

Digital Participation: Exploring Teacher Integration of Technology and Student Perceptions of Digital Literacy in South Sudan Primary Schools

Paride O. Lolika^{1*}, Lilly Odwa², Flora Lado³, Otim Gama⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, School of Education, University of Juba, Juba, South Sudan

²School of Computer Sciences and Information Technology, University of Juba, Juba, South Sudan

³School of Petroleum and Minerals, University of Juba, Juba, South Sudan

⁴Department of Education, School of Education, University of Juba, Juba, South Sudan

Abstract: **Background:** In the contemporary global education landscape, digital literacy is a critical prerequisite for economic participation. However, in South Sudan, severe infrastructural deficits and limited human capacity hinder the modernization of pedagogy. This study investigates the integration of digital technologies by primary school teachers and its relationship to students' perceptions of digital literacy in a low-resource, post-conflict context. **Methods:** The study employed a quantitative research design, utilizing the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and the Digital Competence Framework as theoretical anchors. Data were collected from a stratified random sample of 30 teachers and 132 students across 35 primary schools in South Sudan. Analysis included descriptive statistics, independent-samples t-tests to examine gender differences, and Pearson's correlation coefficients. **Results:** The findings reveal that technology integration is intermittent rather than systematic. While approximately 57% of teachers regularly or always use digital tools for interactive lessons, 55.2% rarely or never incorporate online e-learning platforms. Significant weaknesses were identified in ICT-based formative assessment, with 44.8% of teachers reporting rare usage. Notably, the study found statistically significant gender differences: male teachers reported higher competence in applying ICT-based assessment tools ($p < .009$) and in guiding students in practical applications such as word processing ($p < .011$). Subject-specific integration was highest in Geometry and Life Skills but remained inconsistent in Algebra. **Conclusion:** The study highlights a marked divergence between policy aspirations and classroom realities. While there is a positive disposition toward digital tools, the lack of consistent training and infrastructure limits pedagogical innovation. The authors recommend targeted, gender-sensitive professional development and sustained investment in school infrastructure to bridge the digital divide and reduce student vulnerability to misinformation.

Keywords: Digital Literacy, South Sudan, ICT Integration, Primary Education, Technology Acceptance Model, Teacher Professional Development.

1. Introduction

Education is widely recognized as a central mechanism for

poverty reduction and sustained societal development. In the contemporary global education landscape, digital literacy is an increasingly essential prerequisite for academic achievement, employability, and meaningful participation in civic and economic life. Parallel to this, teacher integration of digital technologies is regarded as a decisive factor in modernizing pedagogy and enabling learner-centered approaches. Yet the global momentum toward digital education contrasts sharply with the realities in South Sudan, where severe infrastructural deficits, limited human capacity, and recurrent humanitarian crises constrain the development of basic education [1].

South Sudan, one of the world's youngest nations, faces acute educational challenges: an adult literacy rate reported at approximately 27% [1]; estimates that as many as 70% of children aged 6–17 have never attended school; and primary completion rates near 10%, among the lowest internationally [1], [11]. Shortages of technological resources such as computers and internet connectivity are widespread across primary, secondary, and tertiary levels [2]. At the same time, pilot interventions ranging from Media and Information Literacy (MIL) centres to low-cost modalities such as Interactive Radio Instruction (IRI) indicate both the potential and the constraints of technology-mediated learning in the South Sudanese context [3], [4].

A growing policy and research consensus highlights inclusive design and ongoing capacity building, especially in teacher professional development and adequate resources, as essential for effective digital integration [9], [10]. In low-resource settings, however, technology adoption often stalls when teacher training and support systems are weak [2]. In South Sudan, many primary teachers are untrained or underqualified, and the teaching workforce faces challenges from low pay, poor retention, and the reliance on volunteer or community teachers [1], [11]. These human-capacity limitations, along with unreliable infrastructure (electricity,

*Corresponding author: parideorest@yahoo.com

internet) and limited school facilities, create an environment where educators frequently must improvise with minimal materials, which hampers pedagogical innovation and consistency [12], [13].

Limited and uneven digital exposure also contributes to low levels of student digital and media literacy, with attendant risks such as susceptibility to misinformation and online scams [6], [7]. Although initial exposure through initiatives such as computer labs has shown promise in improving students' attitudes and perceived competence [1], [4], these efforts remain localized and non-systemic. The COVID-19 pandemic and other shocks revealed that many schools and teachers in disadvantaged areas were unprepared for rapid shifts to remote or blended instruction, further highlighting gaps in readiness and continuity planning [9].

Addressing these complex challenges requires a multifaceted strategy that includes sustained investment in educational infrastructure, comprehensive professional development for teachers, and targeted initiatives to strengthen ICT integration within South Sudan's education system. In line with these priorities, the University of Juba, in partnership with the Dar es Salaam University College of Education (DUCE), Kibabii University (KIBU), and HELVETAS, implemented a collaborative project to build teachers' capacity in digital pedagogy.

A. Statement of Problems

Despite the recognized importance of digital skills for national development and the improvement of educational quality, primary schools in South Sudan exhibit a marked divergence between policy aspirations and on-the-ground capacities [1], [8]. The principal problem addressed in this study is the lack of empirical evidence elucidating the link between teachers' technology integration practices and primary students' perceptions of their own digital literacy and engagement.

Two interrelated issues underline this problem:

1. *Teacher capacity and support deficits:* Many primary school teachers lack formal training in digital pedagogy, have limited access to necessary equipment, and receive insufficient institutional or technical support to embed technology into everyday classroom practice [1], [2]. Such deficits limit the pedagogical efficacy of any available technologies and reduce the potential for sustained, scalable innovation [14], [15].
2. *Student digital literacy and vulnerability:* Because of limited technology-rich learning experiences, many students do not acquire foundational digital literacies that are increasingly necessary for safe and productive participation in the digital world. Low exposure heightens students' vulnerability to misinformation and online harm and reduces their preparedness for future educational and labor market demands [4], [6], [16].

These issues leave several specific knowledge gaps: the extent and nature of technology use by primary school teachers

in South Sudan; how teachers' practices influence student self-perceptions of digital skill and engagement; and which infrastructural, institutional, and support factors most strongly shape teacher integration of technology. Answering these questions is crucial for designing appropriate teacher professional development, resource allocation, and policy measures that can close the digital divide in South Sudan's foundational education system.

B. Objective of the Study

The overarching aim of this study is to investigate the interplay between teacher technology integration and student perceptions of digital literacy in South Sudan primary schools, to generate evidence to inform teacher development, policy, and programmatic interventions.

Specific objectives are:

1. To assess the current level and nature of technology integration by primary school teachers in South Sudan.
2. To determine the perceived level of digital literacy skills among primary school students.
3. To investigate the relationship between teacher technology integration practices and student perceptions of digital literacy and engagement.

C. Theoretical Framework and Conceptual Framework

This study is anchored in two complementary theoretical models that together illuminate the relationship between teacher behaviour and student outcomes. The primary analytic lens for teacher technology adoption is the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM). TAM proposes that an individual's intention to use technology is principally determined by Perceived Usefulness (PU), the belief that technology improves job performance, and Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU), the perceived effort required to use technology [5]. In the South Sudanese context, levels of teacher ICT integration (the independent variable) are examined by assessing how professional training influences teachers' PU and PEOU.

Complementing TAM, the Digital Competence Framework operationalizes student outcomes. Digital Competence provides validated benchmarks for the knowledge, skills, and attitudes that constitute digital literacy, enabling the study to evaluate student perceptions against internationally recognised domains such as information and data literacy, content evaluation, digital communication, and safety.

The conceptual framework maps the hypothesized relationships among variables in the South Sudan primary school setting. The model distinguishes two classes of variables:

1. Independent variables (teacher factors): teacher technology integration frequency and methodology (ranging from basic, sporadic use to integrated pedagogical application), and the level of teacher training and support received.
2. Dependent variables (student outcomes): student-perceived digital literacy skills (self-assessed competencies) and student engagement with technology (attitude and motivational indicators).

The framework hypothesizes that teacher-level inputs influence student outcomes both directly through classroom practice and indirectly via effects on teachers' PU and PEOU as described by TAM. Moreover, school technology infrastructure moderates these relationships: even teachers with positive perceptions and adequate training may be unable to implement pedagogically meaningful technology use where infrastructure is severely limited [8].

2. Methodology

A. Research Design

This study employed a Quantitative research design to examine the relationship between primary school teachers' use of technology and students' perceptions of digital literacy in mathematics and science in South Sudan. Using this approach, the researcher analyzed the relationships among variables without manipulating or controlling them, thereby enabling an evaluation of whether greater technology integration is associated with higher students' perceptions of digital literacy in these subjects.

B. Sample

The study population included 35 schools in South Sudan. The intended teacher sample was three teachers per school, yielding 105 teachers. Of the distributed teacher questionnaires, 30 were completed out of 105. The intended student sample was ten students per school, totaling 350 students. Of the student questionnaires distributed, 132 were completed out of 350. See Tables 1 and 2 for the detailed demographic information. The researchers used stratified random sampling, selecting participants based on inclusion criteria, including school type (boarding or day), location (rural or urban), teaching experience, teaching qualification, and gender composition.

Data was gathered using multi-section questionnaires for both teachers and students. Teacher questionnaires asked respondents to rate statements about their overall pedagogical competence with ICT, how they integrate ICT into mathematics, science, and life-skills instruction, and their competence in innovative ICT-based pedagogies. Student questionnaires asked respondents to report their perceptions of ICT use in teaching and learning for mathematics, science, and life skills.

The first section of the teacher questionnaire collected demographic information (sex, age, teaching experience, and teaching qualification). The first section of the student questionnaire collected demographic information (sex, age, school type, and school location).

The teacher questionnaire's second section assessed teachers' competencies in innovative ICT pedagogy, including the use of diverse teaching methods, effective digital tools and platforms for interactive lessons, incorporation of online resources (e.g., e-learning platforms), support for students' digital literacy and responsible internet use, use of ICT-based formative assessment to track progress, and guidance for students in using ICT applications (e.g., word processors, spreadsheets, presentation software). This part also evaluated

Subject-Specific Competences through indicators such as the use of ICT to enhance mathematics and science teaching and the integration of digital skills (e.g., digital citizenship, digital literacy) into life-skills instruction.

The student questionnaire's second section measured students' perceptions of ICT integration, including whether teachers use digital tools and platforms, incorporate online resources, support the development of digital literacy and responsible internet use, and apply ICT-based assessment for formative feedback, and guide students in using ICT applications. The third section asked students about subject-specific perceptions, including the use of ICT to enhance science and mathematics teaching and engagement, and the integration of digital skills into life-skills lessons.

All closed-ended items used a four-point Likert scale. Response options indicated frequency and strength of opinion/perception (Never to Always and Very low to Very high). See Appendices A and B for the full questionnaire items.

Table 1
Summary of the demographic characteristics and background profiles of the participating primary school teachers

Category	Details	Percentage
Gender	Male	86.7%
	Female	13.3%
Age group (years)	Age 18 - 23	11.1%
	Age 24 - 29	25.9%
	Age 30 - 35	11.1%
	Age 36- 41	7.4%
	Age 42 - 47	25.9%
	Age 48 - 54	18.5%
Educational Qualifications	Bachelor's Degree	3.3%
	Diploma	13.3%
	Certificate	83.3%
Years of Experience	1 to 7 years	77.7%
	8 to 14 years	3.7%
	15 to 21 years	14.8%
	22 to 28 years	3.7%

Table 1 shows that the sampled primary school teachers are predominantly male (86.7%), with two age peaks among younger teachers (24–29 years, 25.9%) and mid-career teachers (42–47 years, 25.9%), plus a substantial older cohort (48–54 years, 18.5%). Most hold certificate-level qualifications (83.3%), while a few have diplomas (13.3%) or bachelor's degrees (3.3%).

C. Data Analysis

The collected data were analyzed through a series of systematic steps designed to deepen understanding of teachers' competence in integrating technology and students' perceptions of digital literacy in South Sudanese primary school mathematics and science classrooms. This structured process produced reliable, informative findings.

First, the raw data were carefully cleaned to ensure accuracy and reliability. This involved addressing missing responses, identifying and handling outliers and inconsistencies, removing invalid entries, and standardizing formats for subsequent analysis. These preparatory actions strengthened data integrity and minimized potential errors.

Next, descriptive statistics were generated to summarize the

dataset and highlight overall patterns, using measures such as means and percentages. This initial analysis clarified general trends in technology integration and students’ digital literacy perceptions and prepared the ground for more detailed testing.

To assess the prevalence of effective technology integration, means were calculated to reflect the importance or frequency of specific responses, showing how participants rated different ICT teaching approaches. Response consistency was evaluated using standard deviations, which illustrate the level of agreement on ICT effectiveness and its relationship to student perceptions, serving as a key indicator of data reliability. An independent t-test was performed to determine whether teachers’ ICT integration skills differed based on gender. Finally, Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used to measure the strength and direction of relationships between ICT integration effectiveness and student perceptions, examining how changes in ICT practices related to shifts in students’ views and informing conclusions about effective strategies.

Table 2
Summary of the demographic characteristics and background profiles of the participating primary school students

Category	Details	Percentage
Gender	Male	43.9%
	Female	56.1%
Age group (years)	Age 11- 13	16.0%
	Age 14 - 16	45.5%
	Age 17 - 19	37.2%
	Age 20 - 22	37.2%
Location of the School	Urban	70.5%
	Rural	29.5%
Category of School	Day	92.4%
	Day and Boarding	7.6%

3. Results

A. Teacher General Competencies for ICT Integration in Teaching and Learning

The data in Table 3 show a consistent pattern across most ICT pedagogy items: the largest share of teachers report practicing them “Regularly,” fewer report doing so “Always,” and substantial proportions report “Never” or “Rarely.” This suggests that ICT-related teaching practices are widely adopted

to some degree, but their routine and consistent use is uneven across the sample.

Use of digital tools and platforms for interactive lessons is relatively common but not universal. Specifically, 39.3% of teachers report using these tools regularly and 17.9% always, while 42.9% report using them rarely or never. Similarly, incorporation of online resources and e-learning platforms is even less embedded: 37.9% use them regularly, only 6.9% always, and 55.2% fall into “Never” or “Rarely.” Together, these figures indicate intermittent adoption and highlight e-learning integration as a key area needing improvement.

Support for students’ digital literacy shows the strongest regular engagement overall, with 41.4% using it regularly and 10.3% always, but 27.6% never providing such instruction. This points to partial coverage and suggests that some students may experience gaps in exposure to skills like responsible internet use. The least routine practice is using ICT-based assessment tools: 44.8% rarely use them, 24.1% use them regularly, and only 13.8% always do, indicating a notable shortfall in leveraging ICT for formative feedback and progress tracking.

Guidance in practical ICT applications such as word processing, spreadsheets, and presentation software is also uneven. While 32.1% provide guidance regularly and 10.7% always, 57.1% rarely or never do. Overall, teachers appear to have varying familiarity with ICT pedagogy and tend to apply these strategies intermittently rather than systematically. Strengths include comparatively higher routine engagement with interactive digital tools and digital literacy instruction, while weaknesses are concentrated in low consistent use of e-learning platforms and ICT-based assessment. Improving this likely requires targeted professional development, access to practical resources, peer support, and stronger institutional backing to help teachers shift from occasional use to sustained, classroom-embedded practice.

1) Teacher General ICT Integration Competencies: Gender-Based Mean Scores and Significance of Differences

This section compares male and female teachers’ self-reported ICT integration competencies using descriptive group

Table 3
Levels of general teacher competency alongside percentage scores specifically related to innovative ICT pedagogical practices

Variables	Level of Respondent	Percentage
Effectively use digital tools and platforms to facilitate interactive lessons.	Never	14.3%
	Rarely	28.6%
	Regularly	39.3%
	Always	17.9%
	Never	20.7%
Incorporate online resources (eg, E-learning platforms) to enhance my lesson	Rarely	34.5%
	Regularly	37.9%
	Always	6.9%
	Never	27.6%
	Rarely	20.7%
Support students in developing digital literacy skills, including responsible use of the internet information	Regularly	41.4%
	Always	10.3%
	Never	17.2%
	Rarely	44.8%
	Regularly	24.1%
Apply ICT-based assessment tools to track student progress through formative feedback.	Always	13.8%
	Never	21.4%
	Rarely	35.7%
	Regularly	32.1%
	Always	10.7%
Guide students in using ICT applications such as word processors, spreadsheets, and presentation software for learning purposes.	Never	21.4%
	Rarely	35.7%
	Regularly	32.1%
	Always	10.7%
	Never	21.4%

statistics Table 4, and Table 5 presents inferential tests (independent-samples t tests).

Table 4 descriptive statistics and Table 5 inferential tests together indicate gendered differences in teachers' general ICT competencies, with males generally reporting higher engagement across several practices and females showing greater response variability. Descriptively, males have slightly higher means than females for using digital tools in interactive lessons (male 2.65 vs. female 2.50) and show mixed results on supporting digital literacy (female 2.50 vs. male 2.30). However, female responses are more heterogeneous as indicated by larger standard deviations and standard errors. Larger gaps favoring males appear for incorporating e learning resources (2.43 vs. 1.75), applying ICT based assessment (2.57 vs. 1.25), and guiding students in practical ICT applications (2.48 vs. 1.25), suggesting greater male engagement, particularly in assessment and practical skills instruction.

The t-test results in Table 5 clarify these descriptive patterns. Three items, effective use of digital tools for interactive lessons, incorporation of online resources, and support for students' digital literacy, show small, non-significant differences ($p > .05$ and 95% confidence intervals that include zero), suggesting that the observed mean differences for those competencies could be due to sampling variability. In contrast, two competencies where descriptive gaps favored males, the use of ICT-based assessment tools for formative feedback and guidance in practical ICT applications, are statistically significant. For

assessment, the mean difference is -1.270 (95% CI \approx -2.197 to -0.343, $p \leq .009$), and for guiding students in ICT applications, the mean difference is -1.250 (95% CI \approx -2.193 to -0.307, $p \leq .011$); negative mean differences indicate that the first group listed in the test (here, males) had the lower average. These significant results remain consistent under both equal and unequal-variance assumptions, reinforcing confidence that males in this sample report higher competence in assessment-related ICT use and teaching practical ICT skills. Meanwhile, other general ICT competencies show no reliable gender gap.

B. Teacher ICT Competencies for Integration in Mathematics, Science, and Life Skills

Table 6 indicates generally positive but uneven adoption of innovative ICT pedagogy across subjects and tasks. For teaching science concepts, most teachers use ICT to some degree. However, many do so only rarely (45.5%), with 27.3% always and 18.2% regularly integrating ICT, suggesting moderate use and scope to increase regular integration. Using ICT specifically to enhance student engagement in science is more successful: 80% use it regularly or always (30% regularly, 50% always), and none report using it. In Mathematics, ICT use for Geometry is high and widespread (88.9% regularly or always), while ICT integration in Algebra is weaker and more inconsistent (only 22.2% always, with 33.3% regularly, 33.3% rarely, and 11.1% never). Life Skills shows the strongest uptake for digital-skills instruction: 77.8% regularly or always integrate digital skills into the curriculum, and no respondents

Table 4
Descriptive group statistics comparing gender-based differences in teachers' general competencies for ICT integration

Variables	Gender	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Effectively use digital tools and platforms to facilitate interactive lessons.	Male	2.65	.982	.205
	Female	2.50	1.000	.500
Incorporate online resources (eg, E-learning platforms) to enhance my lesson	Male	2.43	.896	.187
	Female	1.75	.957	.479
Support students in developing digital literacy skills, including responsible use of the internet information	Male	2.30	1.020	.213
	Female	2.50	1.291	.645
Apply ICT-based assessment tools to track student progress through formative feedback.	Male	2.57	.896	.187
	Female	1.25	.500	.250
Guide students in using ICT applications such as word processors, spreadsheets, and presentation software for learning purposes.	Male	2.48	.898	.187
	Female	1.25	.500	.250

Table 5
The independent samples t-test results evaluating the statistical significance of gender differences in teachers' general ICT integration competencies

Variable	t-test for Equality of Means							
		t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
							Lower	Upper
Effectively use digital tools and platforms to facilitate interactive lessons.	Equal variances assumed	-.238	26	.814	-.125	.526	-1.205	.955
	Equal variances not assumed	-.232	4.001	.828	-.125	.538	-1.618	1.368
Incorporate online resources (eg, E-learning platforms) to enhance my lesson	Equal variances assumed	-1.377	27	.180	-.650	.472	-1.619	.319
	Equal variances not assumed	-1.277	3.829	.274	-.650	.509	-2.089	.789
Support students in developing digital literacy skills, including responsible use of the internet information	Equal variances assumed	.326	27	.747	.180	.553	-.954	1.314
	Equal variances not assumed	.267	3.585	.804	.180	.675	-1.783	2.143
Apply ICT-based assessment tools to track student progress through formative feedback.	Equal variances assumed	-2.812	27	.009	-1.270	.452	-2.197	-.343
	Equal variances not assumed	-4.167	6.438	.005	-1.270	.305	-2.004	-.536
Guide students in using ICT applications such as word processors, spreadsheets, and presentation software for learning purposes.	Equal variances assumed	-2.726	26	.011	-1.250	.459	-2.193	-.307
	Equal variances not assumed	-4.053	6.709	.005	-1.250	.308	1.986	-.514

Table 6

The competency levels and corresponding percentage scores achieved by teachers in the application of innovative ICT pedagogy across mathematics, science, and life skills

Variables	Level of Respondent	Percentage
Integrate ICT tools to enhance the teaching and learning of science concepts	Never	9.1%
	Rarely	45.5%
	Regularly	18.2%
	Always	27.3%
Effectively integrate ICT in science instruction to enhance student engagement	Never	0%
	Rarely	20.0%
	Regularly	30.0%
	Always	50.0%
Integrate ICT tools to enhance the teaching and learning of Geometry	Never	0%
	Rarely	11.1%
	Regularly	55.6%
	Always	33.3%
Integrate ICT in teaching Algebra to improve learner engagement	Never	11.1%
	Rarely	33.3%
	Regularly	33.3%
	Always	22.2%
Integrate digital skills (e.g., digital citizenship, digital literacy) into life skills instruction	Never	0%
	Rarely	22.2%
	Regularly	22.2%
	Always	55.6%

Table 7

Descriptive group statistics comparing gender-based differences in teacher competencies for ICT integration, specifically within mathematics, science, and life skills

Variables	Gender	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Integrate ICT tools to enhance the teaching and learning of science concepts	Male	2.64	1.027	.310
	Female	4.00		
Effectively integrate ICT in science instruction to enhance student engagement	Male	3.30	.823	.260
	Female	3.00		
Integrate ICT tools to enhance the teaching and learning of Geometry	Male	3.13	.641	.227
	Female	4.00		
Integrate ICT in teaching Algebra to improve learner engagement	Male	2.50	.926	.327
	Female	4.00		
Integrate digital skills (e.g., digital citizenship, digital literacy) into life skills instruction	Male	3.33	1.033	.422
	Female	3.33	.577	.333

report never doing so. Overall, ICT is most consistently applied for science engagement, Geometry, and Life Skills (digital skills), while broader science-concept instruction and Algebra show greater room for improvement.

1) *Teacher ICT Competencies in Mathematics, Science, and Life Skills: Gender-Based Mean Scores and Significance Analysis*

Table 7’s descriptive statistics show a consistent pattern in which female teachers report higher mean levels of ICT integration in several subject-specific areas, while male teachers report moderate average competence with greater variability on some items. Specifically, females have higher reported means for integrating ICT to teach science concepts (female 4.00 vs. male 2.64) and for both mathematics items (Geometry and Algebra: female 4.00 vs. male 3.13 and 2.50, respectively). Males report moderate means for science-related items overall (approximately 2.6–3.3), with a slightly higher male mean for using ICT to enhance student engagement (male 3.30 vs. female 3.00). For life-skills digital-skill integration, both genders report the same mean (3.33), but male responses are substantially more dispersed (male SD = 1.033 vs. female SD = 0.577), indicating more heterogeneity among female teachers.

However, Table 8’s inferential results qualify these descriptive observations. Independent-samples t-tests for all five competencies (science concepts, science engagement, Geometry, Algebra, and life-skills digital integration) are non-

significant (all $p > .05$), and every 95% confidence interval for the mean differences includes zero. Mean differences that appear descriptively meaningful (for example, a 1.364 difference for science concepts) are estimated imprecisely, with wide confidence intervals and relatively large standard errors given the small sample sizes. The unequal-variance checks do not change the substantive outcome.

Taken together, the data describe apparent gender gaps in reported ICT use, most clearly favoring males for integrating ICT into science concepts and mathematics, while also showing similar averages for life skills. Crucially, the t-test results do not provide statistical confirmation

C. *Student Perceptions of General Teacher Competencies for ICT Integration in Teaching and Learning*

The findings in Table 9 show that students generally perceive low levels of ICT integration by their teachers. For use of digital tools and platforms, 75% of students report that teachers “never” or “rarely” use these tools in lessons (48.5% never; 26.5% rarely), with only 25% seeing them used regularly or always. A nearly identical pattern appears in the incorporation of online resources and e-learning platforms: 72.7% say these are never or rarely used, while just 27.2% report regular use. Together, these results indicate that routine classroom deployment of digital instructional media is uncommon from the student perspective.

Table 8
The independent samples test results, determining the statistical significance of gender differences in teacher competencies for ICT integration across mathematics, science, and life skills

Variable	t-test for Equality of Means							
		t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
							Lower	Upper
Integrate ICT tools to enhance the teaching and learning of science concepts	Equal variances assumed	1.271	10	.232	1.364	1.073	-1.026	3.753
	Equal variances not assumed				1.364			
Effectively integrate ICT in science instruction to enhance student engagement	Equal variances assumed	-.347	9	.736	-.300	.863	-2.253	1.653
	Equal variances not assumed				-.300			
Integrate ICT tools to enhance the teaching and learning of Geometry	Equal variances assumed	1.287	7	.239	.875	.680	-.732	2.482
	Equal variances not assumed				.875			
Integrate ICT in teaching Algebra to improve learner engagement	Equal variances assumed	1.528	7	.170	1.500	.982	-.822	3.822
	Equal variances not assumed				1.500			
Integrate digital skills (e.g., digital citizenship, digital literacy) into life skills instruction	Equal variances assumed	0.000	7	1.000	0.000	.655	-1.548	1.548
	Equal variances not assumed	0.000	6.680	1.000	0.000	.537	-1.283	1.283

Table 9
Student perspectives regarding the general competency levels of teachers in integrating ICT into their teaching and learning processes

Variables	Level of Respondent	Percentage
Our teacher uses digital tools and platforms to facilitate lessons	Never	48.5%
	Rarely	26.5%
	Regularly	15.9%
	Always	9.1%
Our teacher incorporates online resources (e.g., e-learning platforms) to enhance the lesson	Never	49.2%
	Rarely	23.5%
	Regularly	18.9%
	Always	8.3%
Our teacher supports students in developing digital literacy skills, including responsible use of internet information	Never	44.7%
	Rarely	18.9%
	Regularly	18.9%
	Always	17.4%
Our teacher supports students in developing digital literacy skills, including responsible use of internet information.	Never	47.7%
	Rarely	19.7%
	Regularly	24.2%
	Always	8.3%
Our teacher guides us in using ICT applications such as word processors, spreadsheets, and presentation software for learning purposes	Never	53.8%
	Rarely	16.7%
	Regularly	22.0%
	Always	7.6%

Students report somewhat better but still limited support for developing digital literacy. Between about 63.6% and 67.4% of students say they “never” or “rarely” receive guidance on responsible internet use and related skills, leaving roughly one third who get such instruction regularly or always. This suggests that while a meaningful minority do receive digital literacy instruction, most students lack consistent guidance on the safe and effective use of online information.

Instruction in practical ICT applications (word processors, spreadsheets, presentation software) is weakest: 53.8% of students report never receiving such guidance, and another 16.7% report it rarely, so only about 29.6% receive it regularly. Overall, the five items portray a clear gap between student needs for embedded, practical ICT instruction and the frequency with which teachers provide it. The pattern points to priorities for professional development, resource allocation, and school level interventions to increase routine use of digital

tools, incorporate online resources more consistently, and strengthen explicit instruction in digital literacy and common productivity applications.

The consistent predominance of “never/rarely” responses provides a strong signal that ICT integration is currently limited and that targeted training, infrastructure improvements, or policy changes are likely needed to raise both the frequency and quality of ICT enabled instruction.

1) Student Perceptions of General Teacher ICT Competencies: Gender-Based Mean Scores and Significance of Differences

Table 10’s descriptive statistics show that male and female students report similar perceptions of their teachers’ ICT competence, with males tending to report equal or slightly higher means on all five items. The largest descriptive gaps favoring males appear for “incorporating online resources” (male M = 2.02 vs. female M = 1.74) and “supporting digital literacy” (2.22 vs. 1.99). Items on use of digital tools and ICT

Table 10

The group statistics regarding student perceptions of general teacher competencies for ICT integration, highlighting the mean score differences between genders

Variables	Gender	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Our teacher uses digital tools and platforms to facilitate lessons	Male	1.83	1.045	.137
	Female	1.88	.964	.112
Our teacher incorporates online resources (e.g., e-learning platforms) to enhance the lesson	Male	2.02	1.000	.131
	Female	1.74	.994	.116
Our teacher supports students in developing digital literacy skills, including responsible use of internet information.	Male	2.22	1.200	.158
	Female	1.99	1.116	.130
Our teacher applies ICT-based assessment tools to track our progress through formative feedback at the same time	Male	1.98	1.034	.136
	Female	1.89	1.028	.119
Our teacher guides us in using ICT applications such as word processors, spreadsheets, and presentation software for learning purposes.	Male	1.95	1.016	.133
	Female	1.74	1.021	.119

Table 11

Independent samples test for student perception on Teacher General Competencies (TGC) for integrating ICT into teaching and learning: Significance of differences

Variable	t-test for Equality of Means						95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	Lower	Upper
Our teacher uses digital tools and platforms to facilitate lessons	Equal variances assumed	.289	130	.773	.051	.175	-.296	.398
	Equal variances not assumed	.287	117.575	.775	.051	.177	-.300	.402
Our teacher incorporates online resources (e.g., e-learning platforms) to enhance the lesson	Equal variances assumed	-1.568	130	.119	-.274	.175	-.620	.072
	Equal variances not assumed	-1.567	122.237	.120	-.274	.175	-.620	.072
Our teacher supports students in developing digital literacy skills, including responsible use of internet information.	Equal variances assumed	-1.174	130	.242	-.238	.202	-.638	.163
	Equal variances not assumed	-1.164	118.120	.247	-.238	.204	-.642	.167
Our teacher applies ICT-based assessment tools to track our progress through formative feedback at the same	Equal variances assumed	-.503	130	.616	-.091	.181	-.448	.267
	Equal variances not assumed	-.502	122.218	.616	-.091	.181	-.449	.267
Our teacher guides us in using ICT applications such as word processors, spreadsheets, and presentation software for learning purposes	Equal variances assumed	-1.147	130	.253	-.205	.179	-.559	.148
	Equal variances not assumed	-1.148	122.854	.253	-.205	.179	-.559	.148

based assessment show negligible gender differences (means ≈ 1.8–1.9). Within group variability is moderate (SDs ≈ 1.0–1.2) while standard errors are small, indicating the sample means are estimated with reasonable precision.

Table 11 presents Levene’s tests and independent samples t tests comparing male and female students. Levene’s test was non significant for every item, so the equal variances t-test is appropriate. None of the five t tests reach conventional significance (two tailed p values = .773, .119, .242, .616, and .253), and all reported 95% confidence intervals for mean differences include zero (for example, –0.620 to 0.072 for online resources and –0.638 to 0.163 for digital literacy). The observed mean differences are small (range ≈ +0.051 to –0.274) and have standard errors around 0.175–0.204; the largest gap (–0.274) that descriptively favours males is not statistically reliable (p = .119).

Taken together, the descriptive results suggest a slight tendency for male students to perceive their teachers’ ICT competencies more positively on some items, but the inferential tests indicate these differences are not statistically significant in this sample. Given the small effect sizes and the precision of the estimates, the most defensible conclusion is that student perceptions of teacher ICT competence do not differ meaningfully by student gender here. As always, interpretation

should note study power and measurement limits: modest true differences could be undetected, and replication with larger samples would increase confidence in these null findings.

D. Student Perception on Teacher Competence for Integrating ICT into Teaching and Learning of Mathematics, Science, and Life Skills

Table 12 indicates that, overall, students perceive teachers’ use of ICT as infrequent across science and mathematics, with somewhat stronger and more consistent use in life-skills classes. A large share of students report that ICT is “never” or “rarely” used for science and math instruction, while a substantial minority observe “regular” or “always” use, especially in life-skills lessons focused on digital skills.

In science, 63.6% of students (38.6% “never,” 25.0% “rarely”) say ICT is infrequently used to teach concepts; only 25.8% report regular use and 10.6% report always. When the aim is student engagement, 43.2% say ICT is “never” used, with 20.5% each reporting “rarely” and “regularly,” and 15.9% reporting “always.” These responses suggest that routine integration of ICT into science is uncommon and that use for engagement is uneven.

Mathematics shows a similar pattern: 61.4% of students (40.9% “never,” 20.5% “rarely”) perceive infrequent ICT use for content delivery, while 25.0% report regular and 13.6%

Table 12
Student perception of teacher general competencies for integrating ICT into teaching and learning of mathematics, science, and life skills

Variables	Level of Respondent	Percentage
Integrate ICT tools to enhance the teaching and learning of science concepts	Never	38.6%
	Rarely	25.0%
	Regularly	25.8%
	Always	10.6%
Integrate ICT in science instruction to enhance student engagement	Never	43.2%
	Rarely	20.5%
	Regularly	20.5%
	Always	15.9%
Integrate ICT tools to enhance the teaching and learning of Mathematics	Never	40.9%
	Rarely	20.5%
	Regularly	25.0%
	Always	13.6%
Integrate ICT in teaching mathematics to improve learner engagement	Never	40.2%
	Rarely	14.4%
	Regularly	25.0%
	Always	20.5%
Integrate digital skills (e.g., digital citizenship, digital literacy) into life skills instruction	Never	32.6%
	Rarely	18.9%
	Regularly	28.0%
	Always	20.5%

Table 13
A comparative analysis of student perceptions regarding teacher competencies in ICT integration, highlighting mean gender differences across mathematics, science, and life skills

Variables	Gender	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Integrate ICT tools to enhance the teaching and learning of science concepts	Male	2.12	1.044	.137
	Female	2.05	1.032	.120
Integrate ICT in science instruction to enhance student engagement	Male	2.19	1.146	.151
	Female	2.01	1.116	.130
Integrate ICT tools to enhance the teaching and learning of Mathematics	Male	2.16	1.136	.149
	Female	2.08	1.070	.124
Integrate ICT in teaching mathematics to improve learner engagement	Male	2.31	1.202	.158
	Female	2.22	1.185	.138
Integrate digital skills (e.g., digital citizenship, digital literacy) into life skills instruction	Male	2.41	1.185	.156
	Female	2.32	1.112	.129

always. When asked about ICT to boost engagement, the “never” responses drop slightly to 40.2% and “always” rises to 20.5%, indicating teachers may more often deploy ICT with engagement in mind than for routine content instruction, but overall use remains limited.

Life-skills instruction stands out as the most ICT-active area: 48.5% of students report that digital skills are integrated regularly or always (28.0% regularly, 20.5% always), while 32.6% say “never” and 18.9% “rarely.” This suggests that digital literacy and related competencies are more consistently taught within life skills than ICT is used in science or mathematics.

In summary, ICT integration is uneven across subjects: relatively weak and inconsistent in science and mathematics, but stronger and more balanced in life skills.

1) Student Perceptions of Teacher ICT Competencies: Gender-Based Mean Differences and Significance Analysis

Table 13’s descriptives show a consistent but minimal pattern: male students rate their teachers’ ICT competence slightly higher than female students on every item, with mean gaps of only 0.07–0.18 points (e.g., science content delivery 2.05 vs. 2.12; science engagement 2.01 vs. 2.19; math content 2.08 vs. 2.16; math engagement 2.22 vs. 2.31; life skills digital skills 2.32 vs. 2.41). Within group variability is similar across genders (SDs ≈ 1.03–1.20) and standard errors are small (~0.12–0.16), so the group means are estimated with comparable precision.

The inferential results in Table 14 qualify these descriptives. Independent samples t tests (both equal variance and Welch) show no statistically significant differences for any item (all two tailed $p \approx .65-.94$). The estimated mean differences are essentially trivial (about -0.09 to $+0.01$) and imprecisely estimated relative to their standard errors ($\sim 0.17-0.21$); every 95% confidence interval includes zero (for example, -0.427 to 0.293 for science concepts), indicating the observed gaps are compatible with sampling variability.

Overall summary: Although male students report marginally higher perceptions of teacher ICT competence across subjects, these differences are vanishingly small and not supported by statistical tests.

E. Relationship Between Teacher Technology-Integration Practices and Student Perceptions of Digital Literacy and Engagement

Table 15 presents Pearson correlation results between Teacher General Competencies (TGC) in integrating ICT into teaching and learning and students’ perceptions of those ICT competencies. Overall, the correlations across the different competency areas are generally very small and close to zero, suggesting a weak or no linear relationship between the teacher’s ICT competency and how students perceive that competency. In addition, for all comparisons reported in the table, the statistical significance values (Sig. 2-tailed) are greater than 0.05, indicating that none of the relationships are statistically significant.

Table 14
Independent samples test results determining the statistical significance of gender differences in student perceptions of teacher ICT competencies within mathematics, science, and life skills

Variable		t-test for Equality of Means					95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	Lower	Upper
		Integrate ICT tools to enhance the teaching and learning of science concepts	Equal variances assumed	-.366	130	.715	-.067	.182
	Equal variances not assumed	-.366	121.909	.715	-.067	.182	-.427	.294
Integrate ICT in science instruction to enhance student engagement	Equal variances assumed	.080	130	.936	.014	.169	-.321	.348
	Equal variances not assumed	.079	119.607	.937	.014	.170	-.323	.351
Integrate ICT tools to enhance the teaching and learning of Mathematics	Equal variances assumed	-.384	130	.701	-.074	.193	-.456	.307
	Equal variances not assumed	-.381	118.885	.704	-.074	.194	-.459	.310
Integrate ICT in teaching mathematics to improve learner engagement	Equal variances assumed	-.450	130	.653	-.094	.209	-.508	.320
	Equal variances not assumed	-.449	121.740	.654	-.094	.210	-.509	.321
Integrate digital skills (e.g., digital citizenship, digital literacy) into life skills instruction	Equal variances assumed	-.446	130	.657	-.089	.201	-.487	.308
	Equal variances not assumed	-.442	118.658	.659	-.089	.202	-.490	.311

Table 15
Correlation between teacher self-assessed ICT competencies and student perceptions of those competencies in the classroom

		Our teacher uses digital tools and platforms to facilitate lessons	Our teacher incorporates online resources (e.g., e-learning platforms) to enhance the lesson	Our teacher supports students in developing digital literacy skills, including responsible use of internet information	Our teacher applies ICT-based assessment tools to track our progress through formative feedback at the same time
Effectively use digital tools and platforms to facilitate interactive lessons	Pearson	-.064	.020	-.161	.033
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.748	.921	.413	.866
Incorporate online resources (eg. e-learning platforms) to enhance my lesson	Pearson	.110	.223	-.002	.211
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.571	.246	.990	.273
Support students in developing digital literacy skills, including responsible use of the internet information	Pearson	-.078	-.098	-.061	.039
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.689	.613	.754	.841
Apply ICT-based assessment tools to track student progress through formative feedback	Pearson	.074	.182	-.032	.042
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.703	.345	.868	.829
Guide students in using ICT applications, such as word processors, spreadsheets, and presentation software, for learning.	Pearson	.138	.108	-.001	.163
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.485	.583	.995	.409

For the competency area “effectively use digital tools and platforms to facilitate interactive lessons,” the correlation coefficients range from -0.161 – 0.161 to 0.033 – 0.033 . The corresponding p-values are 0.748, 0.921, 0.413, and 0.866, all above 0.05. This means that students’ perceptions of the teacher’s ability to use digital tools interactively do not show a meaningful association with the teacher’s general competencies measured in this study.

Regarding “incorporate online resources (e.g., e-learning platforms) to enhance the lesson,” the correlation values are low and range from -0.002 – 0.002 to 0.223 – 0.223 . The p-values reported (0.571 – 0.571 , 0.246 – 0.246 , 0.990 – 0.990 , and 0.273 – 0.273)

are not significant at the 0.05 level. Therefore, the data does not provide evidence of a statistically significant relationship between teachers’ ICT competency and students’ perceptions about the use of online learning resources.

For “support students in developing digital literacy skills, including responsible use of internet information,” the correlations are also weak, ranging from -0.098 – 0.098 to 0.039 – 0.039 , with p-values of 0.689 – 0.689 , 0.613 – 0.613 , 0.754 – 0.754 , and 0.841 – 0.841 . Because these p-values exceed 0.05, the findings indicate that students’ perceptions of teachers’ support for digital literacy do not significantly relate to teacher ICT competencies in the current dataset.

Table 16
Correlation between teacher self-assessments and student perceptions regarding ICT integration competencies in Mathematics, Science, and Life Skills

		Our teacher integrates ICT tools to enhance the teaching and learning of science concepts	Our teacher integrates ICT in science instruction to enhance student engagement	Our teacher integrates ICT tools to enhance the teaching and learning of Mathematics	Our teacher integrates ICT in teaching Mathematics to improve learner engagement	Integrate digital skills (e.g., digital citizenship, digital literacy) into life skills instruction
Integrate ICT tools to enhance the teaching and learning of science concepts	Pearson Correlation	-.162	-.225	-.149	-.419	-.076
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.615	.481	.643	.175	.814
Effectively integrate ICT in science instruction to enhance student engagement	Pearson Correlation	-.538	-.254	-.186	-.090	.182
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.088	.452	.585	.793	.592
Integrate ICT tools to enhance the teaching and learning of Geometry	Pearson Correlation	.236	.107	-.555	-.656	-.287
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.541	.784	.121	.055	.455
Integrate ICT in teaching Algebra to improve learner engagement	Pearson Correlation	-.661	-.519	-.173	-.160	-.876**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.052	.152	.656	.681	.002
Integrate digital skills (e.g., digital citizenship, digital literacy) into life skills instruction	Pearson Correlation	-.170	-.198	.000	-.162	.165
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.661	.610	1.000	.676	.671

Similarly, for “apply ICT-based assessment tools to track student progress through formative feedback,” the correlation coefficients range from -0.032 – 0.032 to 0.182 – 0.182 , and the associated p-values (0.703 – 0.703 , 0.345 – 0.345 , 0.868 – 0.868 , and 0.829 – 0.829) remain above 0.05 . This suggests that students’ views of ICT-based assessment practices do not show a statistically significant connection to the measured teacher general competencies.

Finally, for “guide students in using ICT applications such as word processors, spreadsheets, and presentation software,” the correlations remain small (-0.001 – 0.001 to 0.138 – 0.138) and none of the p-values (0.485 – 0.485 , 0.583 – 0.583 , 0.995 – 0.995 , and 0.409 – 0.409) indicate statistical significance. Thus, the data does not support a significant relationship between teacher competencies and students’ perceptions of guidance in using common ICT applications.

In conclusion, Table 14 shows that across all the ICT competency dimensions examined, the relationships between teacher general competencies and students’ perceptions are weak and not statistically significant. This implies that, in this study, variations in teacher ICT competency do not meaningfully explain or predict students’ perceived ICT-related teaching practices.

Table 16 summarizes Pearson correlation results between Teacher Competencies (TGC) for integrating ICT into teaching and learning of science, mathematics, and life skills, and students’ perceptions of those competencies. In interpreting the table, correlation coefficients (r) range from -1 to $+1$: negative values indicate that higher teacher ICT competency is associated with lower student perception of that ICT competency, while positive values indicate the opposite. The Sig. (2-tailed) values show whether the relationships are

statistically significant (typically, $p < 0.05$ is considered significant; a double asterisk ** indicates significance at the 0.01 level).

For the first competency area, “integrates ICT tools to enhance teaching and learning of science concepts,” the correlations with the five student-perception items are all negative but generally weak (from $r = -0.419$ to $r = -0.076$). The corresponding p-values are 0.615 , 0.481 , 0.643 , 0.175 , and 0.814 , all of which are greater than 0.05 . This means that, in this dataset, there is no statistically significant linear relationship between teacher ICT competency in science concepts and students’ perceptions of ICT competency outcomes.

For the second competency area, “integrates ICT in science instruction to enhance student engagement,” the correlations are mostly negative as well, ranging from $r = -0.538$ to $r = 0.182$. The p-values are 0.088 , 0.452 , 0.585 , 0.793 , and 0.592 . Because all these values are above 0.05 , the correlations are not statistically significant, although the first coefficient ($r = -0.538$) is comparatively larger in magnitude (suggesting a stronger negative association than the others). Overall, the findings still indicate no strong statistical evidence that teacher competency in ICT for science engagement is linked to students’ perceptions.

When focusing on mathematics, the row “integrates ICT tools to enhance teaching and learning of Geometry” shows mixed directions: one positive correlation ($r = 0.236$) and several negative ones ($r = -0.555$ and $r = -0.656$), with the last negative correlation being $r = -0.287$. However, none of the results are significant at the 0.05 level because the p-values are 0.541 , 0.784 , 0.121 , 0.055 , and 0.455 . Notably, the value 0.055 for one comparison is very close to 0.05 , but it is still slightly above the

usual significance threshold, so it is not considered statistically significant.

In the row “integrates ICT in teaching Algebra to improve learner engagement,” several correlations are negative, and some are relatively strong in magnitude, ranging from $r=-0.661$ and $r=-0.519$ to $r=-0.173$ and $r=-0.160$. Importantly, the last correlation with life-skills integration (as presented in the table) is $r=-0.876$ and is marked with **, indicating significance at the 0.01 level. The corresponding p-value is 0.002, which is well below 0.01. This is the clearest finding in the table: it suggests a strong negative and statistically significant relationship between teacher ICT competency for Algebra engagement and students’ perceptions regarding integrating digital skills into life skills instruction. For the other Algebra-related correlations, the p-values (0.052, 0.152, 0.656, 0.681) are above 0.05, so those associations are not statistically significant.

Finally, for the row “integrate digital skills (e.g., digital citizenship, digital literacy) into life skills instruction,” the correlations with student-perception items are negative for four of the comparisons and positive for one ($r=0.165$). The p-values are 0.661, 0.610, 1.000, 0.676, and 0.671. Since none of these p-values are below 0.05 (and one is exactly 1.000), there is no statistically significant relationship between teacher competency in integrating digital skills into life skills instruction and students’ perceptions across the dimensions shown.

Overall, the results in Table 15 indicate that most correlations are small and not statistically significant, meaning teacher ICT competency does not consistently show a clear linear relationship with students’ perceptions in science, geometry, and life skills integration within this dataset. The main exception is the Algebra engagement item, which shows a strong, statistically significant negative correlation with students’ perceptions related to integrating digital skills into life skills instruction ($r=-0.876$, $p=0.002$).

4. Discussion

The findings of this study reveal a landscape of cautious optimism tempered by significant systemic barriers in South Sudan’s primary education sector. The transition from traditional to digital pedagogy is underway, but it remains “sporadic” rather than “systemic,” as hypothesized in the conceptual framework.

A. Teacher Integration and the TAM Lens

Applying the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), the results suggest that while Perceived Usefulness (PU) among teachers appears high, evidenced by the 80% who use ICT for science engagement, Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU) remains a significant bottleneck. The high frequency of “Regular” use versus the low frequency of “Always” use indicates that teachers see the value of technology but are likely hindered by the “infrastructural deficits” and “unreliable electricity” noted by Falk *et al.* in [1] and Thakdel in [8]. When PEOU is low due to external constraints, even motivated teachers cannot sustain integrated pedagogical application.

B. The Qualification-Competency Gap

A critical finding is that 83.3% of teachers hold only certificate-level qualifications. This demographic reality correlates with the study’s observation that the least routine practices are complex tasks like e-learning integration (only 6.9% ‘Always’) and ICT-based formative assessment. As Abusim (2011) argued, technology adoption stalls when human capacity is weak. The reliance on underqualified or volunteer teachers in South Sudan creates a ceiling for digital participation; teachers can manage basic “interactive tools” but struggle to leverage technology for higher-order data tracking or progress monitoring.

C. Subject-Specific Successes and Gender Disparities

The study found that ICT is most consistently applied in Geometry (88.9%) and Science engagement (80%). This suggests that teachers find ICT most “useful” (PU) when it assists in visualizing abstract concepts, aligning with the Digital Competence Framework’s emphasis on content creation and information literacy.

However, the statistically significant gender gap in ICT-based assessment ($p<.009$) and practical application ($p<.011$) is concerning. While female teachers showed high competence in supporting digital literacy, male teachers reported significantly higher engagement in technical “guidance” and “assessment.” This mirrors broader regional trends in which male educators often have greater access to informal tech support or prior digital exposure, highlighting a need for gender-responsive training to ensure female teachers are not left behind in the “digital divide” [6].

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

A. Conclusion

This study concludes that digital participation in South Sudanese primary schools is currently in a state of emergent integration. While teachers are beginning to move beyond basic exposure to “regular” classroom use, the integration is unevenly distributed across subjects and pedagogical tasks.

The data confirm the conceptual framework’s hypothesis: teacher-level inputs (training and perceived competence) directly influence students’ perceived digital literacy. However, the moderating variable of infrastructure remains the ultimate gatekeeper. In a context where 70% of children have never attended school and completion rates are near 10% [11], the successful “regular” use of digital tools by the sampled teachers represents a remarkable feat of improvisation and resilience.

Ultimately, without bridging the gap between “certificate-level” training and the demands of “digital pedagogy,” and without addressing the gendered disparity in technical ICT skills, South Sudan’s shift toward a modernized, learner-centered education system will remain localized rather than national.

B. Recommendations

Based on the findings and the unique challenges of the South Sudanese context, the following recommendations are proposed:

1) For Policy Makers (Ministry of General Education and Instruction)

Infrastructure First: Prioritize the provision of solar-powered energy solutions and offline digital repositories (e.g., Rachel servers) for rural schools to improve the "Perceived Ease of Use" for teachers [9].

National ICT Standards: Establish a localized version of the Digital Competence Framework specifically for South Sudan primary schools to standardize what "literacy" means in a low-resource setting.

2) For Teacher Training Institutions

Beyond the Certificate: Update teacher training curricula to move from "Basic ICT Literacy" to "Digital Pedagogy," with a specific focus on underutilized areas like ICT-based formative assessment and e-learning platform management.

Gender-Targeted Training: Implement "Women in Digital Ed" mentorship programs to close the significant gap in technical ICT guidance and assessment skills identified among female teachers.

3) For School Leadership and NGOs

Peer-to-Peer Support: Create "ICT Lead Teacher" roles within schools to provide the "institutional support" that Abusim in [2] identified as crucial for moving from "rare" to "always" usage.

Subject-Specific Modules: Develop and distribute ICT integration guides specifically for Algebra and Science concepts, which the study identified as areas of weakness compared to Geometry and Life Skills.

Student-Centric Safety Training: Given student vulnerability to misinformation (211 check, 2020), schools should formalize "Digital Citizenship" as a core component of the Life Skills curriculum.

[2] H. A. Abusim, "Educational challenges in South Sudan," *Broken Chalk*, 2011.

[3] Education Development Center, *Using Technology for Social Development in South Sudan*. Education Development Center (EDC), 2011.

[4] World Vision, "Stepping into the future: Computer labs helping South Sudanese children get digital skills," World Vision, Apr. 10, 2018.

[5] F. D. Davis, "Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology," *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 319–339, 1989.

[6] UNESCO, "Safeguarding the future: How media and information literacy can curb online harm in South Sudan," UNESCO, Jun. 4, 2025.

[7] 211 Check, "Low social media literacy: A disaster that has left many South Sudanese scammed," 211 Check, Dec. 23, 2020.

[8] C. B. G. Thakdel, "Bridging the gap: Advancing science and technology education in South Sudan," *Right for Education*, Sep. 19, 2023.

[9] F. Gottschalk and C. Weise, Digital Equity and Inclusion in Education: An Overview of Practice and Policy in OECD Countries, OECD Education Working Papers, no. 299, 2023.

[10] E. B. Demissie, T. O. Labiso, and M. W. Thuo, "Teachers' digital competencies and technology integration in education: Insights from secondary schools in Wolaita Zone, Ethiopia," *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, vol. 6, no. 1, Art. no. 100355, 2022.

[11] T. P. Berke and J. Sell, "Educational challenges in South Sudan," in *Strategies, Policies, and Directions for Refugee Education*, 2018, pp. 101–116.

[12] O. Homonchuk, N. Wilson, T. Kelsall, and A. Fortacz, *Political Economy of Education System (In)coherence in South Sudan*, ERICC Working Paper, 2025.

[13] J. N. Hughes, W. Luo, O. M. Kwok, and L. K. Loyd, "Teacher-student support, effortful engagement, and achievement: A 3-year longitudinal study," *Journal of Educational Psychology*, vol. 100, no. 1, pp. 1–14, Feb. 2008.

[14] M. Landis, "Improving learning with constructivist technology tools," *Journal of Educational Technology*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 9–15, 2008.

[15] K. S. Taber, "The role of new educational technology in teaching and learning: A constructivist perspective on digital learning," in *Handbook on Digital Learning for K–12 Schools*, A. Marcus-Quinn and T. Hourigan, Eds. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing, 2017, pp. 397–412.

[16] Y. Shao, Y. Feng, X. Zhao, et al., "Teacher support and secondary school students' learning engagement: A moderated mediation model," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 15, Art. no. 2974, 2025.

References

[1] D. Falk, M. Mendenhall, C. Fabo, and G. Kebe, Teacher Management in Conflict and Crisis Settings: Addressing Resource Gaps and Enhancing Quality in Education. ERICC Policy Brief, Sep. 2024.

Appendix A

Teacher competencies in innovative ICT pedagogy

Items	Teacher General Competencies (TGC) for Integrating ICT into Teaching and Learning (Indicators)
1.	Effectively use digital tools and platforms to facilitate interactive lessons
2.	Incorporate online resources (eg, E-learning platforms) to enhance my lesson.
3.	Support students in developing digital literacy skills, including the responsible use of information on the internet.
4.	Apply ICT-based assessment tools to track student progress through formative feedback.
5.	Guide students in using ICT applications, such as word processors, spreadsheets, and presentation software, for learning.
Teacher Competence for Integrating ICT into Teaching and Learning of Mathematics, Science, and Life Skills (indicators)	
1.	Integrate ICT tools to enhance the teaching and learning of science concepts
2.	Integrate ICT in teaching Mathematics to improve learner engagement
3.	Effectively integrate ICT in science instruction to enhance student engagement
4.	Integrate digital skills (e.g., digital citizenship, digital literacy) into life skills instruction.
5.	Integrate ICT tools to enhance the teaching and learning of Geometry

Appendix B

Student Perception of Teacher competencies in innovative ICT pedagogy

Items	Student Perception on Teacher General Competencies (TGC) for Integrating ICT into Teaching and Learning (Indicators)
1	Our teacher uses digital tools and platforms to facilitate lessons
2	Our teacher incorporates online resources (e.g., e-learning platforms) to enhance the lesson
3	Our teacher supports students in developing digital literacy skills, including responsible use of internet information.
4	Our teacher applies ICT-based assessment tools to track our progress through formative feedback at the same time
5	Our teacher guides us in using ICT applications such as word processors, spreadsheets, and presentation software for learning purposes.
Student Perception on Teacher Competence for Integrating ICT into Teaching and learning of Mathematics, Science, and Life Skills (indicators)	
1	Integrate ICT tools to enhance the teaching and learning of science concepts
2	Integrate ICT in science instruction to enhance student engagement
3	Integrate ICT tools to enhance the teaching and learning of Mathematics
4	Integrate ICT in teaching mathematics to improve learner engagement
5	Integrate digital skills (e.g., digital citizenship, digital literacy) into life skills instruction