

# Digitalization of Micro Enterprises and Profitability: Evidence from Nigeria

Doris Mary Ngurumun Iorchir \*

*Department of Accounting, Federal Polytechnic Wannune, Benue State, Nigeria*

**Abstract:** This study investigates the potential positive correlation between profitability and the digitization of business processes in Nigerian micro-enterprises. Based on theory, businesses can achieve their goals of increased profitability, improved performance, growth, and competitive advantage by investing in the appropriate business resources. According to the Resource-based theory (RBT), digitalization is a composite business resource that includes the use of a variety of digital tools accessible for business purposes, such as ordering and purchasing, cash payments and collections, sales, marketing, customer management and meetings, workshops or conferences, and business research. For this study, a structured questionnaire instrument was deployed for primary data gathering across 555 micro-business managers in 36 Nigerian states as well as the Federal Capital Territory (FCT). Descriptive statistics and regression analysis of the data produced results that were consistent with those of multiple earlier studies. Results show that even after controlling for factors that could complicate successful digitalization, the study finds a positive correlation between digitalization and profitability, which is in line with its per-testing predictions. Relying on the findings of the study, it is suggested that Nigerian micro business owners and managers must fully embrace digitalization for improved profitability and overall business performance. For the Nigerian government, it is suggested that digitalization of business operations should be incorporated in any package for entrepreneurship development. The study significantly contributes to literature and enriches users' understanding of business digitalization and its impact on profitability, especially in the Nigerian context.

**Keywords:** Digitalization, Micro-enterprises, Obstacles, Profitability, Resource-based-view.

## 1. Introduction

It is globally recognized that Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) serve as pivotal drivers of socioeconomic transformation, act as catalysts for national economic growth and prosperity, and are significant contributors to job creation in developing nations (Tuyon et al., 2011; European Investment Bank, 2019; Blancher, 2019; Ghassibe et al., 2019; Brixiova, Kangoye & Yogo, 2020). A World Bank report indicates that the MSMEs sector comprises around 90% of businesses and generates over 50% of global employment (World Bank, 2019). According to the Small and Medium Enterprise Development Agency of Nigeria (SMEDAN) reports, the MSMEs sector in Nigeria employs approximately 84.02% of the national labor

force, including owners and the micro enterprises (MEs) sub sector contributes about 95.1% of the jobs (SMEDAN, 2017). The report further reveals that, as of December 2017, the MSMEs sector comprised 41,543,028 business units, with micro-enterprises accounting for the majority at 41,469,947 (99.8%). The reported data underscores the importance of micro-enterprises (MEs) for the socioeconomic well-being of Nigerians and the country's economic development, particularly considering the relatively high poverty level reported for Nigeria (World Bank, 2024). Over the years, the nation has battled poverty with various government-oriented poverty eradication policies and currently entrepreneurship development is being tested as a valuable panacea, given the expected impact of MSMEs on employment generation and poverty alleviation (Akanji, 2006; Akintoye & Oladejo, 2008; Akande, 2013). SMEDAN research on demographic features of MSMEs shows that the Nigerian MSMEs sub-sector, accounts for approximately eighty-five percent (85%) of total industrial employment and has contributed around 46% to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) at various times (SMEDAN, 2010; 2017). Global reports also indicate that to enhance growth and alleviate poverty, the World Bank Group and other international aid agencies provide millions in dollars for MSMEs development to economies in need (Beck, Demircuc-Kunt & Levine, 2005). Consequently, considering the widely acknowledged significance of the sector to economic development and human well-being, stakeholders in international development aim to encourage policies perceived to potentially foster the prosperity and expansion of MSMEs.

Existing literature suggests that digitalization of MSMEs is a growth catalyst within the sub-sector (Bogner et al. 2016). Digitalization of business activities refers to the adoption of Internet-based technologies for conducting business operations (Zhu and Kraemer 2005) and is linked to business performance (Rai et al. 2006; Sambamurthy et al. 2003) such that the total cost of investment on digitalization of business operations may be considered to be a valuable business resource. Viewed from this perspective, business digitalization is deemed to serve as a potential technological strategy for transformation capable of significantly influencing performance of businesses in the MSMEs sub-sector (Olusola et al., 2013; Kilimis et al., 2019). Part of the literature on profitability drivers also indicates that businesses are likely to integrate digital solutions into their

\*Corresponding author: dmiorchir@gmail.com

operations to improve transaction speed across value chain activities, facilitate real-time communication, reduce transaction costs, increase flexibility, profitability and uncover new markets for competitive advantage (Lee and Whang 2001; Oladejo and Yinus, 2013; Bi, Davison, & Smyrniotis, 2017). Accounting literature highlights the importance of profitability in the business context including for payment of dividends or retained to finance expansion and growth (Thirumalaisamy, 2013; Gilchrist and Himmelberg, 1995; Altman, 1993; William Droms, 1990; Oscar, 1953) thus underscoring the importance of sustainable profitability to businesses (Alarussi & Alhaderi, 2018; Nanda & Panda, 2018).

In Nigeria, the development of entrepreneurship is crucial for economic development and eradication of extreme poverty such that investigating MSMEs' profit driving factors is a valuable research objective. Current literature across different jurisdictions, along with sparse research on Nigeria, suggests that digitalization of business operations functions as a resource which is perceivable as a theoretical catalyst for profitability and growth. In the Nigerian context however, there is limited empirical evidence supporting a conclusion that digitalization of business activities in the micro-enterprises (MEs') sub-sector is correlated with profitability or business growth and the research gap needs to be narrowed. Thus, the researcher views that it is imperative to utilize insights from existing literature, theory and empirical evidence using data on Nigerian MEs to investigate the impact of digitalization on profitability, to provide evidence specific to Nigeria. This research principally investigates whether digitalization of business activities in MEs positively affects business performance viewed through profitability and the findings are interesting as reported below. The remainder of this paper is broadly organized as follows: Section 2 documents the literature and hypotheses development; section 3 specifies the research design; section 4 describes the data; section 5 contains the result, test of hypotheses and analysis of findings while section 6 presents the summary and concluding remarks.

## 2. Literature Review and Hypotheses Development

### A. Theoretical Review

This study is explained through two interconnected strategic management theories: profit-maximizing theory and competition-based theory, and the Resource-Based Theory (RBT). Strategic Management refers to the process of defining an organization's objectives, developing policies and plans to achieve them, and allocating resources for policy execution. It includes strategy formulation, implementation, and evaluation (David, 2005; Haim, 2005; Mohd, 2005; Zainal, 2005; Raduan et al. 2009; Killen et al. 2012). The primary aim of strategic management research is to determine the factors that lead to differences in organizational success across various dimensions and to understand the mechanisms utilized by specific organizations to achieve sustainable competitive advantage (Grant, 2010; Rumelt, Schendel & Teece, 1994). To sustain a

competitive advantage, businesses must possess competencies that facilitate the creation of greater value relative to competitors and the achievement of lasting superior returns on investment (Barney and Hesterley, 2006; Barney and Clark, 2007; Kwak and Anbari, 2009). The identified theories clarify the importance of sustainable profitability and the incorporation of digitalization as a business resource for sustainable development within the micro-enterprises sub-sector.

### 1) The Profit-Maximizing and Competition-Based Theory

The Profit Maximization Theory, also known as Classical Profit Maximization Theory or Neo-Classical Economic Theory of the Firm, can be traced back to Adam Smith's early writings in 'The Wealth of Nations'<sup>1</sup> (Smith, 1937; Fama, 1980; Lynch, 2000; Jafar et al., 2010). Smith posits in the book that a 'invisible hand' guides individuals to utilize their capital involuntarily. Smith posits that the entrepreneur or investor typically does not aim to advance the public interest, nor is aware of the extent to which they may be doing so. Their primary intention is self-preservation; by directing investment to maximize value, their focus remains solely on personal profit<sup>2</sup>. The entrepreneur initially aims for personal gain through profitability from the investment; however, the pursuit of this personal interest inadvertently yields positive benefits for the broader economy.

The fundamental concept of profit-maximizing and competition-based theory in strategic management posits that business strategies are primarily motivated by the goal of long-term profitability and the establishment of a sustainable competitive advantage over rivals (Lynch, 2000; Raduan et al., 2009). Given the established significance of profit in business literature, companies aiming to transform their prospects and achieve sustainable growth seek methods to enhance profitability.

The profit-maximizing and competition-based theory faces criticism. For example, the stakeholder theory of the firm posits that a firm comprises stakeholders<sup>3</sup> who create a network of relationships within society. Consequently, the primary objective of firms should be the creation of value or wealth for all stakeholders (Freeman, 1984; Jones, 1995; Walsh, 2005; Parmar et al. 2010). Nonetheless, it is important to recognize that the absence of the business facilitating connections among the various stakeholders in the network results in a disjointed system. Consequently, as evidenced by profitability literature, entrepreneurs persist in prioritizing profit maximization to foster sustainable business growth, thereby ensuring the ongoing relevance and applicability of the theory.

### 2) The Resource-Based Theory (RBT)

The Resource-Based Theory (RBT) is a prominent strategic management framework introduced by Barney (1991), which investigates the correlation between firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Resource-Based Theory posits that resources controlled by a firm generate capabilities that enable the firm to achieve a competitive advantage and superior performance (Ainuddin et al., 2007). This theory

emphasizes the significance of a firm's resources and capabilities, particularly in relation to how performance and sustained competitive advantage are influenced by these controlled resources (Barney, 1996). Business resources examined within the framework of Resource-Based Theory (RBT) encompass all assets, capabilities, firm attributes, organizational processes, information, and knowledge that the firm manages. These resources are enhanced to facilitate the implementation of strategies aimed at improving efficiency and effectiveness (Barney, 1991; Porter, 2008; Utami & Alamanos, 2022). Resource-Based Theory (RBT) is widely recognized in strategic management research as a framework for understanding a firm's competitive advantage and sustained performance, including profitability (Wernerfelt, 1984; Galbreath, 2005). Moreover, there appears to be a consensus among researchers that the factors contributing to firms' competitive advantage and superior performance are primarily linked to the characteristics of their resources and capabilities (Barney, 1986a, 1991, 2001a; Conner, 1991; Mills, Platts & Bourne, 2003; Peteraf & Bergen, 2003).

Technology as a business resource, is recognized as a key driver of competition and research findings show that businesses which effectively leverage technological change experience significant growth (Shehadeh et al. 2023; Porter, 2008). Research evidence also indicates that companies which regard technologies such as cloud computing, big data and social media technologies as integral components of their infrastructure tend to achieve higher profitability (Schwertner, 2017; Shehadeh et al. 2023). This study is consequently anchored on the Resource-Based Theory (RBT) to elucidate the relationship between profitability and digitalization as a resource, in Nigerian micro enterprises.

## B. Conceptual Framework

### 1) Overview of Digitalization

Several scholars support the view that digitalization, or digital transformation, refers to frameworks driven by the use of digital technology in various areas to create and distribute value (Stolterman and Fors, 2004; Tilson et al., 2010; Rossato & Castellani 2020; Hervé, Schmitt & Baldegger, 2021). Others describe the concept as an organizational metamorphosis that integrates digital technologies with business processes (Liu, Chen & Chou, 2011); changes that digital technologies can bring to a company's business model, products, processes, and organizational structure (Hess et al., 2016). In another context, digitalization has been defined as adjustments in operational methodologies, roles, and business offerings which result from the incorporation of digital technologies within an organization (Parviainen et al., 2017); or a process aimed at enhancing an entity, by initiating significant changes to its characteristics through the integration of information computing, communication, and connectivity technologies (Vial, 2019).

One viewpoint defines digitalization as an innovation in corporate operations, functioning as a strategy to augment and create value (Gassmann, Frankenberger & Csik, 2013; Kotarba, 2018; Kraus et al., 2022; Galindo-Martín, Castaño-Martínez & Méndez-Picazo, 2019; Zhai, Yang & Chan, 2022). A common

perspective among the definitions or descriptions of digitalization is the application of electronic tools and technologies to deepen the effectiveness and efficiency of processes including in the conduct of business.

Digital technologies used in business are categorized into three main types based on their functionality namely: data collection, data integration, and data analysis technologies (Pagaropoulos et al. 2017), while on the other hand, technologies are categorized based on their digitalization capabilities of: intelligence, connectivity, and analytical capacities. The literature holds that technology capacity for intelligence involves the improvement of fundamental hardware via the integration of digital components that gather data (Lenka et al., 2017). Connective capabilities refer to the wireless connecting of devices with each other and the Internet, while analytical abilities enhance knowledge generation, by deriving insights from the vast data provided by sensors and systems (Ranta, Aarikka-Stenroos & Väisänen, 2021). Research indicates that adoption of digitalization in business is a global trend with gains relating to improved productivity due to streamlining of operations and diminishing communication barriers both internally and externally (Berg, Rubio & Laske, 2024). Furthermore, deployment of digital technology for business operation is associated with improved business process flows targeting; enhanced operational efficiency, cost savings, human error reduction, streamlined data analysis, improved revenues and value generating opportunities for the organization (Monton, 2022).

### 2) Digitalization in Micro and Small businesses

According to extant literature, digital resources or technologies utilized in digitalized businesses could include: all internet or network compatible services and devices, Payment and Accounting Systems, Customer Relationship Management Systems, Inventory Management Systems, Job Management Systems, Learning Management Systems, Hospital Practice Management Systems, Rostering Software, Time Management Software, Email Marketing Systems, Social Media Management Systems, cloud computing and websites (Alshboul, 2014; Adegbuyi, Akinyele, & Akinyele, 2015; Bayramusta & Nasir, 2016; Kwayu, Lal & Abubakre, 2017; Jurado, Moral, Viruel & Ucles, 2018; Yunis et al., 2018; Hizam, Khairuddin & Olowosuyi, 2020; Etim et al., 2021; Inyang & James, 2022; Etuk et al., 2022; Anyadighibe et al., 2023; Australia, 2024). Organizations use one or more of these technologies or digital tools to enhance communication, augment efficiency, elevate productivity, and secure a competitive advantage for a profitable and growing business (Apulu & Latham, 2011; James & Inyang, 2023; Etuk et al., 2022). An increasing volume of research indicates that information technology innovation and competencies promote business innovation, new product development, positively influence performance particularly profitability, growth, and productivity (Hollenstein, 2004; Kossai & Piget, 2014; Ainin, et. al., 2015; Shettima & Sharma, 2020; Hervé, Schmitt & Baldegger, 2021; Chen, Sun, & Chen, 2022; Davis and DeWitt, 2021; Peng and Tao, 2022; Peng and Tao, 2022; Zhai et al., 2022; Heredia et al., 2022; Agboola, Adelugba & Eze, 2023;

Etim et al., 2023). This body of literature thus suggests that digitalization is a driver of profitability, business growth, innovation, products development, value creation and enhanced competitive advantage. For Micro Enterprises in particular, social media can reduce marketing costs, improve customer relations and systematically create sustainable value (Ainin, et. Al., 2015; Matt et al., 2015; Bouncken et al., 2019). Extant studies suggest that Micro and small businesses may digitalize business relatively easier given their characteristics which include; size, accelerated innovation; flexibility and evolution' (Fillis & Wagner, 2005; Shepherd and Haynie, 2009; Beliaeva, Ferasso, Kraus, & Damke, 2019; Bouncken and Barwinski, 2020; Eller et. al., 2020). It must be acknowledged that the literature generally suggests digital technology may be effectively integrated in operations for enhanced performance both in the private and 'public sectors. Consequently, the Nigerian government, has recognized the importance of incorporating digitalization to optimize her public sector, drive growth and innovation, an influencing factor for the establishment of Nigeria's Federal Ministry of Communications, Innovation and Digital Economy.

### 3) *Profitability of Micro Enterprises (MEs)*

Profitability is established by taking the surplus of revenues over total business costs and is seen as a ratio that assesses business performance and an indicator of successful business operations (Koellinger, 2006; Margaretha & Supartika, 2016). Current research indicates that sufficient and sustainable profits are necessary for long-term business survival in a competitive business atmosphere to finance expansion (Foreman-Peck, Makepeace, & Morgan, 2006). Documented evidence further suggests that profitable organizations; exhibit greater productivity (Kossai & Piget, 2014) and acquire the capacity for further investment, corporate expansion, and improved competitive advantage in the marketplace (Stejskal et al., 2016; Kubicková & Procházková, 2014; Lesáková, 2014). This literature partially suggests that businesses operating at a loss lack capacity for investment, expansion and are likely to become bankrupt even in the short-run. The import of profit to business growth and survival portrays profitability from some perspective as an essential element for business longevity (Maynard, 2013). Although some Micro and Small businesses receive government grants sometimes through entrepreneurship promotion initiatives, a substantial body of literature promotes the perspective that sustainable business growth is achievable through profitable business operation (Lesáková, Gundová & Elexa, 2015; Higgins, 2003; Gibson, 2012; Yazdanfar, 2013; Cumming & Groh, 2018; Lesáková, Ondrušová & Vinczeová, 2019).

#### a. *Drivers of profitability in MEs*

Some factors have been identified in extant research as influencers of profitability in business. Bloom and Van Reenen (2007) posit that enhanced management practices have significant positive association with profitability. Yazdanfar (2013) found that firm size, previous-year profitability, growth, and productivity positively influence profitability. Similarly, Susilo, Wahyudi & Demi Pangestuti (2020) found significant positive association between profitability, firm size and growth.

In their research, Chesini & Giaretta (2024) reported findings of positive association between digitalization and bank profitability, driven by a digitalization-enhanced sustainable competitive advantages anchored on market-learning, technical and industry digital capabilities.

### C. *Micro Enterprises (MEs) in Nigeria*

In Nigeria, the National Policy on MSMEs has established a dual criterion for classifying enterprises thus micro enterprises are defined based on employment scale and asset value, excluding land and buildings. Micro enterprises (MEs) are defined as those with asset investments of less than N10 million and a workforce not exceeding nine persons (SMEDAN, 2017). Micro enterprises significantly contribute to enhancing the livelihood of residents in developing countries and have been recognized as an antidote for alarming poverty levels (Akoten, Sawada & Otsuka, 2006; Iorchir, 2006; AJAYI & Gomna, 2021).

Nigeria's MEs' report show that they significantly contribute to gross domestic product (GDP), employment generation, exports, local value addition, and technological advancement (SMEDAN, 2017; Gebreyesus, 2007; Yahaya, Geidam & Usman, 2016). It is no gainsaying therefore, that the role of MEs in holistic national development is increasingly acknowledged in Nigerian entrepreneurship literature.

### D. *Empirical Review and Hypotheses Development*

#### 1) *Digitalization of MEs and Profitability*

Substantial research contributions discuss digital transformation or digitalization and business performance which is often evident in profitability. The literature suggests that given its perceived advantage, the industrial sector has swiftly evolved into a digital environment, especially following the COVID-19 pandemic invasion period when the shift was accelerated (Parviainen, Tihinen, Kääriäinen, & Teppola, 2017; Priyono, Moin, & Putri, 2020). According to the literature, this digital evolution attracts competitive advantage for adopters evident in cost efficiency, enhanced revenues, innovation, productivity, profitability and general performance (Lumpkin & Dess, 2004; Bloom & Van Reenen, 2007; Koski, 2010; Yoo et al., 2010; Tello, 2011; Piccinini et al., 2015; Nambisan, 2017; Graetz and Michaels, 2018; Paluch et al., 2019; Truant, Broca, and Dana, 2021; Llopis-Albert, Rubio & Valero, 2021; Hervé, Schmitt & Baldegger, 2021; Peng and Tao, 2022; Zhai et al., 2022; Heredia et al., 2022; Chesini and Giaretta, 2024; Sezal, Yalcin & Yenice, 2024). This study's objective is on the impact of digitalization on profitability of MEs and the empirical review is restricted accordingly.

Researchers have documented findings elucidating the relationship between digitalization and business profitability some of which are presented below:

Lal (1996) found that businesses in India employing ICT demonstrated increased profit margins, which suggests a positive relationship between ICT adoption in business and profitability. Machikita et al. (2010) identified a significant positive correlation between ICT and corporate performance which includes profitability, in four ASEAN nations of

Indonesia, the Philippines, Thailand, and Vietnam. Similarly, Tello (2011) reported a positive association between ICT implementation in business operations and profitability in Peru.

Kawira, Mukulu, and Odhiambo (2019) submit survey research findings on the 'Kenyan business environment which reveals that most micro business owners and managers utilizing digital options for marketing, viewed their firms' performance (evident in profitability)' as substantially enhanced. Shettima and Sharma (2020) conducted a survey of 500 respondents and reported that digitalization substantially influenced job creation, economic opportunities, production quality and quantity which collectively increased profitability. Khairuddin & Olowosuyi (2020) submit that the implementation of digital technologies substantially enhances business performance, similar to Zahrah (2020) who studied a sample of 300 MSMEs' managers in Semarang and reported that, digitalization of accounting information had significant impact on profitability enhancement.

On the Italian business environment, Truant, Broca, and Dana (2021) reported research findings which suggested that many businesses recognized the benefits and positive performance effects of digitalization. Similarly, Omoyele et al., (2022) suggest in their report findings that adoption of digital technologies provide unique combinations of sustainable business model elements, that promote growth through profitability. Agboola, Adelugba, and Eze (2023) employed survey data from 303 participants to examine the relationship between Fintech and the sustainability of micro-enterprises. The study's report showed that in relation to Micro enterprises, Fintech lending substantially positively impacted revenue and important component of the profit ratio. Furthermore, Etim et al. (2023) analyzed data from 337 MSME operators in Nigeria and reported findings showing that the utilization of ICT resources, is likely to substantially improve business performance.

More recent research also produced results which indicate that adopting digitalization is positively associated with profitability. For example, Chesini and Giarretta (2024) analyzed 96 large publicly traded US banks from 2007 to 2017 and found that digitalization positively impacts bank profitability by enhancing sustainable competitive advantage, through market-learning, technical and industry digital capabilities. On the Indonesian business environment, Pratama (2024) found that digital transformation increased profitability, and fostered innovation within SMEs, while Wulan, et al. (2024) engaged in a qualitative literature review of studies investigating the impact of digitalization in Indonesia. They found conclusions from previous findings suggesting that adoption of technologies such as e-commerce, cloud-based inventory management, and digital marketing can increase SME profitability by an average of 35%.

The studies documented above largely conclude that a significant positive association exists between business processes' digitalization and profitability. In contrast however, a study on 13 African nations reported findings which depict negative relation between digitalization and profitability (Esselaar et al., 2007), while another research found no

association between ICT adoption and performance in Chilean businesses (Benavente, Lillo, and Turen (2011).

Given that a substantial number of studies suggest a positive association between digitalization and profitability, this study tests the following one-tailed hypothesis specified in its null form:

***H<sub>01</sub>: Digitalization has no significant positive relationship with profitability of Micro Enterprises in Nigeria.***

## 2) Obstacles to digitalization

Research evidence also shows that change is often associated with challenges which pose as impediments to effectiveness (Dent, & Goldberg, 1999) suggesting that Micro enterprises undergoing digital transformation are subject to obstacles. Indeed, Hendrawan, et. al., (2024) identified various obstacles which Micro enterprises face in the digital era to include; limited financial and human resources, inadequate digital infrastructure, and lack of sufficient technology education. Other obstacles may include; resistance to change, lack of trust, and the high cost of technology (Vărzaru, 2022). Other researchers identify the challenges of: cybersecurity risks (Pantelei & Lazari, 2022), credibility of digital information handlers regarding preservation and transmission (Agostino et al., 2021). Other obstacles to harnessing the gains of digitalization noted in literature are human capacity constraints and imperfect legislative enforcement (Stefanovova et al., 2020; Kovalevska, et al. 2022).

These barriers may encumber the successful implementation of digitalization thus preventing the expected positive impact on profitability of Micro-enterprises. However, Hendrawan, et. Al (2024) reported recent research findings which indicate that despite noted challenges, digitalization offers significant opportunities for micro-enterprises' profitability through its components of; improved 'operational.

Thus, this study follows theoretical proposition and recent research findings to propose the following null hypothesis:

***H<sub>02</sub>: There is no significant positive association between digitalization and profitability of Micro-enterprises in the presence of obstacles.***

## 3. Research Design

### A. Overview

This study employs a survey research methodology and utilizes a cross-sectional research design, which is the predominant approach in the social sciences (Nachmias & Nachmias, 1996). The cross-sectional survey design is adopted in this study due to its advantage of enabling large scale one-time sample data collection and facilitating credible inference on the population (Sileyew, 2019; Etim, et. al., 2023), efficiency of time and resources (Caruana, et al., 2015). The cross-sectional research design has also been utilized in numerous previous studies to effectively investigate the influence of independent variables on the dependent variables (Kossai & Piget, 2014; Caruana et al., 2015; Kawira, Mukulu & Odhiambo, 2019; Sileyew, 2019; Etim et al., 2023).

### B. Measuring the Association between Digitalization and Profitability of Micro Enterprises

The general objective of this study is to investigate the nature of the association between adoption of digitalization and profitability in micro-enterprises, including an examination of the intervening impact of Obstacles to digitalization in such a relationship. To test the formulated hypotheses and having the advantage of a large sample size, the study deploys the following linear regression models:

$$PROFITA = \beta_0 + \beta_1 DIGITA + \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

$$PROFITA = \beta_0 + \beta_1 DIGITA + \beta_2 OBSTA + \varepsilon \quad (2)$$

Where,

*PROFITA* = Proxy for Profitability

*DIGITA* = Proxy for Digitalization

*OBSTA* = Proxy for Obstacles to digitalization

$\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2$  = Intercept and regression coefficients for *Digita* and *Obsta*.

$\varepsilon$  = Disturbance term

In the model above, *Profita* (profitability), the dependent variable is measured as a composite variable of; increase in revenue, gross and net profit, cost reduction including achievement of targeted sales or profit. *Digita* (digitalization) the independent variable, is established with the extent of digital adoption in a micro-enterprise in relation to; marketing and sales, ordering and purchases, payments and collections, meetings, customer relations management, workshops and conferences including web presence of the enterprise. *Obsta* (Obstacles) the control variable is also a composite measure of various constraining factors which threaten the effective implementation of a digitalization policy in the micro enterprise.

In testing the first Hypothesis ( $H_{01}$ ) and second Hypothesis ( $H_{02}$ ), it is predicted following extant literature, that  $\beta_1$  in equations (1) and (2) will be significantly greater than zero, while  $\beta_2$  in equation (2) will be significantly less than zero. Items used as proxy to collectively measure profitability, digitalization and obstacles are consistent with indications in previous studies (Ehrahardt & Brigham, 2011; Lim, 2013; Okundaye et. al., 2019; Taskinsoy, 2019; Kaur, et.al, 2020; Mutoharoh, Winarsih & Buyong, 2020; Karim, et.al., 2022; Etim, James & Ekong, 2023).

## 4. Data

### A. Nature of Population and Sample

The target population of this study is the entire micro-enterprises sub-sector across the 36 states and FCT of Nigeria, which is estimated to currently contain over 42 million micro business units. However, the exact population of micro enterprises in Nigeria as at the time of this study is unknown because a significant proportion of micro businesses in Nigeria is not registered with the Corporate Affairs Commission

(CAC)<sup>4</sup> or the relevant state-level ministries designated to register such businesses (SMEDAN, 2017). Considering the infinite nature of the population of micro-enterprises in Nigeria and the researcher's aim to obtain a representative sample across all 36 states of Nigeria plus the Federal Capital Territory, a purposive original sample size of 740 micro-enterprises was established with 20 micro enterprises randomly selected from each state and the FCT. The judgemental sampling method was employed to yield a larger sample size that fits the purpose of this research.' 'Studies which apply the infinite population model to calculate, generate a sample size below 400 cases (Kossai & Piget, 2014; Lesakova, Ondrusova & Vinczeova, 2019; Etim et al., 2023).

The study generated primary quantitative data, collected via a structured survey questionnaire instrument of a 5-point Likert ordinal scale, from 5 designated as 'Strongly agree' to 1 being 'Strongly disagree' and administered by research assistants. The methodology involved briefly explaining the objective of the study to a selected manager respondent, before delivering the instrument to them for completion. Respondents were given space and time to complete the questionnaire and submit at an agreed later date. The questionnaire instrument contained items structured to obtain responses relating to the dependent and independent variables, respondent manager's 'educational status in terms of whether they had higher education or not, age of business and location in Nigeria.

The hand-delivered questionnaire has been shown to reduce completion time for responses, facilitate clarification of doubts or misunderstandings, and contribute to a high response rate and honesty (Sekaran & Bougie, 2010; Aliyu & Rosali, 2014; Kawira, Mukulu & Odhiambo, 2019), in addition to attracting some cost savings.

### B. Demographic Analysis

A total of 740 questionnaires were originally distributed to 'micro-enterprises' manager respondents randomly selected from each Nigerian state and the FCT, through research assistants. However, 555 completed questionnaires were effectively retrieved after a reasonable period, generating a response rate of 75%. Consequently, the sample size ( $n$ ) employed in the study was adjusted to 555 for data analysis. Demographic features (Appendix 1) show that majority of the respondents, 495 (89.2%) are located in 31 Nigerian states which the study considers to be "relatively less populated" while 60 (10.2%) of the respondents located in 5 densely populated Nigerian states and the Federal Capital Territory Abuja<sup>5</sup>. There are 239 (43.1%) enterprises aged from 1 to 4 years and 316 (56.9%) enterprises aged 5 years and above indicating that newer and relatively older businesses were included in the sample. Furthermore, gender distribution of the respondent managers across micro enterprises indicates that 284 (51.2%) were male and 271 (48.8%) females suggesting that the sample covered a substantial number across each gender.



Table 1  
Reliability analysis

Variables	No. of cases in Questionnaire	Conbach's Alpha Coefficient
Profitability (Profita)	10	0.972
Digitalization (Digita)	5	0.906
Obstacles (Obsta)	3	0.839

Source: Author generated with SPSS (Version 23), 2025

### C. Instrument Reliability Analysis

Prior to administration of the questionnaire, a pilot study utilizing the developed questionnaire instrument was conducted on 30 managers of micro-enterprises close to the researcher's location for effectiveness and cost efficiency. The completed questionnaires were analyzed using the SPSS statistical software and Cronbach Alpha reliability procedure executed, to ascertain the internal consistency of the instrument, understanding that the Cronbach's Alpha benchmark is 0.7 (Hizam, Khairuddin & Olowosuyi, 2020;

Agboola, Adelugba & Eze, 2023; 'Etim, et al, 2023;). The Cronbach's Alpha coefficients generated for the main variables were; 0.97 (Profitability), 0.91 (digitalization) and 0.84(Obstacles) (see Table 1.). Following this reliability result, the questionnaire instrument was deemed sufficient and administered for data collection.

### D. Descriptive Statistics

Likert scale of 5-point level coded with 1 (Strongly disagree); 2 (Disagree), 3 (Undecided), 4 (Agree) and 5 (Strongly Agree) was used in this study for data collection. Table 2 shows for the response variable *Profita*, that respondents collectively utilized all response options from the Minimum 1 (Strongly Disagree) to the maximum 5 (Strongly agree). Specifically, the Frequencies distribution indicate that 67 (12.1%) and 344 (62%) which collectively form the majority (74.1%) of the respondents, either 'Agree or 'Strongly Agree' with the indication of 'an association between digitalization of MEs' operations and profitability as indicated (see Appendix 2). Table 2 also indicates that collectively, 144 (25.9%) of the respondents either strongly disagree (7.2%), Disagree (9.0%) or are undecided (9.7%) about the queried relationship between digitalization and profitability.' The mean value for *Profita* of 4.13 which lies between 'Agree (4)' and 'Strongly Agree (5)' is suggestive that on average, the popular view among respondents promotes the perception that adoption of digitalization is associated MEs' profitability or its growth components of increased revenue, reduced costs effective profit planning. The standard deviation of 1.3 indicates moderate variability from the popular opinions of respondents.

From the same Table 2 in relation to *Digita*, it is observed that a total of 361 (65%) either 'Agree' (25%) or 'Strongly Agree' (40%) that the processes of their Micro Enterprise (ME) are digitalized as captured in the questionnaire (see Appendix 2). Although the majority of MEs' managers 'Agree' that they digitalize business activities, a few other managers 'Strongly Disagree' (1.5%), "Disagree'(8.1%) or are unsure/undecided (25.4%) about their level of digital technology adoption in the business. The mean (3.94) and standard deviation (1.05) for *Digita* collectively show that on the average, the majority of respondents hold consistent views (i.e. Agree) that they often

adopt digital options in doing business.

In relation to *Obsta*, the same Table 2 indicates that a significant majority of respondents, 421(75.9%) either 'Strongly Disagree' (61.1%) or 'Disagree' (14.8%) with the indication that adoption of digitalization in business has no obstacles or that any associated obstacles prevent a visible association between digitalization and profitability (see Appendix 2). The mean (1.75) and standard deviation for *Obsta* indicate consistency among respondents in repudiation of the indications that obstacles to effective digitalization do not exist or that obstacles prevent a visible impact of digitalization on profitability of MEs.

Table 2  
Descriptive statistics (Summary of responses and statistics)

Variables	Frequencies					Statistics				
	SD	D	UN	A	SA	Mean	Mode	Dev.	Min.	Max
PROFITA (Profitability)	40 (7.2%)	50 (9.0%)	54 (9.7%)	67 (12.1%)	344 (62%)	4.13	5	1.31	1	5
DIGITA (Digitalisation)	08 (1.5%)	45 (8.1%)	141 (25.4%)	139 (25.0%)	222 (40%)	3.94	5	1.05	1	5
OBSTA (Obstacles)	339 (61.1%)	82 (14.8%)	99 (17.8%)	04 (0.7%)	31 (5.6%)	1.75	1	1.12	1	5

Note: n = 555; 'PROFITA', 'DIGITA', 'OBSTA', 'SD', 'D', 'UN', 'A' and 'SA', represent Profitability, Digitalization, Obstacles, Strongly Disagree (1), Disagree (2), Undecided (3), Agree (4) and Strongly Agree (5).

Source: Author generated with IBM SPSS (Version 23), 2025

## 5. Result, Test of Hypotheses and Analysis of Findings

### A. Result and Test of Hypotheses

Table 3 presents the result which analyses the association between *Digita* (Digitalization) and *Profita* (Profitability). The result reveals an adjusted-R<sup>2</sup> which suggests that adoption of digital options (Digitalization) to conduct various business activities in Nigerian MEs, explains about 34.6% of the variation in Profitability as indicated by the adjusted-R<sup>2</sup>.

Table 3  
Analyzing the impact of digitalization on profitability of Nigerian MEs

Model	Prediction	Coefficient ( $\beta$ )	Std. Error	t-test
Constant		1.239	0.174	7.103
Digita	$\beta_1 > 0$	0.733**	0.043	17.132
R <sup>2</sup>	0.347			
Adj.-R <sup>2</sup>	0.346			
F-test	293.493**			

\*\* Indicates significant difference at the 1% level; and \* shows significant difference at the 5% level.

Source: Author generated with SPSS (Version 23), 2025

Also from the table, it can be noted that the coefficient ( $\beta_1$ ) on

digitalization is 0.733 ( $p < 0.05$ ) suggesting that increasing digitalization of business processes in MEs by a unit is perceived to increase profitability by up to 73.3%. The F-test (293.483, P-value  $< 0.05$ ) has a high and significant value suggesting that the simple regression model (Equation 1), has predictive power in relation to the dependent variable, profitability. Based on the findings visible from Table 3, the prediction made in section 3.2 that  $\beta_1$  in equations (1) will be significantly greater than zero is upheld and the null hypothesis ( $\beta_1 = 0$ ), is therefore rejected. Consequently, this study finds that increased adoption of digitalization in Nigerian micro-enterprises significantly influences their profitability.

Table 4  
Analyzing the impact of digitalization and obstacles on profitability of Nigerian MEs.

Model	Prediction	Coefficient ( $\beta$ )	Std. Error	t-test
Constant		4.839	0.203	23.821
Digita	$\beta_1 > 0$	0.187**	0.039	4.775
Obsta	$\beta_2 < 0$	-0.829**	0.037	-22.578
R <sup>2</sup>	0.66			
Adj.-R <sup>2</sup>	0.659			
F-test	536.65**			

\*\* Indicates significant difference at the 1% level; and \* shows significant difference at the 5% level.

Source: Author generated with SPSS (Version 23), 2025

The regression results for equation (2), showing the effect of Digita (Digitalization) and Profita (Profitability) while capturing the moderating effect of Obsta (Obstacles) presented in Table 4 is also interesting. The adjusted-R<sup>2</sup> of 65.9% shows that digitalization and obstacles to the process collectively explain over 65 percent of the changes in profitability of MEs. The robustness of the model in terms of its predictive usefulness regarding the dependent variable profitability, is shown in the large and statistically significant F-Statistic (536.65,  $p < 0.05$ ). Individual variables' coefficients generated by the model show that consistent with the literature, obstacles to digitalization are negatively associated with profitability such that an increase in Obstacles may have a strong negative effect of up to 82.9% (0.829,  $p < 0.05$ ) on profitability. However, Table 4 also shows that despite the presence of obstacles [Equation 2 has controlled for the effect (negative) of obstacles to digitalization of MEs.], adoption of an additional option (unit) of digitalization can increase profitability by up to 18.7% (0.187,  $P < 0.05$ ) if other factors are held constant. Relying on the result in Table 4, the predictions in section 3 pertaining to equation 2, which postulate that the coefficient on Digitalization and Obstacles will be significantly greater than zero ( $> 0$ ) and less than zero ( $< 0$ ) respectively, are both upheld. Following the findings presented in Table 4 therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected and the study concludes that despite the presence of various obstacles to digitalization, adopting digital options to

operate MEs in Nigeria significantly positively influences profitability of micro enterprises.

### B. Analysis of Findings

Findings of this study as presented in section 5 (A) above provide strong evidence against the first and second null hypotheses. Null hypothesis one indicates 'no positive association between digitalization and profitability while null hypothesis two indicates that obstacles prevent any positive association, between digitalization and profitability of MEs in Nigeria. However, results of equation (1) and (2) which model the relationships between profitability and digitalization including the moderating effect of obstacles presented in Tables' 3 and 4 indicate that digitalization as measured in this study, has significant positive association with Profitability. Furthermore, the empirical evidence shows that although the positive impact of digitalization and profitability is likely to weaken in the presence of obstacles, the impact on profitability remains consistently positive and significant. The implication of these findings is that adoption of digitalization, in other words embracing digital options for business operations in Nigerian MEs is a business practice and strategy for enhancement of profitability and its growth.

## 6. Summary and Concluding Remarks

The results of this study indicate that adoption of digital options to conduct business also regarded as digitalization of business activities is useful to enhance profitability in micro enterprises within Nigeria. A large sample of opinions was generated from micro enterprises operators in the managerial position across 36 Nigerian states and the Federal Capital Territory. The data were effectively analyzed where the impact of digitalization on profitability was observed in addition to a reassessment of the impact after accounting for the moderating effect of obstacles. In general, the study finds that adopting digitalization through investment in digital resources for business operations empowers the enterprise to achieve relatively higher profits irrespective of obstacles encountered in the process of digitalization. The result of this study provides evidence that a positive connection exists between business performance and useful resources employed for business operations consistent with the suppositions of the Resource-Based Theory. Thus, this study concludes that in the context of the Nigerian business environment, micro enterprises desiring to grow through profitability may need substantial investment on 'business digitalization to exploit the gains which consistent with the literature include; efficiency, productivity, enhanced competitive advantage and profitability in general (Apulu & Latham, 2011; James & Inyang, 2023; Etuk et al., 2022).

The findings of this study are consistent with previous studies where digitalization was found to be positively correlated with general business performance or profitability (Bertscheck et. al., 2013; Liu et. al., 2013; Haller & Lyons, 2015; Hagsten, 2016; Khairuddin & Olowosuyi, 2020; Igwe, et. Al, 2020; Okundaye, et. al. 2019; Shettima & Sharma, 2020; Mutoharoh, Winarsih & Buyon, 2020; Etim et al, 2023). However, some



studies acknowledged in the literature reported findings of a negative association between adoption of digital options for business operations and profitability or performance in general (Esselaar et al., 2007; Benavente, Lillo & Turen, 2011). Thus, to the extent that these studies apply on the Nigerian business environment, this current study's findings of a positive relationship between digitalization and profitability provides contradictory empirical evidence which leaves the debate open for further research investigation.

The inferences drawn in this study are based only on the opinions of micro enterprises practitioners and managers some of whom have operated business for only a few years and the nature of the data was cross-sectional. A time series of real business figures relating to transactions generated from a sample of micro enterprises for a reasonable number of years, would have provided a more robust evidence of the relationship between the variables measured. However, a panel data set could not be employed in this study due to non-availability within the time frame needed to complete this research, leading to reliance on opinions of micro enterprises' managers, generated by a structured questionnaire. Thus, the researcher considers the nature of data applied in this study as a limitation and suggests that further research may extract real numbers and other information from the financial reports of micro enterprises to generate a more robust evidence regarding the impact of investment in digitalization on profitability of MEs.

In spite of the limitation acknowledged above, the findings of this research provide strong evidence of the perception of micro-enterprises' operators and given their professional business experiences, it is believed that the data and findings generated through the analysis are highly reliable for use by industry practitioners and policy makers in Nigeria. It is recommended on the basis of the findings of this study therefore, that to boost profitability and general business performance of micro enterprises in Nigeria, effective investment in digitalization is essential. This implies that micro enterprise owners should strive to adopt digital or electronic options for their business operations for optimal performance and outcomes. This study also recognizes that obstacles, some of which are criminal in nature and resolvable through government intervention only, are likely impediments to maximal benefits of digitalization. Thus, it is recommended that the Nigerian government should intensify regulatory measures aimed at preventing cybercrimes and strengthening cybersecurity, to enhance confidence in the use of digital options which are largely executed through internet connectivity.

This study is original, contributes substantially to the literature on the gains of adopting digitalization in executing the operations of micro-enterprises aimed at enhancing profitability as a performance indicator. The study provides empirical evidence which supports the perspective that digitalization is positively associated with profitability in micro-enterprises within the Nigerian context. The study also proffers efficacious recommendations which have implication for micro enterprises' practitioners, investors and the government as a policy maker and law enforcement facilitator.

## Acknowledgment

The Author appreciates; the Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TET Fund) of Nigeria; Federal Polytechnic Wannune Benue State and; the team of assessors for awarding a Grant to finance this research project and providing quality appraisal on the paper respectively.

[**Note:** *Appendices 1 and 2 are available on request through an email to the author.*]

## References

- [1] Abdur Rouf, K. (2012). Green microfinance promoting green enterprise development *Humanomics*, 28(2), 148-161.
- [2] Akande, O. O. (2013). Does Entrepreneurship Programs Business Performance? An Empirical Investigation of the Nigeria SMEs. *Clear International Journal of Research in Commerce & Management*, 4(9).
- [3] Agboola, O., Adelugba, I. A., & Eze, B. U. (2023). Effect of financial technology on the survival of micro-enterprises. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Knowledge*, 11(1), 1-13.
- [4] Agostino, D., Saliterer, I., & Steccolini, I. (2021). Digitalization, accounting and accountability: A literature review and reflections on future research in public services. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 38(2).
- [5] Ajayi, P. O., & Gomna, G. G. (2021). Entrepreneurial Marketing as a Panacea to the Growth of the Nigerian Informal Sector. *International Journal of Management and Marketing Systems*, 13(9), 119-133.
- [6] Alarussi, A. S., & Alhaderi, S. M. (2018). Factors affecting profitability in Malaysia. *Journal of Economic Studies*, 45(3), 442-458.
- [7] Akanji O. O. (2006): Microfinance as strategy for poverty reduction, *CBN Economic and Financial Review*, vol. 39, no. 4.
- [8] Akintoye I.R. and Oladejo M.O (2008): "Theory of Micro Enterprises. The Nigeria experience", *International Journal of Social Sciences*, University of Uyo, Akwa Ibom state Nigeria, vol. 3, no. 7.
- [9] Akoten, J. E., Sawada, Y., & Otsuka, K. (2006). The Determinants of Credit Access and its Impacts on Micro and Small Enterprises: The Case of Garment Producers in Kenya. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 54(4), 927-944.
- [10] Arora, B. and Rahman, Z. (2017), "Information technology capability as competitive advantage in emerging markets: Evidence from India", *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 447-463.
- [11] Audretsch, D. B., & Sanders, M. (2011). Technological innovation, entrepreneurship and development. *Entrepreneurship, innovation, and economic development*, 35-65.
- [12] Australia, G. o. (2024). Digital Tools and Software. Retrieved from Australian Government Business: <https://business.gov.au/online-and-digital/digital-tools-and-software#Digital-tools-to-run-your-operations>
- [13] Altman, I E. (1993), 'Corporate Financial Distress and Bankruptcy: A Complete Guide to Predicting and avoiding Distress and Profiting from Bankruptcy', John Wiley and Sons Limited, New York.
- [14] Barney, J.B., (1986a). Strategic factor markets: expectations, luck, and business strategy. *Management Science* 10, 1231-1241.
- [15] Barney, J. B. (1996). The resource-based theory of the firm. *Organization science*, 7(5), 469- 469.
- [16] Barney, J.B. (2001). Is the Resource-Based "View" a Useful Perspective for Strategic Management Research? Yes. *The Academy of Management Review*, 26 (1), 41.
- [17] Barney, J. B., & Hesterley, W. (2006). Organizational economics: understanding the relations between organizations and economics analysis. In: Clegg, S., Hardy, C., Nord, W.R. (Eds.), *Handbook of Organization Studies*. Sage, London, pp. 111-148.
- [18] Barney, J.B., Clark, D.N. (2007). *Resource-Based Theory: Creating and Sustaining Competitive Advantage*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, New York.
- [19] Beck, T., Demirguc-Kunt, A., & Levine, R. (2005). SMEs, Growth, and Poverty: Cross-Country Evidence. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 10(3), 199-229.
- [20] Benavente, J. M., Lillo, N., & Turen, J. (2011). ICT in Chilean firms. In S. Vergara, S. Rovira, & M. Balboni (Eds.), *ICT in Latin America: A microdata analysis*. MPRA paper no. 34598.ECLAC—United Nations.

- [21] Berg, R., Rubio, J., & Laske, N. (2024). Catalysts of Change How Entrepreneurs Are Transforming Latin America. Centre for Strategic and International Studies, 1-16.
- [22] Bi, R., Davison, R. M., & Smyrniotou, K. X. (2017). E-business and fast growth SMEs. *Small Business Economics*, 48(3), 559–576.
- [23] Bloom, N., & Van Reenen, J. (2007). Measuring and explaining management practices across firms and nations. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 122, 1351–1408.
- [24] Brixiova, Z., Kangoye, T., & Yogo, T. U. (2020). Access to Finance among Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises and Job Creation in Africa. IZA - Institute of Labor Economics.
- [25] Bogner, E., Voelklein, T., Schroedel, O. (2016): Study Based Analysis on the Current Digitalization Degree in the Manufacturing Industry in Germany. In: *Procedia CIRP*, vol. 57, pp. 14–19.
- [26] Conner, K.R., 1991. A historical comparison of resource-based theory and five schools of thought with industrial organization economics: do we have a new theory of the firm? *Journal of Management* 17, 121–154.
- [27] Chesini, G., & Giaretta, E. (2024). The impact of digitalization on the profitability of large US banks. *European Journal of International Management*, 23(2-3), 437-469.
- [28] Cumming, D., & Groh, A. P. (2018). Entrepreneurial finance: Unifying themes and future directions. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 50(2018), 538-555.
- [29] David, F.R. (2005). *Strategic Management: Concepts and Cases*, Tenth Edition. Prentice Hall, Pearson Education International.
- [30] Dent, E. B., & Goldberg, S. G. (1999). Challenging “resistance to change”. *The Journal of applied behavioral science*, 35(1), 25-41.
- [31] Eller, R., Alford, P., Kallmünzer, A., & Peters, M. Antecedents, consequences, and challenges of small and medium-sized enterprise digitalization. *Journal of Business Research*, 112, 119-127.
- [32] Ehrhardt, M. C. (2011). *Financial management: theory and practice*. USA.
- [33] Etim, G. S., James, E. E., Ekong, J. E., & Jemil, D. O. (2023). Information and communication technologies (ICT) and performance of micro, small and medium enterprises (MSMEs) in Nigeria. *African Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 6(3), 89-112.
- [34] European Investment Bank. (2019). *Financing the digitalization of small and medium-sized enterprises: The enabling role of digital innovation hubs Executive summary*. European Investment Bank.
- [35] Fama, E. F. (1980). Agency problems and the theory of the firm. *Journal of political economy*, 88(2), 288-307.
- [36] Freeman, R.E. 1984. *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*. Boston: Pitman Publishing Inc.
- [37] Foreman-Peck, J., Makepeace, G., & Morgan, B. (2006). Growth and profitability of small and medium-sized enterprises: Some Welsh evidence. *Regional studies*, 40(4), 307-319.
- [38] Galbreath, J. (2005). Which resources matter the most to firm success? An exploratory study of resource-based theory. *Technovation*, 25(9), 979-987.
- [39] Galindo-Martín, M. Á., Castaño-Martínez, M. S., & Méndez-Picazo, M.T. (2019). Digital transformation, digital dividends and entrepreneurship: A quantitative analysis. *Journal of business research*, 101, 522-527.
- [40] Gassmann, O., Frankenberger, K., & Csik, M. (2013). The St. Gallen business model navigator. *Int. J. Prod. Dev.*, 18, 249-273.
- [41] Gebreyesus, M. (2007). Growth of micro-enterprises: Empirical evidence from Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Development Research Institute (EDRI)*, 1(2), 1-21.
- [42] Gibson, C. (2012). *Financial Reporting and Analysis. Using Financial Accounting Information*. Mason: South-Western Cengage Learning
- [43] Gilchrist, Simon & Himmelberg, Charles P., 1995, 'Evidence on the role of cash flow for investment,' *Journal of Monetary Economics*, Elsevier, vol. 36(3), pp. 541-572.
- [44] Graetz, G., & Michaels, G. (2018). Robots at work. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 100(5), 753-768.
- [45] Grant, R.M. (2010). *Contemporary Strategy Analysis: Concepts, Techniques, Applications*, 7th ed. Wiley, Chichester, West Sussex, UK.
- [46] Ha, T., Chau, N. and Hieu, N. (2016) The Impact of Governance on Entrepreneurship Development in ASEAN+1 Countries: Evidence from World Bank Datasets. *Modern Economy*, 7, 515-525.
- [47] Haim Hilman Abdullah (2005). *Pengurusan Strategik*. McGraw-Hill (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd., 2005.
- [48] Hendrawan, S. A., Chatra, A., Iman, N., Hidayatullah, S., & Suprayitno, D. (2024). Digital transformation in MSMEs: Challenges and opportunities in technology management. *Jurnal Informasi dan Teknologi*, 141-149.
- [49] Henriette, E., Feki, M., & Boughzala, I. (2015). The shape of digital transformation: A systematic literature review.
- [50] Hervé, A., Schmitt, C., & Baldeger, R. (2021). Digitalization, entrepreneurial orientation & internationalization of micro-small and medium-sized enterprises. *Technology Innovation Management Review*.
- [51] Hess, T., Benlian, A., Matt, C., & Wiesbock, F. (2016). Options for formulating a digital transformation strategy. *MIS Quarterly Executive*, 15(2), 123–139.
- [52] Higgins, R. C. (2003). *Analysis for Financial Management*. New York: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- [53] Iorchir, D. (2006). Reducing Poverty in Benue State of Nigeria: The Role of Microfinance and Micro-Enterprises. *Journal of Business Management*, 1(2), 15-29.
- [54] Jafar, H., Muda, I., Zainal, A., & Yasin, W. (2010). Profit maximization theory, survival-based theory and contingency theory: a review on several underlying research theories of corporate turnaround. *Jurnal Ekonom*, 13(4), 136-143.
- [55] James, E. E., Etim, G. S., Nnana, A. N., & Okeowo, V. O. (2021). E-marketing strategies and performance of small and medium-sized enterprises: A new-normal agenda. *Journal of Business and Management Studies*, 3(2), 162.
- [56] Jones, T.M. (1995). Instrumental stakeholder theory: A synthesis of ethics and economics. *Academy of Management Review*, 20: 404-437.
- [57] Juliana, N. O., Hui, H. J., Clement, M., Solomon, E. N., & Elvis, O. K. (2021). The impact of creativity and innovation on entrepreneurship development: evidence from Nigeria. *Open Journal of Business and Management*, 9(4), 1743-1770.
- [58] Kamunge, M. S., Njeru, A., & Tirimba, O. I. (2014). Factors affecting the performance of small and micro enterprises in Limuru Town Market of Kiambu County, Kenya. *International journal of scientific and research publications*, 4(12), 1-20.
- [59] Karim, M. S., Nahar, S., & Demirbag, M. (2022). Resource-based perspective on ICT use and firm performance: A meta-analysis investigating the moderating role of cross-country ICT development status. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 179, 121626.
- [60] Kaur, D., Dang, G. P., Tandon, S., & Sharma, P. (2020). Exploring mediation and moderated mediation in assessing the impact of digitalization on profitability of MSMEs. *International Journal of Management*, 11(11).
- [61] Kawira, K.D., Mukulu, E. & Odhiambo, R. (2019), Effect of Digital Marketing on the Performance of MSMEs in Kenya, *Journal of Marketing & Communication*, Vol 2(1) pp. 1-23.
- [62] Khairuddin, S. M. H. S., & Olowosuyi, O. S. (2020). Digital adoption of SME in Nigeria: The relationship with business performance. *Journal of Management and Muamalah*, 10(2), 21-34.
- [63] Killen, C. P., Jugdev, K., Drouin, N., & Petit, Y. (2012). Advancing project and portfolio management research: Applying strategic management theories. *International journal of project management*, 30(5), 525-538.
- [64] Kotarba, M. (2018). Digital transformation of business models. *Foundations of management*, 10(1), 123-142.
- [65] Kossai, M., & Piget, P. (2014). Adoption of information and communication technology and firm profitability: Empirical evidence from Tunisian SMEs. *The Journal of High Technology Management Research*, 25(1), 9-20.
- [66] Kilimis, P., Zou, W., Lehmann, M., & Berger, U. (2019). A survey on digitalization for SMEs in Brandenburg, Germany. *IFAC Papers OnLine*, 52(13), 2140-2145.
- [67] Kossai, M., & Piget, P. (2014). Adoption of information and communication technology and firm profitability: Empirical evidence from Tunisian SMEs. *The Journal of High Technology Management Research*, 25(1), 9-20.
- [68] Koski, H. (2010). Firm growth and profitability: The role of mobile IT and organizational practices. ETLA, The Research Institute of the Finnish Economy discussion papers.
- [69] Kovalevska, N., Nesterenko, I., Lutsenko, O., Nesterenko, O., & Hlushach, Y. (2022). Problems of accounting digitalization in conditions of business processes digitalization. *Amazonia Investiga*, 11(56), 132–141.
- [70] Kraus, S., Durst, S., Ferreira, J. J., Veiga, P., Kailer, N., & Weinmann, A. (2022). Digital transformation in business and management research: An overview of the current status quo. *International journal of information management*, 63, 102466.
- [71] Kubicková, L., & Procházková, L. (2014). Success evaluation of small and medium-sized enterprises in terms of their participation in the

- internationalization process. *E&M Ekonomie a Management*, 17(2), 131-145.
- [72] Kwak, Y.H., Anbari, F.T. (2009). Analyzing project management research: perspectives from top management journals. *International Journal of Project Management* 27, 435-446.
- [73] Lesáková, L., Gundová, P., & Elexa, L. (2015). Finančno-ekonomická analýza podniku. Banská Bystrica: Ekonomická fakulta Univerzity Mateja Bela
- [74] Lesáková, L., Ondrušová, A., & Vinczeová, M. (2019). Factors determining profitability of small and medium enterprises in selected industry of mechanical engineering in the Slovak Republic: The empirical study.
- [75] Lesáková, L. (2014). Small and medium enterprises in the new world of globalization. *Forum Scientiae Oeconomia*, 2(3), 111-122.
- [76] Lesáková, L., Gundová, P., & Elexa, L. (2015). Finančno-ekonomická analýza podniku.
- [77] Banská Bystrica: Ekonomická fakulta Univerzity Mateja Bela.
- [78] Llopis-Albert, C., Rubio, F., & Valero, F. (2021). Impact of digital transformation on the automotive industry. *Technological forecasting and social change*, 162, 120343.
- [79] Lim, F. P. C. (2013). Impact of information technology on accounting systems. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Multimedia Services Convergent with Art, Humanities, and Sociology*, 3(2), 93-106.
- [80] Liu, D.-Y., Chen, S.-W., & Chou, T.C. (2011). Resource fit in digital transformation: Lessons learned from the CBC Bank global e-banking project. *Management Decision*, 49(10), 1728-1742.
- [81] Louangrath, I. (2014). Sample size determination for non-finite population. *Southeast Asian Journal of Sciences*, 3(2), 141-152.
- [82] Louangrath, P. (2017). Minimum sample size method based on survey scales. *Int. J. Res. Methodol. Soc. Sci.*, 3(3), 44-52.
- [83] Lynch, R. (2000). *Corporate Strategy* (2nd ed.): Prentice Hall.
- [84] Margaretha, F., & Supartika, N. (2016). Factors affecting profitability of small medium enterprises (SMEs) firm listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange. *Journal of Economics, Business and Management*, 4(2), 132-137.
- [85] Maynard, J. (2013). *Financial Accounting, Reporting and Analysis*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [86] Melville, N., Kraemer, K. L., & Gurbaxani, V. (2004). Information technology and organizational performance: An integrative model of IT business value. *MIS Quarterly*, 28(2), 283-322.
- [87] Mills, J., Platts, K., & Bourne, M. (2003). Applying resource-based theory: methods, outcomes and utility for managers. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 23(2), 148-166.
- [88] Mithas, S., Tafti, A., Bardhan, I., & Goh, J. M. (2012). Information technology and firm profitability: mechanisms and empirical evidence. *Mis Quarterly*, 205-224.
- [89] Mohd Khairuddin Hashim (2005). *Strategic Management*. Thomson Learning, Singapore.
- [90] Newbert, S.L. (2007). Empirical research on the resource-based view of the firm: An assessment and suggestions for future research. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28, pp. 121-146, 2007.
- [91] Monton, A. L. (2022). *Difference and Similarities: Digitization, Digitalization, and Digital Transformation*. *GlobalSign Blog*.
- [92] Mpunga, H. S. (2016). Examining the factors affecting export performance for small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in Tanzania.
- [93] Musyoki, N. (2010). Microfinance and business development service linkages: Synergies for micro and small enterprise development in Kenya.
- [94] Mutoharoh, W., & Buyong, S. Z. (2020, December). MSME's Performance-in the Effect of Accounting Digitization. In *ICIC 2020: Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Islamic Civilization, ICIC 2020, 27th August 2020, Semarang, Indonesia (Vol. 209)*. European Alliance for Innovation.
- [95] Nachmias, C., & Nachmias, D. (1996). *Research Methodology in the Social Sciences* (5<sup>th</sup> ed.). London: St. Martin's Press Inc.
- [96] Nanda, S., & Panda, A. K. (2018). The determinants of corporate profitability: an investigation of Indian manufacturing firms. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 13(1), 66-86.
- [97] Ndesaulwa, A. P., & Kikula, J. (2016). The impact of innovation on performance of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in Tanzania: A review of empirical evidence. *Journal of Business and Management Sciences*, 4(1), 1-6.
- [98] Okundaye, K., Fan, S. K., & Dwyer, R. J. (2019). Impact of information and communication technology in Nigerian small-to medium-sized enterprises. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Science*, 24(47), 29-46.
- [99] Oladejo and Yinus (2013); An influential analysis of the Impact of Information Technology (IT) on Cooperative Services in Nigeria: *European Journal of Business and Innovation*
- [100] Olurinola, I., Osabohien, R., Adeleye, B. N., Ogunrinola, I., Omosimua, J. I., & De Alwis, T. (2021). Digitalization and innovation in Nigerian firms. *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, 11(3), 263.
- [101] Olusola, A., Usman, O. A., Aina-David, O. A., & Yinus, S. O. (2013). An appraisal of the impact of information technology (IT) on Nigeria small and medium enterprises (SMEs) performance. *International Journal of Academic Research in Management*, vol. 2, 140-152.
- [102] Oscar Harkavy (1953), 'The Relation Between Retained Earnings and Common Stock Prices for Large, Listed Corporations', *The Journal of Finance*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 283-297.
- [103] Olu, O. J. O. (2009, November). Impact of microfinance on entrepreneurial development: The case of Nigeria. In the *International Conference on Economics and Administration*, Faculty of Administration and business, pp. 536-545.
- [104] Olugbenga, S., & Mashigo, P. (2017). The impact of microfinance on micro-enterprises. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations*, vol. 14, no. 3, 82-92.
- [105] Oyewale, I. O., Adeyemo, S. A., & Ogunleye, P. O. (2013). Technological innovation: An imperative tool for entrepreneurship development in Nigeria. *Australian Journal of Business and Management Research*, 3(8), 41-47.
- [106] Omoyele, O. S., Babarinde, S. A., Adeleke, O. K., & Aigbedion, T. I. (2022). Digital Entrepreneurship and Sustainable Business Models: Evidence Amongst SMEs in Lagos State, Nigeria. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 6(8), 4430-4440.
- [107] Paluch, S., Antons, D., Brettel, M., Hopp, C., Salge, T. O., Piller, F., & Wentzel, D. (2020). Stage-gate and agile development in the digital age: Promises, perils, and boundary conditions. *Journal of Business Research*, 110, 495-501.
- [108] Pantelei, A., & Lazari, L. (2022). Accounting in digitalization conditions. in: *The challenges of accounting in the view of young researchers: Student conf., ISSC 2022 Collection of scientific articles*, 6th ed., March 11-12, 2022. Chisinau: ASEM, 2022, pp. 374-378.
- [109] Parviainen, P., Tihinen, M., Kääriäinen, J., & Teppola, S. (2017). Tackling the digitalization challenge: how to benefit from digitalization in practice. *International journal of information systems and project management*, 5(1), 63-77.
- [110] Parmar, B. L., Freeman, R. E., Harrison, J. S., Wicks, A. C., Purnell, L., & De Colle, S. (2010). Stakeholder theory: The state of the art. *Academy of Management Annals*, 4(1), 403-445.
- [111] Peteraf, M. A., & Bergen, M. E. (2003). Scanning dynamic competitive landscapes: a market-based and resource-based framework. *Strategic management journal*, 24(10), 1027-1041.
- [112] Porter, M. E. (2008). Competitive advantage: Creating and sustaining superior performance. *simon and schuster*.
- [113] Piccinini, E., Hanelt, A., Gregory, R., & Kolbe, L. (2015). Transforming industrial business: the impact of digital transformation on automotive organizations.
- [114] Priyono, A., Moin, A., & Putri, V. N. A. O. (2020). Identifying digital transformation paths in the business model of SMEs during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 6(4), 104.
- [115] Raduan, C. R., Jegak, U., Haslinda, A., & Alimin, I. I. (2009). Management, strategic management theories and the linkage with organizational competitive advantage from the resource-based view. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 11(3), 402-418
- [116] Rai, A., Patnayakuni, R., & Seth, N. (2006). Firm performance impacts of digitally enabled supply chain integration capabilities. *MIS Quarterly*, 30(2), 225-2.
- [117] Ranta, V., Aarikka-Stenroos, L., & Väisänen, J. M. (2021). Digital technologies catalyzing business model innovation for circular economy—Multiple case study. *Resources, conservation and recycling*, 164, 105155.
- [118] Rossato, C., & Castellani, P. (2020). The contribution of digitalization to business longevity from a competitiveness perspective. *The TQM Journal*, 32(4), 617-645.
- [119] Rumelt, R.P., Schendel, D.E., Teece, D.J. (1994). Fundamental issues in strategy. In: Rumelt, R.P., Schendel, D.E., Teece, D.J. (Eds.), *Fundamental Issues in Strategy: A Research Agenda*. Harvard Business School Press, Boston, pp. 9-47.

- [120] Sambamurthy, V., Bharadwaj, A., & Grover, V. (2003). Shaping agility through digital options: Re-conceptualizing the role of information technology in contemporary firms. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(2), 237-26.
- [121] Sasu, D.D. (2022). *Poverty headcount rate in Nigeria as of 2019, by state*. Retrieved on March 22, 2025 from <https://www.statista.com/statistics/1121438>
- [122] Schwertner, K. (2017). Digital transformation of business. *Trakia Journal of Sciences*, 15(1), 388-393.
- [123] Sharma, S. (2014). Benefits of a registration policy for microenterprise performance in India. *Small Business Economics*, 42(1), 153-164.
- [124] Shehadeh, M., Almohtaseb, A., Aldehayyat, J., & Abu-AlSondos, I. A. (2023). Digital transformation and competitive advantage in the service sector: a moderated-mediation model. *Sustainability*, 15(3).
- [125] Shettima, M., & Sharma, N. (2020). Impact of Digitalization on small and medium enterprises in Nigeria. *Adalya Journal*, 4(2), 635-644.
- [126] SMEDAN. (2017). National Survey of Micro Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) 2017. <https://nigerianstat.gov.ng/elibrary/read/966>
- [127] Smith, A. (1937). *The wealth of nations [1776]* (Vol. 11937).
- [128] Stejskal, J., Mikušová-Mericková, B., & Prokop, V. (2016). The cooperation between enterprises: significant part of the innovation process – a case study of the Czech machinery industry. *E&M Ekonomika a Management*, 19(3), 110-121.
- [129] Stefanovova, Z., Bartkova, H., & Peterkova, J. (2020). Evaluation of the Effects of Digitization in the Process of Accounting Operations in a Selected Manufacturing Company. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 74, 02016.
- [130] Stolterman, E. and Fors, A.C. (2004), "Information technology and the good life", in Kaplan, B., Truex, D.P., Wastell, D., Wood-Harper, A.T. and DeGross, J. (Eds), *Information Systems Research: Relevant Theory and Informed Practice*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, London, pp. 687-692.
- [131] Susilo, D., Wahyudi, S., & Demi Pangestuti, I. R. (2020). Profitability determinants of manufacturing firms in Indonesia.
- [132] Taskinsoy, J. (2019). Blockchain: moving beyond bitcoin into a digitalized world.
- [133] Tello, M. D. (2011). Science and technology, ICT and profitability in the manufacturing sector in Peru. In S.Vergara, S. Rovira, & M. Balboni (Eds.), *ICT in Latin America: A microdata analysis*. ECLAC—United Nations, MPRA paper no. 34598.
- [134] Thirumalaisamy, R. (2013). Firm growth and retained earnings behavior—A Study on Indian firms. *European journal of business and management*, 5(27), 40-57.
- [135] Titis Sri Wulan, Reni, Rezty Arizta Putri, & Dewi Agustina Solihin. (2024). Digital Transformation as a Catalyst for SMEs Productivity and Profitability in the Digital Era. *Journal of Economic Education and Entrepreneurship Studies*, 5(4), 601-611.
- [136] Truant, E., Broccardo, L., & Dana, L. P. (2021). digitalization boosts company performance: An overview of Italian listed companies. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 173, 121173.
- [137] Tuyon, J., Mohammad, S. & Ali, R. (2011). The role of microfinance in development of micro enterprises in Malaysia. *Business & Management Quarterly Review*. 2. 47-57.
- [138] Utami, H., & Alamanos, E. (2022). Resource-based theory. A review. *Water Act*, 2016, 1-26.
- [139] Varzaru, A. A. (2022). Assessing Artificial Intelligence Technology Acceptance in Managerial Accounting. *Electronics*, 11(14), 2256.
- [140] Vial, G. (2019). Understanding digital transformation: A review and a research agenda. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 28(2), 118-144.
- [141] Walsh, J. P. (2005). Taking stock of stakeholder management. *Academy of Management Review*, vol. 30, no. 2, 426-438.
- [142] Wernerfelt, B., 1984. A resource-based view of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 5, 171-180.
- [143] William G. Droms (1987), 'Asset Allocation for Individual Investors', (editor), (Homewood, Illinois: Dow Jones Irwin/Institute for Chartered Financial Analysts, 1987).
- [144] Wolfensohn, J. D. (2000). How the World Bank is attacking poverty through small enterprise development and microfinance. *Small Enterprise Development*, 11(1), 5-7.
- [145] Yahaya, H. D., Geidam, M. M., & Usman, M. U. (2016). The role of Micro Small and Medium Enterprises in the economic development of Nigeria. *Journal of Advance Management and Accounting Research*, 3(4), 1-18.
- [146] Yamane, Taro. (1967). *Statistics, An Introductory Analysis*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., p. 886. New York: Harper and Row.
- [147] Yazdanfar, D. (2013). Profitability determinants among micro firms: evidence from Swedish data. *International Journal of Managerial Finance*, 9(2), 151-160.
- [148] Yoo, Y., Henfridsson, O., & Lyytinen, K. (2010). Research commentary—the new organizing logic of digital innovation: An agenda for information systems research. *Information systems research*, 21(4), 724-735.
- [149] Yuping Shang, Syed Ali Raza, Zhe Huo, Umer Shahzad, Xin Zhao (2023). Does enterprise digital transformation contribute to the carbon emission reduction? Micro-level evidence from China. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, vol. 86, pp. 1-13.
- [150] Zahrah Buyong, S. (2020). Digitalization of accounting information impact on MSMEs' profitability and productivity. *Jurnal Riset Akuntansi Dan Bisnis Airlangga*, 5(2), 867-884.
- [151] Zainal Abidin Mohamed (2005). *Pengurusan Strategik*. Siri Pengurusan dan Pentadbiran Utusan. Utusan Publications & Distributors Sdn. Bhd., Kuala Lumpur.
- [152] Zhai, H., Yang, M., & Chan, K. C. (2022). Does digital transformation enhance a firm's performance? Evidence from China. *Technology in Society*, 68, 101841.
- [153] Zhu, K., & Kraemer, K. L. (2005). Post-adoption variations in usage and value of e-business by organizations: Cross country evidence from the retail industry. *Information Systems Research*, 16(1), 61.
- [154] "The Wealth of Nations" is from Adam Smith, *An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations*, ed. Edwin A. Seligman (London: J. M. Dent, 1901), pp. 12-15, 400-401, 436-437.
- [155] Smith, A. (1937). *The wealth of nations [1776]*.
- [156] Stakeholders include; customers, suppliers, employees, financiers, the government, etc.
- [157] The CAC is a Nigerian government regulatory body established to register and monitor companies among other functions. <https://www.cac.gov.ng/about/>
- [158] The six locations considered to be densely populated for the purpose of this research are: Kano, Lagos, Katsina, Kaduna, Bauchi and Federal Capital Territory. <https://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng/download/1241121>