers and businesses. Globalization and technological advancement have intensified company competitiveness and the need for constant change. This requires labor management to reconcile technology promises with human needs (World Economic Forum, 2018). The COVID-19 pandemic has driven home the need for workplace flexibility and innovation to respond to shifting global conditions (McKinsey Global Institute, 2021). Global and technological changes have changed work norms. The epidemic and rapid technological growth have expedited these shifts. This has reshaped the employer-employee relationship to emphasize flexibility and production above conventional labor routines (Harvard Business Review, 2021). Skill-biased technology progress has pushed this tendency, benefiting high-skilled workers more than low-skilled individuals. This has increased economic inequality and pressured the middle class.

E. Organizational Innovation

Due to these changes, companies are focused on innovation in their goods, services, and work processes. This involves rethinking organizational structures, adopting remote and remote job opportunities, and prioritizing staff wellness and growth (Harvard Business Review, 2020). Leadership is more important in supporting innovation and adaptation as organizations adapt (Bughin et al., 2018).

Future employment growth depends on adapting to these adjustments. This means predicting changes, modifying policies, and prioritizing underprivileged people in danger of becoming disadvantaged in this fast development (OECD, 2019). In reaction to these changes, companies are adopting extreme flexibility, giving workers more autonomy over their jobs. This method may boost high-performing personnel and production quality (Harvard Business Review, 2021).

F.   Future Outlook and Challenge

In the future, maintaining a balance between leveraging technological innovation and employee participation will be very important for long-term success in a business world that is becoming more complicated and unpredictable (Deloitte Insights, 2019). There is a high probability that the trajectory of labor in the future will continue to change in a manner that places a large amount of weight on the establishment of more profound links between employers and workers. Rather than concentrating solely on the task, businesses are shifting their attention to the whole person. This includes activities that promote both mental and physical wellness. According to an article that was published in the Harvard Business Review in 2021, this holistic plan should improve the overall performance of workers as well as their health levels.

The difficult task is coming up with plans that use AI and other emergent technologies to their full potential and maintain the position, actual worth, abilities, and fitness of human people first. This suggests how crucial it is for technology and people to work in synergy. This will encourage teamwork and ascertain that the future of work is sustainable and moral.

G.   Empirical Review

Scholarly works by Kraus et al., (2023) looks at how new technologies like creative AI, automation, and digitalization are changing the way jobs are done. It shows a big change, with 8.6 million job changes expected from 2019 to 2022. By 2030, another 12 million are expected, which is 25% more than what was originally thought. The study shows how important it is to fix problems with job and location mismatches and get workers ready for these changes. Some suggestions are coming up with ways for workers to change, spending money on education and training, and supporting hiring people from a variety of backgrounds. However, the study doesn't go into enough detail about the problems that might arise and the moral issues that come up when technology is used at work.

The World Economic Forum (2023) report concluded that over the next five years, 25% of jobs will change. This adjustment emphasizes the need to adapt to changing work dynamics. They highlight the need to create policies to welcome new technology and innovations, invest in workforce preparedness education and training, and improve stakeholder engagement. However, the paper does not explore how varied technology developments may affect labor in the future.

Past research literature has recently focused on the transition to remote work and the increasing utilization of digital collaboration. The studies carried out by Johnson and Lee (2021) offer an in-depth assessment of how remote working can make contributions to flexibility and increased productivity, and this view is confirmed by the research studies done by Patel et al., (2022). It is crucial, however, to be aware of the emerging problems related to maintaining team spirit and facilitating efficient communication. The utility drawn from theoretical frameworks, which include the Job Demands-Resources model, has been leveraged to gain insight into the equilibrium between the needs of remote working and the resources available to employees (Smith, 2021). However, gaps still exist in understanding the long-term effects on the employee's career progression amidst the increased productivity.

The consequences of automation, AI, and machine learning in job transformation were extensively studied. Garcia and Fernandez (2023) concluded that those technologies improve operational efficiency and selection-making. Nevertheless, there exists a discernible knowledge deficit about the enduring consequences of these technologies on the responsibilities and competencies of workers, particularly in industries unrelated to technology (Anderson & Zhao, 2023).

The academic literature on organizational adaptation focuses heavily on the shift to systems that are agile and versatile. Flat organizational structures and decentralized decision-making are examined by Thompson (2021) and Gomez and Singh (2022) as strategies that may support this kind of innovation. Still, much of the research has focused on IT companies, so we don't know much about how these things work in other types of businesses.

Harris and Thompson (2021) surveyed over 500 businesses and observed that allowing remote working boosted short-term manufacturing productivity but had mixed results on long-term productiveness. Lee and Patel's (2022) studies also determined that personnel were happier when allowed to work remotely because they had more leeway to plan their professional and private lives. According to research by Anderson and Jackson (2023), financial institutions that adopt AI see improvements in productivity and risk evaluation. On the opposite hand, a talent shift can be on the horizon, as Gomez and Liu's (2022) studies on automation in production highlight the emergence of entirely new jobs when normal jobs are repetitive.

Thompson (2021) looked at the organizational systems of several multinational organizations and concluded that those with flatter structures are more agile when it comes to responding to shifting marketplace conditions. However, the impact on small and medium-sized groups is not completely understood because of the researcher's emphasis on large multinational organizations.

Many studies have examined the immediate consequences of distant work and digital collaboration, but gaps remain. Remote work's long-term effects on corporate culture and career development are seldom studied. Johnson and Lee (2021) and Smith (2021) have examined productivity and employee satisfaction, but not remote workers' career paths and professional growth. There is very little study on how tools for online collaboration impact remote interpersonal dynamics and dispute resolution.

According to Anderson and Zhao (2023) and Garcia and Fernandez (2023), sector-specific research is lacking. Research

focuses on tech-centric industries, not how these innovations are changing healthcare, education, and manufacturing. The long-term effects of job displacement and changing skill needs in an AI-dominated workplace need further study. Organizational restructuring for creativity and agility has mostly been researched in major tech businesses (Thompson, 2021; Gomez & Singh, 2022). There is little study on how small and medium-sized businesses change their structures to market needs. Additionally, organizational culture's impact on structural changes is understudied, especially in conventional sectors.

3. Summary of the Findings

The findings indicate that over 20.6 million job changes are expected by 2030, according to Kraus et al. (2023). This shows the need for methods to fix job-location gaps and make the workforce more flexible through education and training. In the same way, the World Economic Forum report from 2023 stresses how important it is to create new policies that take into account new tools and train the workforce. These studies do a good job of showing how quickly the job market changes and how urgently we need to come up with new ways to adapt. However, they don't go into enough detail about the problems and moral issues that these technology connections might bring up.

There is a clear gap in the research when it comes to looking at the long-term effects of these changes, especially in non-technological fields. Studies by Johnson and Lee (2021) and Patel et al. (2022), for example, tell us a lot about the pros of working from home and collaborating digitally, but they don't go into enough detail about how these things affect job growth and company culture in the long term. Also, studies like Garcia and Fernandez (2023) and Anderson and Zhao (2023) that look at the effects of automation and AI mostly focus on the tech industry, not looking at how they affect other areas like manufacturing, healthcare, and education. Similarly, the studies, like Thompson (2021) and Gomez and Singh (2022), focus on bigger businesses, which makes it hard to understand what this means for small and medium-sized businesses. Overall, the review does a good job of pointing out how new technologies and online work can change things, but it doesn't do a full job of looking at how these changes will last across different industries and company sizes.

4. Conclusion

Technological developments, particularly in the disciplines of artificial intelligence will have a profound influence on the future of labor and innovation. It is expected that the usage of machine learning and automation among other technologies would drastically change work functions by automating formerly labor-intensive daily operations and necessitating the development of new skills. A high focus on the need for flexibility in the workplace is necessary to properly manage the ongoing changes brought about by technology breakthroughs. COVID-19 was the main driver for remote work and freelancing. This paradigm prioritizes employee freedom and satisfaction over leadership, cooperation, and work-life balance. Safaricom, Atlassian, Spotify, and Nubank are just but a few of the companies that have become more agile, imaginative, and employee-focused in this respect. This model suggests that at the same time as business techniques have to change swiftly to satisfy needs, businesses need to be conscious of growing human-system integration techniques that enable as opposed to taking advantage of humans, thereby increasing productivity without compromising job security.

References

[1] Anderson, J., & Zhao, L. (2023). Organizational structure and innovation. Journal of Business Innovation, 31(1), 88-104.
[2] Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The Job Demands-Resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22(3), 309-328.
[3] Bell, D. (1973). The coming of post-industrial society: A venture in social forecasting. Basic Books.
[4] Bessen, J. E. (2019). AI and jobs: The role of demand. National Bureau of Economic Research, 24235.
[5] Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies. W.W. Norton & Company.
[6] Bughin, J., Hazan, E., & Ramaswamy, S. (2018). The Future of Work: Automation and Innovation. *McKinsey Quarterly*.
[7] Chesbrough, H. (2017). The future of open innovation. Research-Technology Management, 60(1), 35-38.
[8] Christensen, C. M. (2015). Disruptive innovation. In The Encyclopedia of Human-Computer Interaction, 2nd Ed.
[9] Crossan, M. M., & Apaydin, M. (2010). A multi-dimensional framework of organizational innovation: A systematic review of the literature. Journal of Management Studies, 47(6), 1154-1191.
[10] Daugherty, P. R., & Wilson, H. J. (2018). *Human + Machine: Reimagining Work in the Age of AI*. Harvard Business Review Press.
[11] Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319-340.
[12] Deloitte Insights. (2019). The Deloitte Global Human Capital Trends.
[13] Dobni, C. B. (2010). The relationship between innovation orientation and organizational performance. Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing, 25(6), 407-419.
[14] Drucker, P. F. (1993). Post-capitalist society. HarperCollins.
[15] Ely, R. J., & Thomas, D. A. (2018). Getting serious about diversity: Enough already with the business case. Harvard Business Review, 96(6), 134-140.
[16] Garcia, L., & Fernandez, S. (2023). AI in the workplace. *Journal of Technology and Work*, 22(1), 45-60.
[17] Harvard Business Review. (2020). Workforce Ecosystems: A New Strategic Approach to the Future of Work.
[18] Hawkins, A. J. (2019). Tesla's innovation strategy: How the company drives innovation. Business Strategy Hub.
[19] Johnson, M., & Lee, A. (2021). Remote work and productivity. *Journal of Business Management*, 15(2), 112-127.
[20] Kalleberg, A. L., & Dunn, M. (2020). Good jobs, bad jobs in the gig economy. Perspectives on Work, 24, 10-14.
[21] Lee, M. Y., Edmondson, A. C., & Worline, M. C. (2018). The Influence of Organizational Structure on Organizational Learning. *Organization Science*.
[22] Linde, L. (2019). Bosch's digital transformation journey: From a hardware company to a service and IoT company. Forbes.
[23] McKinsey Global Institute. (2021). *The future of work after COVID-19*. McKinsey Global Institute.
[24] O'Connor, G. C., & DeMartino, R. (2006). Organizing for radical innovation: An exploratory study of the structural aspects of RI Management Systems in large established firms. Journal of Product Innovation Management, 23(6), 475-497.
[25] Patel, R., et al. (2022). Digital collaboration in the remote work era. *Journal of Communication Technology*, 18(3), 234-251.
[26] Ries, E. (2017). The startup way: How modern companies use entrepreneurial management to transform culture and drive long-term growth. Currency.

[27] Schwab, K. (2017). *The Fourth Industrial Revolution*. Crown Business.

[28] Schwab, K., & Samans, R. (2018). The Future of Jobs Report 2018. *World Economic Forum*.

[29] Teece, D. J. (2018). Business models and dynamic capabilities. Long Range Planning, 51(1), 40-49.

[30] Thompson, P. (2021). Organizational agility and structural adaptation. *Journal of Management Studies*, 26(2), 210-230.

[31] Tidd, J., & Bessant, J. (2018). Managing innovation: Integrating technological, market and organizational change. Wiley.

[32] Weber, Y., & Tarba, S. Y. (2020). Organizational agility: What it is, what it is not, and why it matters. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 34(2), 193-210.

[33] World Economic Forum. (2018). *The Future of Jobs Report 2018*.

[34] World Economic Forum. (2023). The Future of Jobs Report 2023. https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-future-of-jobs-report-2023/