

Contextualizing Fragmented Islamic Artefacts in Qatar's Museums: A Theoretical Framework for Post-Excavation Interpretation

Mohammad Abul Mufazzal^{1*}, Naseem Fatima Chaudhary²

^{1,2}Research Fellow, Centre of Arabic & African Studies, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi, India

Abstract: This paper proposes a theoretical framework for the interpretation of fragmented Islamic artefacts excavated in Qatar, within museum settings. The research problem addresses how to contextualize fragmentary archaeological finds from Islamic-period sites so that museum audiences can understand their historical and cultural significance. Adopting an interpretive archaeology approach and drawing on museum studies theory, the study reviews existing literature and case studies (including Qatar Museums' practices) to bridge the gap between field context and public exhibition. The methodology is qualitative, involving literature review, analysis of museum exhibits, and a case study of Qatari Islamic artefacts post-excavation. The theoretical lens integrates object biography (considering an artefact's life cycle from creation to excavation and display) and constructivist museum interpretation (emphasizing visitor meaning making). Expected contributions include a set of guidelines for curators to enhance the narrative and educational value of archaeological fragments, ensuring that even incomplete objects are presented with rich context. This framework aims to support Qatar Museums in fulfilling their role as custodians of heritage and educators, and it offers insights applicable to Islamic archaeology exhibits globally. It also demonstrates how archaeological and museological perspectives can be synthesized into a cohesive interpretive model.

Keywords: Archaeological Interpretation, Contextualization, Heritage, Islamic Artefacts, Museology, Qatar.

1. Introduction

In archaeology, context is paramount: an artefact's meaning and significance are largely derived from its original archaeological context, including its location, association with other finds, and stratigraphic position (Hodder, 1991). However, when artefacts – especially fragmented ones – are removed from excavation sites and placed in museum settings, much of their context can be lost or difficult to convey to the public.

This challenge is acute in the Islamic archaeological context of Qatar, where recent excavations at sites such as Murwab and Al Zubarah have unearthed numerous fragmentary objects (ceramics, architectural elements, inscribed tablets, etc.) that date back to various Islamic periods (Al-Naimi, 2010). These fragments are rich in historical information but can appear insignificant or confusing to museum visitors if presented

without adequate interpretation.

The significance of this study lies in its focus on Qatar, a nation that in recent decades has invested heavily in cultural heritage and museum development. Qatar Museums, the state's cultural institution, oversees the preservation and presentation of archaeological findings, positioning museums as key interpreters of national heritage. For instance, the National Museum of Qatar (NMoQ) and the Museum of Islamic Art in Doha are tasked with educating the public about Islamic history and culture through objects and artefacts. Museums in the Arabian Gulf are often closely linked to national identity projects, with state authorities shaping museum narratives. This context demands a careful interpretive approach: fragmented artefacts must be presented in ways that honor their archaeological provenance while also contributing to a broader national and Islamic cultural narrative.

The research question guiding this paper is: *How can curators and archaeologists develop and apply a theoretical framework to interpret fragmented Islamic artefacts from Qatar's archaeological excavations in museum settings, in order to convey their full context and significance to the public?*

To address this question, the paper synthesizes theories from both archaeology and museum studies. It proposes a framework grounded in interpretive archaeology (emphasizing that archaeological data are theory-laden and require contextual interpretation) and new museology (which advocates for museums to focus on meaning-making and visitor engagement rather than just object display). By combining these perspectives, the study aims to bridge the gap between the archaeological record and museum narrative.

2. Literature Review

A. Archaeological Interpretation and Context

The importance of context in archaeological interpretation has been well established in theoretical literature (Hodder, 1991; Renfrew & Bahn, 2016). Interpretive archaeology argues that artefacts are not self-explanatory and that archaeologists must reconstruct the cultural and historical context to give meaning to findings. In the case of fragmented artefacts (for example, a broken piece of ceramic or a partial inscription),

*Corresponding author: khanmufazzal@gmail.com

interpretation often involves extrapolating from incomplete data. Hodder (1991) notes that even scientific archaeological records require interpretation through a cultural lens, as excavators bring theoretical perspectives to what they observe. Thus, post-excavation analysis is a critical phase where specialists (archaeologists, conservators, epigraphers, etc.) collaborate to piece together the story behind artefacts. In Islamic archaeology specifically, context might include the object's function in daily life or ritual, its production technique, or trade networks indicated by its style and material (Al-Naimi, 2010). For instance, a fragment of a turquoise-glazed ceramic bowl from a 9th-century Abbasid site in Qatar can be identified and dated by comparing it with complete examples from the region, and its presence may indicate historical trade links or local usage patterns. By studying such fragments in context, archaeologists construct a narrative of Islamic-period life in Qatar that these objects represent.

Another relevant concept is the "cultural biography" of objects (Kopytoff, 1986). This approach suggests that artefacts pass through various social contexts and "life stages" – from creation and use, to deposition, excavation, and eventually museum curation. Kopytoff argues that to fully understand an artefact, one should "*reconstitut[e] its trajectory, identifying the different contexts and changes in the value of the object*" over time. Applying this to fragmented artefacts, each fragment can be seen as having a life history: it was once part of a whole object that had a purpose and meaning in its original setting, it became buried and transformed into archaeological evidence, and now it has entered a new phase as a museum piece. Recognizing this journey underlines the need to interpret not just the physical fragment, but also to communicate its origins and transformations.

B. Museum Studies and Interpretive Frameworks

In museum studies, particularly under the paradigm of the new museology (Vergo, 1989; Hooper-Greenhill, 2000), there is an emphasis on the active role of museums in creating meaning rather than merely displaying objects. Eilean Hooper-Greenhill (2000) describes museums as environments of meaning-making, where visitors engage with objects to construct knowledge. In this view, curators serve as interpreters or storytellers who provide context so that visitors can connect fragments to larger historical narratives. For example, Hooper-Greenhill (2000) notes that interpreting visual culture in museums entails "*complex and multi-layered matters, where meanings rooted in the past clash with contemporary interpretations*" (p. 1), highlighting the challenges in modern museum meaning-making.

Finally, the interpretive strategies must be tailored to the audience and cultural context. Qatar's population and museum audience are diverse – including local Qataris, residents from other countries, and international tourists. Interpretations of Islamic artefacts should therefore be accessible and educational to non-experts, while also resonating with those who have personal or cultural ties to Islamic heritage. The theoretical framework developed in this paper builds on the above concepts, seeking to provide a flexible yet

robust approach to guide curators in overcoming these challenges.

3. Methodology

This research employs a qualitative, interdisciplinary methodology to develop the proposed interpretive framework. The approach combines literature-based analysis with a case study examination of current practices at Qatar Museums.

First, an extensive literature review (as summarized above) was conducted, covering academic works on archaeological interpretation, museology, heritage communication, and case studies of artefact displays. By synthesizing these sources, key principles and theoretical insights were identified (e.g., the importance of context, narrative, and visitor engagement). These principles form the foundation of the framework.

Next, a case study was undertaken focusing on the interpretation of fragmented Islamic artefacts in Qatar's museum context. The primary case examined is the National Museum of Qatar's handling of archaeological materials from Al Zubarah (an 18th-century Islamic trading town) and Murwab (a 9th-century settlement). This involved analyzing exhibit content such as display text, layout, and use of media in galleries where fragmentary objects are presented. For example, in the NMoQ's "Archaeology of Qatar" gallery, approximately 1000 archaeological artefacts are displayed in glass cases to form an extended contextual narrative. The analysis looked at how these displays provide context: Do they show maps or photographs of excavation sites? Are there drawings or digital models that complete the object visually? How detailed are the labels in explaining the object's function and discovery?

Additionally, insights from professionals involved in exhibits (e.g., those who worked on the Al Zubarah visitor center or the NMoQ displays) provide practical perspectives on what strategies have been effective or challenging. For instance, Campbell (2022), a heritage specialist with Qatar Museums, documents how the Al Zubarah site presentation team compiled photographs, drawings, and videos of excavated objects to "help showcase the story of trade and daily life in the town," supplementing them with historical context about regional connections. Such qualitative data from practitioners complements the theoretical research.

The data gathered from literature, exhibit analysis, and expert input were then subjected to analytical coding to extract recurring themes and best practices. Using an inductive approach, themes like "contextual storytelling," "interactive reconstruction," "educational engagement," and "cultural relevance" emerged. These themes directly inform the components of the theoretical framework. Given that this research is largely theoretical, the methodology emphasizes synthesis and conceptual development. However, it is anchored in real-world observations (the Qatar case study) to ensure the framework's relevance and applicability.

4. Discussion

The proposed interpretive framework for post-excavation museum interpretation consists of several interrelated

components – contextual restoration, narrative techniques, interpretive labels, visitor engagement, and collaboration – each contributing to a richer understanding of fragmented Islamic artefacts. This framework aims to bridge the gap between archaeological fragments and public comprehension by restoring context, telling compelling stories, providing informative guidance, actively engaging visitors, and fostering cooperative knowledge building.

A. Contextual Restoration of Fragmented Artefacts

Contextual restoration refers to the practice of situating artefacts – especially fragmentary pieces – within their historical, cultural, and physical context so that visitors can envision their original form and function. Rather than physically reconstructing all fragments (which might be impossible or undesirable for conservation reasons), museums employ techniques like visual reconstructions, replicas, and digital modeling to “restore” context. For example, fragmented architectural elements or ceramics may be accompanied by drawings, 3D-printed replicas, or immersive visuals that show the complete object or the site where it was found. This approach allows viewers to appreciate the artefact’s original scale and use without compromising the integrity of the fragment itself (Falk & Dierking, 2016). In Islamic archaeology, such contextual restorations are crucial – a lone carved stucco fragment from an Abbasid-period house gains meaning when displayed alongside a digital reconstruction of the building’s façade, for instance.

A key method of contextual restoration is the integration of 3D models and augmented reality (AR) in exhibits. Digital reconstructions can reassemble shattered pieces virtually or overlay missing parts onto a fragment, offering a glimpse of the whole. At Qatar’s Al Zubarah site, curators have employed GPS-enabled digital reconstructions delivered via mobile devices to help visitors envision how the 18th-century town might have looked in its heyday (Mazzetto & Petruccioli, 2017). Using a smartphone or tablet, a visitor standing amid ruins can view an overlay of walls, houses, and marketplaces as they originally stood, effectively time-traveling to the past. This strategy has notable benefits: it preserves authenticity (since no physical structures are added to the site) and remains adaptable, as digital content can be updated or expanded easily without disturbing the original remains. A similar philosophy is applied in museum galleries. For example, the National Museum of Qatar (NMoQ) includes large-scale models of archaeological sites and full-size reconstructions (such as a bedouin encampment or a pearling dhow) to provide environmental context for artefacts on display (Exell & Rico, 2014). These reconstructions function as contextual anchors – a modest potsherd or a pearl diver’s weight gains significance when a model of the entire town or a pearl fishing boat is presented alongside it.

Curatorial challenges in contextual restoration include ensuring that the added context does not mislead or overshadow the artefact. Ethically, museums must clearly distinguish original material from reconstructed additions (through labeling or visual cues) so as not to confuse authenticity. There is also

the question of interpretation: multiple hypotheses may exist about an object’s original context, and curators must decide which narrative to visualize. Despite these challenges, contextual restoration is invaluable in archaeology museums; research in museum interpretation underscores that visitors understand and appreciate fragments far better when they are presented with relatable context rather than in isolation (Falk & Dierking, 2016). By recreating lost contexts – whether through dioramas, digital overlays, or strategic juxtaposition of fragments with more complete reference objects – museums fulfill both educational and experiential goals, enabling fragments of Islamic material culture to speak to contemporary audiences.

B. Narrative Techniques and Storytelling

Narrative is the connective tissue that turns disparate fragments into a compelling story. In museum interpretation, narrative techniques range from chronological storytelling and thematic presentations to personal or fictionalized accounts that bring history to life. Islamic artefacts, often removed from their original sites and functions, particularly benefit from narrative framing. Instead of treating objects as mere specimens, museums today strive to tell the *stories* of those objects: who made or used them, how they were discovered, and what they signify about the past. Such narrative approaches create an emotional and intellectual bridge between visitors and artefacts. Indeed, as museologist K. Moortheswari notes, “providing relevant information about museum objects in interesting ways and telling stories about the artifacts” has been identified as one of the most effective methods to engage and educate visitors. Storytelling can animate even the smallest fragment – for example, a broken 9th-century ceramic bowl might be woven into a broader narrative of medieval trade routes or daily life in an Abbasid marketplace, allowing visitors to imagine the human and historical context behind the fragment.



Fig. 1. Blue-on-white bowl (Basra, Abbasid period, 9th century CE), earthenware with opaque white glaze and cobalt blue decoration. Museum of Islamic art, Doha

Note. © Qatar Museums. Source: *Abbasid Ingenuity: The Blue-on-White Bowl*, Qatar Museums, 2024. (<https://qm.org.qa/en/stories/all-stories/collection-highlight-abbasid-blue-on-white-bowl/>)

Museums employ both macronarratives (big-picture histories) and micronarratives (individual object stories). The National Museum of Qatar exemplifies the power of macronarrative: it is organized as an overarching chronological journey through Qatar's history, with each gallery forming a chapter in the nation's story. Within this narrative, Islamic-period fragments (such as coins, pottery, or architectural remnants) are not displayed as isolated curios; instead, they appear as story-elements in the drama of Qatar's development, from early tribal settlements to the thriving pearling towns and beyond. Many galleries feature immersive art films projected on curving walls, which act out historical scenes or evoke environments – effectively narrative vignettes that bring the past to life. For example, in the NMoQ's "Life on the Coast" gallery, visitors watch an evocative film directed by Abderrahmane Sissako portraying day-to-day life in the old pearling port of Al Zubarah, while a large-scale model of the town spreads out below the screen. Unearthed objects from Al Zubarah (such as glazed jar fragments, an oil lamp base, carved window frame pieces, and pearl-diving weights) are displayed around this model, allowing visitors to connect these fragmentary artefacts to a vivid narrative of a bustling 18th-century Islamic town. Through this narrative technique, the artefacts become "characters" in a story; the film and model provide the setting, and the interpretive text fills in historical context, thereby transforming what could have been a dry display of shards into an engaging storyline of prosperity, trade, and daily life on the Arabian Gulf coast.

In addition to gallery-wide narratives, museums often use thematic storytelling and personalization. The Museum of Islamic Art (MIA) in Doha, which houses pan-Islamic collections, recently underwent a reimagining of its exhibition narrative. Instead of a purely aesthetic or regional grouping of objects, the new galleries introduce story-driven themes that link the artworks to Islamic civilization's broader narrative and to Qatar's own heritage (Rico, 2017). Each section now tells a story – for instance, the role of calligraphy in Islamic art, or the journey of pilgrims on the Hajj (with exhibits including historic Kiswah textiles and pilgrims' mementos) – so that visitors grasp context and significance rather than just viewing artifacts as art pieces. Narrative techniques also extend to creative tools like first-person accounts, dramatizations, or fictional narratives based on historical facts (a practice sometimes termed "narrative archaeology"). While care is needed to maintain accuracy, such techniques can humanize archaeological interpretation. For example, a museum label might invite visitors to "imagine the artisan in Samarkand who carved this tile, gathering pigments and clays..." – a hypothetical yet fact-based narrative that spurs empathy and curiosity.

Crucially, narrative-driven interpretation has been shown to enhance emotional engagement. Archaeologists and museologists have noted that narrative creates a pathway for visitors to connect with artifacts on a personal level, fostering a sense of shared human experience. In the context of Islamic archaeological heritage – which in Qatar often involves fragmentary remains of everyday life – storytelling helps counter the "limited public knowledge" about these periods. By

framing fragments within stories of real people (pearling merchants, craftsmen, scholars, or ordinary families), the museum can provoke wonder, empathy, and a deeper understanding. The balance of factual resonance and aesthetic wonder is key: as theorist Stephen Greenblatt argued, the ideal exhibit provokes wonder that leads to a desire for more context ("resonance") (Greenblatt, 1991). NMoQ's use of immersive films and carefully choreographed soundscapes alongside thousands of archaeological objects is a deliberate effort to create this balance, yielding moments of awe that hook the visitor and then supplying narrative information that enriches their understanding. Such narrative techniques, when thoughtfully applied, significantly elevate the interpretive value of fragmented artefacts, transforming them from mere relics into storytellers of the Islamic past.

C. Interpretive Labels and Textual Information

Interpretive labels – including object labels, panel texts, and interactive text on screens – remain a fundamental tool for contextualizing artifacts. In an academic museum context, labels do more than identify an object; they interpret it by providing background information, explaining significance, and linking it to larger themes. Effective interpretive labels for fragmented Islamic artefacts will typically address what the object is (name, material, date, provenance), where and how it was found (tying it to an archaeological context), and why it matters (its function or significance within Islamic history). The challenge is to convey this concisely and in accessible language. Best practices suggest organizing interpretive text hierarchically – with a short headline or theme, a brief main text, and additional details as needed – so that different levels of reader interest are catered to (Serrell, 2015). This approach establishes context and themes early in the label, helping visitors relate the fragment to familiar ideas before diving into specifics.

In applying this to, say, a fragmentary glazed ceramic bowl from ninth-century Iraq displayed in Qatar, the label might start with a thematic title like "Trade and Taste in Early Islamic Markets." A second line might give the object name and date (e.g., "Fragment of Abbasid-era bowl, Samarra, 9th c. CE"), and a short paragraph would then describe how such bowls were used and valued, perhaps noting the fragment's discovery in Qatar which evidences trade links with Iraq. By immediately framing the object within a theme (trade and aesthetic taste), the label orients the visitor to why this broken piece is important. This method aligns with Getty Museum guidelines that call for first establishing the logic, context, and themes of a display, thereby revealing relationships among objects (Getty Museum, 2010). For fragmented artefacts, establishing context at the outset is critical – unlike intact masterpieces, fragments cannot "speak for themselves" without explanation.

In Qatar's museums, interpretive text is almost always bilingual (Arabic and English), reflecting the country's multilingual audience. All object labels and panels at the National Museum and MIA are presented in Arabic (the national language) and English (the lingua franca for international visitors), with careful attention to clear phrasing

in both scripts (Exell & Rico, 2014). The design of these labels also reflects aesthetic considerations: for instance, at NMoQ, a custom Arabic font was developed to ensure readability and harmony with the museum's design. The substance of interpretive labels often includes storytelling elements as well. Rather than dryly listing facts, labels may pose questions or draw analogies. A label for a set of fragmented pearl-diving weights might begin, "Imagine holding your breath deep under the Gulf waters – these smooth stones once helped Qatari pearl divers sink faster." Such a sentence simultaneously interprets the object's use and engages the visitor's imagination. The remainder of the text would then fill in factual details about pearling culture and the archaeological finding of those weights. This technique turns labels into mini-narratives, complementing the broader storytelling in the gallery.

Another important aspect is the use of graphic panels and maps as interpretive text. When dealing with archaeological context, site plans, stratigraphic diagrams, or historical maps can be invaluable. For example, an exhibit of fragmented architectural decoration from an early mosque may include a wall panel showing a floor plan of the mosque ruin, with a highlighted spot where those fragments were found. Such visual labels give spatial context and can be universally understood. In Qatar, the Al Zubarah Visitor Center (housed in a historic fort) features information panels that line the visitor route, offering narrative explanations of different parts of the site and diagrams of the town's layout. These panels were developed in consultation with archaeologists and even tested with visitor feedback before finalization, underscoring an evidence-based approach to label content. They provide essential context so that when visitors later walk among the ruins, they can identify structures and understand the functions of what they are seeing.

Finally, interpretive text in museums increasingly extends to digital labels – touchscreens or mobile apps that offer on-demand information. The use of interactive kiosks or QR-code activated content is especially helpful with fragmentary pieces, where a wealth of data (including high-resolution photos of original excavation, 3D reconstructions, or comparative objects from other museums) can be made available beyond what a physical label can accommodate. However, implementing digital labels comes with curatorial considerations (e.g., ensuring the technology is reliable and user-friendly, and that alternatives are available for those less inclined to use devices). The key is that whether in print or on screen, interpretive text should enhance resonance: communicating not just the facts of an object but its broader cultural and historical meaning. In doing so, even humble fragments can convey powerful stories and connect with visitors' curiosity.

D. Visitor Engagement and Multi-Sensory Experience

Engaging visitors is at the heart of the interpretive framework – it is the vehicle that delivers the content in a memorable way. For fragmented artefacts, which might seem initially unremarkable, active visitor engagement strategies are essential to spark interest and sustain attention. Modern museums therefore employ a suite of techniques to make exhibitions interactive, multisensory, and participatory. The idea is to move

beyond the old paradigm of passive looking and reading; instead, visitors should be prompted to touch, listen, smell, and even act in relation to the exhibits when possible. Such engagement not only makes the museum visit more enjoyable, but it also reinforces learning by appealing to different learning styles and senses (Falk & Dierking, 2016).

One major trend in engagement is the creation of multi-sensory environments. The Museum of Islamic Art's 2022 reinstallation explicitly aimed to engage "through smelling, touching, hearing and listening" in addition to seeing. In practice, this means the galleries incorporate tactile reproductions (e.g. touchable replicas of an inscribed slab or a piece of textile), audio stations (perhaps the sound of a marketplace or recitation of a historical text), and even scent diffusers to evoke environments (such as the aroma of spices in a section on medieval trade). This multi-sensory approach is particularly effective in bridging time and culture – for instance, a display of incense burner fragments from an Islamic archaeological site could be accompanied by the fragrance of frankincense, instantly transporting visitors to a medieval majlis setting and making the experience more immersive. At NMoQ, the galleries collectively employ "a creative combination of sights, sounds and even evocative aromas," so that all the senses contribute to understanding Qatar's heritage. Immersive films with compelling audio soundtracks envelop visitors in a different time, while large-scale replicas (like life-size desert animal models or a full-scale traditional boat) provide physical reference points that visitors can walk around and inspect closely. By engaging multiple senses, these techniques help visitors, including children, form stronger mental connections to the material; research in museum studies suggests that multi-sensory experiences can significantly improve recall and deepen emotional engagement with content (Classen, 2017).

Interactivity is another cornerstone of visitor engagement. Hands-on experiences allow visitors to become participants rather than observers. For example, in NMoQ's Archaeology gallery, there is a dedicated "Family Exhibit" area where children (and adults) can practice excavation techniques on mock dig sites and handle reproduced artifacts. Such interactive zones are aligned with the educational concept of "learning through doing," which MIA also embraces through its new Family Trails and activation stations throughout the galleries. The Family Trails at MIA are essentially pre-planned scavenger hunts or guided routes with activities, making the act of exploring the galleries into an engaging game for younger audiences. This not only keeps children (often a tough audience for museums) invested in the experience, but also encourages family groups to discuss and learn together. Interactive digital exhibits are also widely used: touchscreens where one can rotate a 3D model of a fragmented artifact to see how it looked before breaking, or kiosks where visitors can watch short animated vignettes about an object's history, are common in state-of-the-art museum displays. At Al Zubarah's visitor center, digital displays and explanatory videos complement static panels, ensuring that visitors engage with content in varied formats. These digital engagements can simulate

scenarios – for instance, a touchscreen might let a visitor virtually reassemble pottery shards like a puzzle, illustrating the archaeological restoration process in an interactive manner.

E. Collaboration and Cross-Disciplinary Integration

Collaboration underpins every other component of the framework; it ensures that the interpretation of artifacts is scholarly accurate, culturally sensitive, and broadly accessible. In the context of fragmented Islamic artefacts, collaboration operates on multiple levels. First, there is collaboration between archaeologists, conservators, and museum curators. The process of taking an object from excavation to exhibition is inherently interdisciplinary. Archaeologists provide vital context (stratigraphy, dating, function hypotheses), conservators stabilize and sometimes physically restore the piece, and curators along with designers shape the narrative and display. Close collaboration among these experts is necessary so that the final interpretation presented to the public is both compelling and scientifically sound (Merriman, 2000). For example, determining how to display a fragment of an Umayyad-era stucco screen might involve archaeologists explaining its architectural role, materials scientists analyzing its pigments, and curators deciding to show it alongside a 3D reconstruction of the complete screen – a plan that only succeeds if all parties share knowledge.



Fig. 2. Archaeologists meticulously excavating the remains at Al Zubarah, Qatar. Such fieldwork and the data it yields form the foundation for later contextual restoration and interpretation in museums.

Note: Image from Al Zubarah: Qatar's largest heritage site, by Qatar Museums Authority, n.d., Qatar Museums (<https://qm.org.qa>)

In Qatar's major excavation projects, such as the Qatar Islamic Archaeology and Heritage Project, archaeologists were conscious from the outset of the goal to "preserve and present" the heritage to the public. This led to innovations like two mobile applications with AR content being developed alongside the research excavations – a direct result of collaboration between tech specialists, archaeologists, and educators (Fromherz, 2012). Collaborative planning ensures that, for instance, fragile fragments that cannot be displayed physically

might still be represented via digital models, or that interpretations remain up-to-date with latest research findings.

Another aspect is collaboration with historians, scholars of Islamic art, and community elders to enrich interpretive content. Islamic artefacts often carry religious and cultural significance that benefits from consultation with subject-matter experts or cultural bearers. Museums in Qatar have involved local historians and knowledgeable community members to gather oral histories and traditional knowledge, which are then incorporated as audio or text narratives in exhibits. This is a form of collaboration that broadens the interpretive lens beyond academic knowledge, integrating intangible heritage. For example, when interpreting pearl-diving artifacts, NMoQ and Al Zubarah teams collaborated with elderly Qatari former pearlers and divers to record their memories – these personal stories appear in the museum as recorded voices or quotes, adding authenticity and emotional depth to the displays of diving weights and boat fragments. Such community collaboration not only enhances content but also helps ensure the interpretation is culturally sensitive and resonates with local audiences.

Finally, collaboration in a museum context includes institutional partnerships. Qatar Museums has engaged in numerous partnerships with international institutions and technology companies to realize advanced interpretive projects. A notable case is the Memorandum of Understanding with Microsoft to develop "smart exhibits" using AI, AR, and VR across Qatar's museums. This partnership signifies collaboration between cultural and technological sectors, aimed at pushing the envelope of visitor experience. Another example is the joint effort between Qatar Museums and the University of Copenhagen in the excavation and presentation of Al Zubarah (the QIAH project). This decade-long collaboration combined resources and expertise from both parties, resulting in not only extensive research publications but also the on-site museum/visitor center and educational programs for the public. By involving a "multitude of specialists" and even training students, such collaborations enhanced capacity-building and introduced new interpretive techniques (for instance, Scandinavian experts contributed to developing heritage management plans and novel display strategies for the site). The benefits of collaboration are manifold: richer content, more innovative displays, and shared ownership of heritage interpretation. Collaboration also ensures that interpretation is not static – as new discoveries are made or new interpretive theories arise, an ongoing network of scholars and practitioners can help update exhibitions, keeping museum content dynamic and research-driven.

However, collaboration can come with challenges. Coordinating across disciplines requires clear communication and often a unified vision to avoid disjointed outcomes. There can sometimes be tension between scholarly priorities (accuracy, completeness) and design or communication priorities (clarity, appeal), which collaboration must negotiate. In Qatar's experience, one successful strategy has been iterative development of exhibits with feedback loops: for instance, Al Zubarah's info panels were developed "after feedback from

visitors” and in consultation with both Qatar Museums staff and external experts. By treating interpretation as a collaborative, evolving process rather than a one-time task, the resulting framework remains flexible and responsive.

F. Integration of Advanced Display Technologies

A distinctive feature of contemporary museum interpretation, and one highly relevant to contextualizing fragmented artifacts, is the integration of advanced display technologies. Augmented reality (AR), virtual reality (VR), interactive touchscreens, high-resolution projections, and immersive sound systems have become increasingly common tools to enhance storytelling and visualization. The framework’s components of contextual restoration and visitor engagement, in particular, are greatly amplified by these technologies – yet their use comes with curatorial considerations regarding practicality and visitor experience.

Augmented reality (AR) has proven especially useful in bridging gaps caused by fragmentation. As mentioned earlier, AR applications can digitally restore missing pieces or place artefacts back into a visual facsimile of their original setting. In gallery settings, AR might allow a visitor to point a museum-provided tablet (or their own device) at a broken object in a case and see a reconstructed 3D image of it overlaying the fragment. This was piloted, for instance, with certain glass vessels in European museums where holding up a tablet revealed the complete form and decoration of a half-intact vase. In Qatar, the use of AR has extended to site museums: Al Zubarah’s on-site app enables in-situ AR views of buildings and townscape as visitors traverse the ruins. Virtual reality (VR) can take this further by immersing visitors in an entirely virtual reconstruction. Imagine a VR station where visitors “step into” a 360° re-creation of a medieval souq, populated with digital artifacts extrapolated from archaeological finds – this gives a sense of scale and atmosphere that a single fragment could never convey on its own. Qatar Museums has signaled an interest in expanding such experiences; the collaboration with Microsoft explicitly aims to develop “new visitor experiences including augmented, virtual, and mixed-reality” in Qatar’s museums. The curatorial benefit of AR/VR is clear: they create immersive learning opportunities and can make abstract or incomplete remains tangible. A fragment of a tile mosaic can be virtually “placed” back on the wall from which it came, allowing viewers to appreciate the art as a whole. A dilapidated set of foundation stones can be visualized as a majestic fort in full glory, as is done through the VR reconstruction of Al Zubarah Fort’s original townscape.

Interactive displays like touchscreens and digital tables also play a significant role. These can host applications where visitors might, for example, explore layers of an archaeological dig by sliding their finger across the screen, or access x-ray and microscopic images of a corroded object to see underlying details. The National Museum of Qatar’s galleries feature numerous digital interactive exhibits – from smaller screens focusing on individual species and habitats in the natural history sections, to an interactive map where one can track historical pearling trade routes. In cultural galleries, digital kiosks often

provide supplementary content such as short documentaries or interviews with experts/artisans. The educational films on new screens throughout MIA’s galleries are a case in point: they offer curated video content to complement objects (for instance, a short film demonstrating traditional metalworking techniques next to a display of medieval metalwork fragments). These screens acknowledge that different visitors prefer different media – some learn best through reading, others through watching and listening – thereby broadening the exhibit’s accessibility.

Immersive environment technologies – such as large-scale projections and surround sound – have already been mentioned with NMoQ’s use of art films. Their integration can transform a gallery space from a static display to a theatrical experience. When done thoughtfully, this does not detract from the artifacts but rather draws attention to them. In NMoQ’s Archaeology gallery, for example, the continuous floor-to-ceiling projection of desert landscapes and magnified artefact textures serves as a dynamic backdrop that highlights the actual objects in front, which appear to float in space due to nearly invisible mounting. The curators coordinated the lighting, sound, and digital imagery so that none overwhelms the artifacts; instead, they create an ambiance of “wonder” that invites visitors to step closer to the glass cases and examine the ancient pieces with a sense of discovery. The benefits of such immersive tech are clear in visitor studies – they can captivate attention (overcoming the initial reluctance some may have toward “old broken objects”) and emotionally prime visitors for a learning moment. They are also particularly attractive to younger audiences who have grown up in multimedia environments.

That said, advanced technologies bring curatorial and practical challenges. One challenge is ensuring that technology remains a means to an end (interpretation) and not an end in itself. The presence of cutting-edge tech can risk shifting focus away from the artifacts if visitors treat the museum like a theme park ride. Curators must therefore design tech integration such that it complements the object storytelling rather than eclipsing it. Another issue is maintenance and obsolescence. High-tech exhibits require ongoing technical support, updates, and sometimes significant budget for hardware replacement. There is a risk of interactive stations malfunctioning or aging poorly, which can frustrate visitors and undermine the interpretive message. In Qatar, where museums are relatively new and well-funded, this might be less of a concern in the short term, but sustainability is a consideration – especially given the rapid pace of change in digital platforms. Accessibility is also paramount: not all visitors are equally comfortable with AR or digital interfaces (e.g. older visitors or those with limited tech literacy). Thus, museums must provide alternative paths to the content (such as traditional text or guided tours) so that the experience remains inclusive. Furthermore, the environmental conditions (especially for outdoor AR at archaeological sites) pose challenges like device screen visibility in bright sun, or the need for robust internet/GPS connectivity in remote desert locations.

Curatorial experience from projects like Al Zubarah has shown ways to mitigate some challenges. For instance, the AR

content at the site was designed to have a light digital footprint (deliverable on common devices) and is non-intrusive – meaning if a visitor opts not to use it, their experience is still coherent thanks to physical signage. In museums, digital installations are often accompanied by human facilitation (docents or explainers) especially in the initial period, to help visitors get the most out of them. When balanced correctly, advanced display technologies greatly enhance the interpretation of fragmented artefacts, making the invisible visible and stimulating multiple senses. They offer a form of experiential learning that can be particularly powerful in a museum dedicated to cultural heritage: visitors do not just see information, they experience a semblance of the historical reality behind the fragments. As part of this interpretive framework, technology is not a gimmick but a vital toolkit – used judiciously – to achieve the goals of contextual restoration and engagement in the modern museum setting.

G. *Qatar Museums' Role and Application of the Framework*

Qatar Museums (QM), the organization overseeing the country's major museums and heritage sites, has been a proactive adopter of the above interpretive framework. In recent years, Qatar's museums have emerged as regional leaders in innovative curation, applying academic principles of interpretation in highly practical ways. This section examines how the framework's components are implemented in key Qatari institutions and projects – notably the National Museum of Qatar, the Museum of Islamic Art, and the Al Zubarah Archaeological Site & Visitor Center – and how these applications are tailored to Qatar's unique audience demographics. Through these case studies, we see Qatar Museums' dual role as steward of Islamic archaeological heritage and as an innovator in museological practice, using narrative, technology, and educational outreach to contextualize fragmented artefacts for diverse audiences.

1) *National Museum of Qatar: Contextualizing Heritage Through Immersion*

As a museum dedicated to the narrative of the nation, the National Museum of Qatar (NMoQ) exemplifies an integrated application of the interpretive framework. Opened in 2019, NMoQ's mission is to tell the story of Qatar and its people from geological prehistory to the modern era. This narrative focus means that every object, including countless archaeological fragments, is presented within a storytelling arc. The museum's interpretive design was developed through close collaboration between architects, curators, archaeologists, filmmakers, and even the local community, ensuring both accuracy and resonance. Each of the 11 galleries is organized as a chapter in Qatar's story and employs “innovative narrative approaches” – for instance, the Archaeology of Qatar gallery, which covers human history from the Neolithic to the 1500s, uses a chronological narrative spine of artifacts flanked by immersive media.

In terms of contextual restoration, NMoQ often places fragmented artifacts alongside reconstructions or environmental context. In the Archaeology gallery, excavated objects from key sites are accompanied by models of those very

sites: visitors see artifacts from the Bronze Age settlement of Murwab next to a scale model of Murwab's excavated layout, and fragments from a medieval grave are shown with a full-scale reconstruction of the burial as it was found in situ. These additions allow visitors to visualize how a corroded piece of jewelry or a few bones fit into the broader picture of a burial ritual or a village setting. Another striking example is the “Life on the Coast” gallery focusing on pearling-era Qatar, which includes a large floor model of the entire Al Zubarah town (Qatar's best-preserved historic town) and an art film projected above it. Around the model, vitrines contain relics from the archaeological site – such as pottery shards, an old dhow anchor, and pearl-diving weights – essentially fragmented artifacts that gain meaning by being shown within a reconstructed town context. The backdrop film by director A. Sissako poetically depicts daily life in the town, further restoring context by populating the model with human activity (albeit on screen). Such multi-modal contextualization is characteristic of NMoQ's approach.



Fig. 3. Jar fragments with incised décor, early Abbasid period (c. late 8th- to 9th-century CE), from Murwab, displayed in the archaeology gallery of the national museum of Qatar. these fragments are displayed as part of narrative and contextual restoration—grouped with models and reconstructions to help visitors visualise their original contexts

Note. © National Museum of Qatar. Source: Jar fragments with incised décor, Murwab (Early Abbasid Period), NMoQ Online Collection. Retrieved September 28, 2025, from <https://qcp-beas-nmoqkm-01.azurewebsites.net/Sliders/HAS06646.jpeg>

Narrative techniques are richly employed at NMoQ. The entire museum experience is explicitly narrative, aiming to elicit an emotional journey. Large-scale cinematic installations in nine of the galleries provide continuous storytelling that complements the static displays. For instance, as visitors explore cases of neolithic arrowheads and pottery (fragments from the earliest known sites in Qatar), an enveloping film shows aerial views of the very landscape those artifacts come from, effectively narrating the tale of early inhabitants moving across Qatar's deserts. Audio design and even scripted lighting changes are synchronized to these narratives. Oral history recordings – Qataris recounting memories of pearling, for

example – are available at listening stations, adding first-person narrative layers to object displays (like a set of pearl sorting tools or scales). The narrative focus is also seen in how the museum uses text and graphics: each gallery begins with a brief story setup in both Arabic and English, situating the visitor in time and theme (e.g., “Life in Al Zubarah, 1800s: A Global Port on Qatar’s Coast” at the entrance to that section). This ensures that even before examining individual objects, visitors understand the overarching narrative into which those objects fit.

The interpretive labels and panels at NMoQ are bilingual and carefully crafted to support the narrative. Rather than long scholarly texts, labels tend to be short, clear, and often thematic. Curators have limited individual object labels to key facts, while larger panels synthesize the significance. This approach aligns with the idea of hierarchical information delivery. In practice, a visitor walking into a gallery will encounter an introductory panel giving the narrative context (e.g., explaining the importance of pearling to Qatar’s economy and culture), then as they view objects, each has a succinct label linking it back to that context (e.g., “Pearl Diver’s Weight, 19th century – used by divers to descend quickly while searching for pearls”). Multimedia screens placed near some displays allow deeper dives: for example, a touchscreen might show a map of trade routes, with options to tap on locations and see what artifacts from those places (China, India, East Africa) were found in Qatar, thereby reinforcing context for fragments like imported ceramics. NMoQ also offers audio guides in multiple languages (English, Arabic, French, Spanish, and more), which function as another layer of interpretation. These guides often provide narrative storytelling about select objects – effectively verbal interpretive labels that can enrich what’s on the physical label. The strategy of layered interpretive media (text, audio, digital) helps address the needs of different visitors, an important consideration in Qatar’s multicultural environment.

When it comes to visitor engagement, NMoQ is deliberately immersive. The architecture itself (with its sweeping curves and abstract forms) was leveraged as part of the interpretive experience – the interior has no rectilinear rooms, which encourages a sense of exploration and discovery rather than a linear march. In the Archaeology gallery noted for its challenging content (stone tools and pot sherds are not glamorous to general audiences), the museum succeeded in drawing high engagement by employing “*over 3000 objects, large-scale replicas, oral histories, digital interactive exhibits... a hands-on space, models, projections, text and graphics*” all choreographed together. Despite this plethora of content, an observer (Karen Exell, 2020) noted that the experience remains coherent and even “soothing,” with visitors spending notable time examining artifacts up close. The careful design – using harmonious color palettes, synchronized audio, and a clear narrative flow – created an immersive environment that captivates visitors and encourages them to linger with the objects. The museum also provides interactive family zones in several galleries, knowing that engaging the younger demographic is key. For example, in the Natural History galleries (preceding the archaeology), there are diorama-like

interactive stations (children can lift flaps to see animals hiding, or use touchscreens to hear sounds of the dugong and oryx). By the time visitors reach cultural galleries, they have come to expect interactivity, and indeed they find a *hands-on archaeology dig* for kids and digital microscopes to inspect fossil fragments, etc.. The result is that NMoQ is *experiential* at every turn – visitors are not just reading about history, they are encountering it through multiple senses and activities.

2) *Museum of Islamic Art: Enriching Islamic Artefacts with Context and Interactivity*

The Museum of Islamic Art (MIA) in Doha is another flagship institution under Qatar Museums, and while its focus is global Islamic art rather than specifically Qatari history, it too has applied the interpretive framework to improve context and engagement – especially following a major gallery reinstallation completed in 2022. The MIA houses one of the world’s most significant collections of Islamic art, ranging from Spain to Central Asia and spanning 1400 years. Many objects in this collection are artistic masterpieces (e.g., calligraphic Qur’an pages, lusterware ceramics, jewel-encrusted daggers), but some are also archaeological fragments or pieces detached from their original settings (such as architectural ornaments, sections of manuscripts, textile fragments, etc.). Previously, MIA’s displays (dating to its 2008 opening) were often criticized for a somewhat traditional art-historical approach – objects were beautifully presented but with minimal context, and the interpretation did not strongly connect pieces to a narrative or to Qatar’s audience. The 2022 reimagining explicitly set out to change that, aligning the museum with the visitor-focused, narrative-rich approach seen in NMoQ.

One of the most notable changes was the introduction of a “fully new storyline” for the galleries. Instead of simply grouping objects by medium or dynasty, the new layout arranges thematically and chronologically to tell the story of Islamic art and culture in a more accessible way. For example, galleries now highlight themes such as “The Journey of Hajj,” “Science in Islamic Civilization,” or “The spread of Islam in Southeast Asia.” Each theme provides an interpretive context that links diverse objects together in a narrative. This thematic storytelling also allowed MIA to include content relevant to local and regional heritage – for instance, a new gallery on Southeast Asia acknowledges the Islamic cultures of Malaysia, Indonesia, and others, and importantly notes “their significant presence in Qatar and the Gulf.” This addition is a direct nod to the expatriate communities from those regions, as well as the historical Indian Ocean connections of Qatar. By doing so, MIA’s narrative not only educates about Islamic art in far-flung regions but also resonates with contemporary Qatar, where many Southeast Asian Muslims live and work. It exemplifies how narrative technique is used to bridge global Islamic heritage with local context.

Contextual restoration at MIA often takes the form of exhibiting objects in a way that mimics or reconstructs their original setting. A prime example is the Damascus Room – a highlight of the collection which is an entire reception room interior from 19th-century Syria, consisting of elaborate painted wood panels. This room was painstakingly reassembled and

restored by MIA's team over a period of three years. By installing it as a complete room, the museum offers visitors an immersive experience: rather than seeing fragments of wood panels on a wall, one can step almost inside a 19th-century Damascene home environment. This is contextual restoration at an architectural scale, providing the authentic context for various smaller objects from that time and place. The success of the Damascus Room (with its rich decoration fully intact) also serves to highlight what may be missing in other displays – for instance, a single Syrian carved wood panel from the same era, if displayed nearby, can now be appreciated as part of that broader Syrian domestic aesthetic. In other parts of MIA, replicas and digital media create context. The museum has, for instance, an interactive display where a fragment of mosaic tile work from a Central Asian mosque is shown alongside a digital rendering of the entire mosaic pattern as it would have been originally. Additionally, MIA's new galleries make extensive use of maps, large photos of architectural sites, and even atmospheric set pieces (such as a recreated section of an Iznik tile-decorated wall) so that objects are seen “in situ.” The curators have thus moved away from the minimalist object-on-plinth style to a richer contextual presentation, acknowledging that many Islamic art objects were once functional or embedded in architecture and ritual.

The interpretive labels and media in MIA's new setup have been designed with education and clarity in mind. Given the museum's aim to attract “a larger audience and more tourists”, all text is kept informative but accessible. In many cases, the labels now address the use or symbolism of objects, not just provenance. For example, a label for a fragmentary Mughal jade bowl might explain how it was used in courtly ceremonies or what its motifs symbolize in Islamic art, thus giving cultural context. The museum also introduced “Family Labels” or child-friendly texts in some sections, aligning with its family-oriented ethos. These take the form of simpler language captions or questions like, “Can you find the hidden animals in this carpet?” to draw young visitors into looking closely. Additionally, MIA embraced digital labels: screens “dotted around the galleries” play short educational films and animations relating to nearby displays. For instance, near an exhibit of medieval scientific instruments, a screen might show an animation of how an astrolabe works or a film about Islamic astronomy. This caters to visitors who prefer audiovisual learning and adds a dynamic element to galleries that were previously static.

Visitor engagement strategies at MIA have significantly expanded, reflecting the framework's emphasis on multi-sensory and interactive experiences. “Hands-on experiences and exploration” are now a core part of the museum's ethos. The creation of Family Trails – essentially curated tours with interactive stops – encourages families to engage with exhibits together in a playful manner. For example, a family trail might involve collecting sticker stamps at various stations or solving a riddle whose answer lies in reading a label, ensuring that kids actively seek information. The mention that visitors can navigate via different senses, “such as smell, sound and even touch,” was put into practice: one report notes that certain displays have scratch-and-sniff panels with scents like jasmine

(to accompany descriptions of a Persian garden scene) or that replicas of metalwork are available to handle so visitors can feel their weight and texture. These sensorial additions demystify objects and make the museum experience more memorable. The museum's education team also runs frequent drop-in activities in galleries – for instance, one corner might have a facilitator showing how to write one's name in Arabic calligraphy (tying in with the calligraphic artifacts on display), or an area where children can try on historical costumes related to a gallery's theme. All these engagements transform MIA from a venerable art repository into a lively learning space.

Technological integration is present but slightly more modest than at NMoQ, appropriate to MIA's role as an art museum where low lighting and object conservation are concerns. Nonetheless, MIA joined Qatar Museums' partnership with Microsoft to deploy digital innovations; during the COVID-19 pandemic, it launched a high-quality virtual tour of the entire museum, and on-site, it is exploring AR applications for certain objects (e.g., to reveal hidden paint layers on a restored painting via a tablet). A notable addition in terms of content breadth is the introduction of artifacts related to Mecca and the Hajj pilgrimage, including a historically significant Kiswa (covering of the Kaaba) on permanent display. The interpretation of these is deeply narrative – explaining the rituals of Hajj and connecting to personal stories of pilgrims. By expanding to such content, MIA not only enriches its narrative but also acknowledges the pan-Islamic spiritual heritage, which is highly relevant to local audiences (for whom Hajj is a familiar pillar of life) and international Muslim visitors.

Collaboration at MIA is evident in the reinstallation project itself: teams of curators, researchers, and conservators worked together on major tasks like the Damascus Room restoration. International scholars were consulted to verify historical narratives for new sections (for example, experts on Southeast Asian Islamic art contributed to that new gallery's development). MIA also collaborates with local communities by involving them in programming – for instance, hosting events with Qatar's Indonesian and Malaysian communities to celebrate the new Southeast Asia exhibit, thereby validating those groups' heritage within the national museum narrative. Through such efforts, MIA has evolved in line with the interpretive framework, making what could be an intimidatingly erudite collection into an engaging, context-rich experience for a wide audience. The museum demonstrates that even a traditional art museum can apply archaeological interpretation techniques (contextual models, narratives, interactive media) to breathe new life into its objects.

3) *Al Zubarah Archaeological Site and Visitor Center: Linking Excavation with Public Interpretation*

Al Zubarah stands as Qatar's first UNESCO World Heritage Site and is a cornerstone of the country's Islamic archaeological heritage. Unlike NMoQ and MIA, which are museum buildings in Doha, Al Zubarah is an actual archaeological site – the ruins of an 18th–19th century walled trading town on Qatar's northwestern coast, abandoned in the early 1900s. The interpretation of Al Zubarah for the public involves both the in-situ presentation of the site itself and the exhibition of its

artefacts in museum settings. Qatar Museums has addressed this through the establishment of the Al Zubarah Visitor Center (within a restored 1938 fort adjacent to the ruins) and by incorporating Al Zubarah's story prominently into the National Museum of Qatar's galleries, as discussed earlier. The site offers a prime example of applying the interpretive framework in a holistic manner: conserving ruins on-site (contextual restoration), creating narrative and interactive displays at the visitor center, engaging visitors through both guided experiences and technology, and doing all of this via international and local collaboration (notably the QIAH project).

At Al Zubarah, contextual restoration is applied primarily through preserving the physical context. Archaeological remains of the town (walls, house foundations, the city marketplace, etc.) have been conserved and partially restored in key areas to give visitors a sense of the original urban layout. For instance, certain doorways with decorative plaster have been carefully re-plastered using matching traditional techniques so that visitors can stand before an entryway and imagine it as it once was. Care is taken to ensure any modern additions (for stabilization) blend with the original – “special care has been taken to match the material, colour and texture of the modern plaster to the site's original plasters”, indicating a commitment to authenticity even in restoration. Where complete reconstruction is not feasible (or not desirable to maintain ruin authenticity), digital means fill the gap: as noted, a mobile app uses AR to project digital reconstructions of buildings onto their ruins when viewed through a device.

This high-tech contextual restoration means that while walking among stone foundations, a visitor can lift an iPad and see a ghost image of a two-story merchant's house or the once-bustling souq around them. The visitor center itself provides context in a different way – through exhibits that set the scene. It does not house many original artifacts (for conservation reasons, as the desert fort lacks climate control for delicate items), but it uses “information panels, digital displays, (and) explanation videos” to illuminate the town's history and the archaeological work done there. For example, one panel might show a timeline of Zubarah's phases of occupation and abandonment, while a video might dramatize the pearl diving trade that fueled the town's growth. These exhibits construct the historical narrative so that touring the ruins becomes a richer experience.

The narrative technique at Al Zubarah centers on presenting the town's life cycle – its founding by Kuwaiti merchants, rise as a pearling and trading hub, and eventual fall and abandonment. This narrative is compelling because it ties into broader themes of Gulf history (competition of city-states, pearling economy, colonial threats) and also because it ends mysteriously (abandonment). The UNESCO World Heritage listing itself provides a narrative hook, emphasizing that Zubarah is “*the only remaining complete urban plan of an Arabian pearl-merchant town*” of that era. The visitor center's content, therefore, highlights the *human stories* and significance behind the ruins: who lived here, how society was organized, and why it mattered. Personalizing the narrative,

some exhibits introduce named individuals (like the town's founder or known pearl traders recorded in history) to give a face to the archaeology. Additionally, the narrative extends to the story of *archaeology itself*. Visitors learn not only about 1800s Zubarah, but also about how the site was rediscovered and excavated in modern times. Displays explain archaeological techniques used on site, and even ongoing research questions, effectively narrating the “story behind the dig.” This reflexive storytelling helps visitors appreciate the process that led to the interpretations they are consuming.



Fig. 4. Restored walls and decorative plasterwork at Al Zubarah fort, demonstrating preservation of physical context of the town's original architecture. modern restoration has matched material, color, and texture of original plaster to give visitors a sense of the site's authentic urban layout. note. photo from Silvia Mazzetto & Attilio Petruccioli, “methods and techniques used in significant restoration projects in Qatar”, 2017

Interpretive labels and signage at Al Zubarah are particularly important given the outdoor nature of the site. Along the designated visitor paths through the ruins, multilingual information panels are installed at intervals. These panels usually contain diagrams (e.g., a layout of a house compound with labels for each room's use), historical images or illustrations (maybe a painting of pearling fleets off the coast), and concise text in Arabic and English. They might describe, for example, “*House of a Pearl Merchant – In this courtyard house, archaeologists found imported Chinese porcelain fragments, indicating the owner's wealth and trade connections*”. By directly tying fragments (porcelain sherds) to an interpretive statement about the past, such labels help visitors see the ruins as more than just walls – they become evidence of daily life and global connections. Inside the fort museum, where the main interpretive displays are, labels serve to connect the few artifacts exhibited to the broader story. Notably, some artifacts are displayed in the fort – for instance, pearl diver weights, bits of imported ceramics, and fish traps that were excavated are shown in cases. These are supplemented with text explaining their use and significance (the fish traps demonstrate how inhabitants combined marine resources with desert survival, etc.), linking directly to the criteria for which the site is valued (human interaction with sea and desert). Because many artifacts are in NMoQ, the fort's

exhibits encourage visitors to later go see the “treasures of Zubarah” in Doha, effectively integrating site and museum experiences.

Visitor engagement at Al Zubarah is approached through a mix of on-site activities and technological interactivity. Recognizing that a remote desert site might not automatically attract casual visitors, Qatar Museums has organized various engagement programs. They have hosted events like archaeology open days, where families and school groups can come for guided tours, participate in mock excavations, and even *handle select artifacts* under supervision. These events allow the public to ask questions directly to archaeologists and even to express what they are curious about, which has informed what narratives the site emphasizes. The site has also been the venue for cultural heritage festivals (featuring traditional crafts, food, and games), effectively bringing the site to life and broadening its appeal beyond history enthusiasts. The integration of advanced tech – the AR app – is another engagement tool; it turns a self-guided walk into an interactive treasure hunt of history, likely quite popular with tech-savvy youth. The AR “reveal” of a building as you reach its location can be a moment of wonder that stimulates deeper interest. There are also guided tours led by trained guides who narrate the town’s story on the ground, adapting to visitor questions in real time – a very direct form of engagement.

Given the vulnerability of the site (open to elements, fragile ruins), visitor engagement must be balanced with preservation. Qatar Museums has managed this by focusing heavier, interactive engagement into the fort visitor center (an indoor controlled environment) and keeping the on-site engagement to interpretive walks and AR, which “do not impact physically on the archaeological remains”. The number of visitors is managed and routes are defined to prevent trampling of sensitive areas. In essence, Al Zubarah’s interpretive program shows how an archaeological site can be museologically developed without sacrificing its integrity – through thoughtful design of engagement and use of digital tools as virtual surrogates for physical reconstructions.

Collaboration has been absolutely central to Al Zubarah’s interpretation. The site’s excavation and presentation were the result of the Qatar Islamic Archaeology and Heritage Project (QIAH), a collaboration between Qatar Museums and international partners (notably the University of Copenhagen). This project brought in experts from around the world – archaeologists, architects, conservators, and museologists – who worked together over a decade (2009–2019) to investigate the site and simultaneously develop ways to share it with the public. The AR app and other digital visualization efforts were developed in cooperation with technical partners (including Danish researchers and possibly tech firms) as part of this project. Such collaboration ensured that cutting-edge methods were applied; for example, detailed 3D scanning of the ruins was done to create accurate virtual models, and conservation trials were conducted to find the best materials to preserve the ruins under harsh climate. Community collaboration is also evident: local residents of the nearby town were engaged as site stewards and sometimes hired as guides, integrating the site’s

protection and interpretation into the fabric of the community. Furthermore, educational collaboration with schools (bringing Qatari students to site for field trips aligned with curriculum) has been part of the outreach. All these efforts reflect a holistic approach to making Al Zubarah a *living heritage site*, not just a static ruin. By weaving the framework’s elements together – context (ruins + reconstructions), narrative (historical and archaeological story), labels (panels and digital info), engagement (tours, AR, events), and collaboration (QIAH, community) – Qatar Museums turned Al Zubarah into a model of how to contextualize fragmented Islamic archaeological heritage for public benefit.

5. Conclusion

Fragmented artefacts, often seen as mere “pieces” of a lost whole, carry immense potential for storytelling and education when properly contextualized. This paper has developed a comprehensive theoretical framework – rooted in archaeological interpretation theory and museum studies principles – for bridging the gap between the excavation trench and the museum gallery in the context of Islamic artifacts in Qatar. By emphasizing context, narrative, and engagement, the framework addresses the core challenge: helping museum visitors understand and appreciate fragments not as isolated scraps, but as tangible connections to a rich historical tapestry.

In the Islamic archaeological context of Qatar, such an approach is not only academically sound but culturally significant. As Qatar Museums continues to champion heritage preservation and national identity, the way it presents archaeological finds (including fragmented ones) will shape public perceptions of the past. By applying the framework’s recommendations – from multi-sensory displays and interpretive labels to digital reconstructions and collaborative curation – museums in Qatar can ensure that each artefact, no matter how incomplete, contributes meaningfully to the narrative of Qatar’s history and the broader story of Islamic civilization. A broken piece of pottery can thus speak volumes about trade and daily life, a partial inscription can illuminate religious and linguistic heritage, and a corroded coin can testify to ancient economic networks.

The expected contribution of this framework is two-fold. Academically, it synthesizes interdisciplinary insights into a model that can guide future research and museum practice on archaeological fragments. Practically, it offers museum professionals a toolkit of strategies to enhance exhibit design and interpretation. The framework encourages ongoing evaluation: as it is implemented, curators and researchers should document visitor responses and learning outcomes, feeding back into refining interpretive techniques.

Contextualizing fragmented Islamic artefacts in museum settings is a vital endeavor for making archaeology accessible and relevant. In Qatar, where past and present are often displayed side by side in ultramodern museums, the theoretical framework presented here will help ensure that the fragments of the past are not lost in translation. Instead, they will be positioned as key pieces of a puzzle that visitors are invited to solve – a puzzle that, when assembled through informed

interpretation, reveals a picture of historical life and culture that is both locally grounded and part of our shared human heritage.

References

- [1] F. Al-Naimi, "Preliminary pottery study: Murwab horizon in progress, ninth century AD, Qatar," *Proceedings of the Seminar for Arabian Studies*, vol. 40, pp. 17–34, 2010.
- [2] K. Campbell, "Presenting Al Zubarah Archaeological Site," in *Al Zubarah: Qatar's World Heritage City*, H. Al Thani, N. Abdulla, S. Muhsen, A. Walmsley, F. H. al-Sulaiti, S. Rosendahl, and I. Thuesen, Eds. Doha, Qatar: Qatar University Press, 2022, pp. 195–206.
- [3] C. Classen, *The Museum of the Senses: Experiencing Art and Collections*. London, U.K.: Bloomsbury Academic, 2017.
- [4] Conceptual Fine Arts, "Focus on Qatar: Not only sumptuous museums, at Al Zubarah also serious archaeology," 2015, updated 2020.
- [5] K. Exell, *Modernity and the Museum in the Arabian Peninsula*. London, U.K.: Routledge, 2016.
- [6] K. Exell, "Inside the National Museum of Qatar: Encountering Beauty," *Lund Humphries Blog*, 2020.
- [7] J. H. Falk and L. D. Dierking, *The Museum Experience Revisited*. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press, 2013.
- [8] S. Greenblatt, "Resonance and wonder," *Bulletin of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 11–34, 1991. Available:
- [9] I. Hodder, *Reading the Past: Current Approaches to Interpretation in Archaeology*, 2nd ed. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1991.
- [10] E. Hooper-Greenhill, *Museums and the Interpretation of Visual Culture*. London, U.K.: Routledge, 2000.
- [11] I. Kopytoff, "The cultural biography of things: Commoditization as process," in *The Social Life of Things: Commodities in Cultural Perspective*, A. Appadurai, Ed. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1986, pp. 64–91.
- [12] S. Mazzetto and A. Petruccioli, "Al Zubarah Fort – restored walls and plasterwork [Photograph]," in *Methods and Techniques Used in Significant Restoration Projects in Qatar*, vol. 63, no. 5, pp. 303–314, July 2018.
- [13] K. Moortheeswari, "Telling stories with museum objects – Reflection on interpretation," in *Proc. CIDOC Conf.*, 2018.
- [14] National Museum of Qatar, *Jar fragments with incised décor, Murwab (Early Abbasid Period) [Photograph of artefact]*, NMoQ Online Collection. Available: <https://qcp-beas-nmoqkm-01.azurewebsites.net/Sliders/HAS06646.jpeg>.
- [15] S. M. Pearce, Ed., *Interpreting Objects and Collections*. London, U.K.: Routledge, 1994.
- [16] Qatar Museums, "About the galleries – National Museum of Qatar," 2019.
- [17] Qatar Museums, "Museum of Islamic Art relaunch: New ways to explore the galleries," 2022.
- [18] Qatar Museums, "Collection highlights: The story of Al Zubarah," 2023.
- [19] Qatar Museums, "Press release: Qatar Museums joins forces with Microsoft to enhance visitor experiences," 2024.
- [20] Qatar Museums, "Museum of Islamic Art relaunch: A new visitor experience," 2024.
- [21] Qatar Museums, "Abbasid ingenuity: The blue-on-white bowl, Museum of Islamic Art, Doha," Nov. 5, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://qm.org.qa/en/stories/all-stories/collection-highlight-abbasid-blue-on-white-bowl/> (accessed July 20, 2025).
- [22] Qatar Museums, "Cultural heritage management – Qatar Museums (Archaeology)," n.d.
- [23] Qatar Museums Authority, "Al Zubarah: Qatar's largest heritage site," Qatar Museums, n.d. [Online]. Available: <https://qm.org.qa> (accessed Jan. 27, 2025).
- [24] T. Rico, "The temporal state of Qatar: The politics of preservation in the Gulf," *Journal of Social Archaeology*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 263–285, 2017.
- [25] B. Serrell, *Exhibit Labels: An Interpretive Approach*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 2015.
- [26] UNESCO World Heritage Centre, "Al Zubarah Archaeological Site (Qatar) – UNESCO Listing," 2013.
- [27] P. Vergo, Ed., *The New Museology*. London, U.K.: Reaktion Books, 1989.