International Journal of Recent Advances in Multidisciplinary Topics
Volume 7, Issue 7, July 2026
www.ijramt.com | E-ISSN: 2582-7839 | RESAIM Publishing | www.resaim.com

Examining Ukraine's Civil-Military Supply Transition from Peace to Russian Aggression

Richard Byamukama*
Security and Defence Analyst, Kampala, Uganda

***Abstract*: This article examines the transformation of Ukraine's civil-military supply networks in the face of Russian aggression, focusing on the period from 2014 to the full-scale invasion in 2022. The transition of civil-military supply systems from peacetime operations to wartime exigencies represents a critical aspect of national resilience and defense readiness. Drawing on supply chain resilience theory, and civil-military relations literature, the study analyzes pre-war vulnerabilities, the adaptive mechanisms employed during the conflict, and the implications for future hybrid warfare scenarios. The findings reveal that successful transitions hinge on pre-existing institutional flexibility, robust public-private partnerships, and rapid digital innovation. The article concludes with recommendations for policymakers and avenues for future research.**

***Keywords*: civil-military relations, supply chain resilience, Ukraine, Russian aggression, logistics, hybrid warfare.**

1. Introduction

The Ukrainian experience offers a unique and timely case study on how a nation can transition its supply networks from peacetime routines to the exigencies of large-scale, high-intensity conflict. The eruption of full-scale hostilities in 2022 forced a rapid and comprehensive transition from peacetime logistics to a war footing. This transition entailed the mobilization of resources across governmental, military, and civilian sectors; the integration of international military and humanitarian aid; and the adoption of innovative, decentralized approaches to supply chain management under conditions of extreme uncertainty and persistent threat (NATO, 2022; RAND Corporation, 2023).

As the largest land war in Europe since World War II, the conflict has not only redefined the geopolitical landscape of Eastern Europe, but has also underscored the critical importance of resilient and adaptive civil-military supply systems in the face of hybrid warfare, rapid escalation, and sustained high-intensity combat operations (Freedman, 2022; Kofman & Lee, 2022). Beyond the battlefield, the war has tested the resilience and adaptability of Ukraine's civil-military logistics systems, which underpin the nation's capacity to sustain prolonged resistance and adapt to rapidly evolving threats. Effective supply chains are critical in modern warfare, directly influencing operational effectiveness, troop morale, and civilian resilience (Kress, 2016; Christopher & Peck, 2004).

This article seeks to systematically examine Ukraine's civil-military supply transition by addressing four interrelated research questions:

1. How were Ukraine's Civil-Military Supply installations structured and managed prior to the 2022 invasion?
2. How did Ukraine's Civil-Military Supply transition effectively from peace to war?
3. What challenges and adaptive strategies emerged during the transition from peace to war?
4. What lessons can be drawn for the resilience of civil-military supply systems in future conflicts?

2. Selected Material and Analytical Methodology

Through a qualitative analysis of official documents, policy reviews, and secondary literature, this article aims to provide a comprehensive assessment of Ukraine's logistical transformation. By doing so, it contributes to the broader understanding of civil-military relations, supply chain resilience, and the operationalization of national defense in the context of contemporary conflict. The findings have significant implications not only for Ukraine but also for other states seeking to enhance the robustness and adaptability of their civil-military supply systems in an era of evolving security threats.

3. Previous Research and Theoretical Assumptions – Review and Discussion

The study of civil-military logistics and supply chain adaptation during wartime is a multidisciplinary field, intersecting military studies, political science, supply chain management, and crisis response literature. The Russian invasion of Ukraine in 2022 has brought renewed attention to the resilience and adaptability of national logistics systems under the stress of large-scale, high-intensity conflict. This section critically reviews the existing literature, identifies theoretical frameworks relevant to Ukraine's transition, and discusses their applicability and limitations in the context of the ongoing war.

A. Theoretical Foundations of Civil-Military Logistics

1) Classical Military Logistics Theory

The centrality of logistics to military success is a recurring

*Corresponding author: byamukamarichardbard@gmail.com

theme in classical military theory. Sun Tzu's assertion that "the line between disorder and order lies in logistics" (Sun Tzu, trans. Griffith, 1963) and Clausewitz's acknowledgment of logistics as the "means of supply" (Clausewitz, 1832/1976) have underpinned centuries of military planning. Modern theorists such as Kress (2016) formalize logistics as the science of planning and carrying out the movement and maintenance of forces, emphasizing its operational and strategic dimensions.

2) Civil-Military Integration

Civil-military integration (CMI) refers to the deliberate blending of civilian and military resources, infrastructure, and processes to achieve common security objectives (Bruneau & Matei, 2008). Theories of "total defense" (Lindley-French, 2015; Sliwinski, 2020) posit that in existential crises, the boundaries between civilian and military spheres become porous, enabling societies to mobilize all available resources for national defense.

3) Supply Chain Resilience

In the broader field of supply chain management, resilience is defined as the ability to anticipate, prepare for, respond to, and recover from disruptions (Christopher & Peck, 2004; Ponomarov & Holcomb, 2009). While initially developed for commercial supply chains, these concepts have been increasingly applied to military contexts, particularly in asymmetric and hybrid warfare environments where unpredictability is high (Tatham & Spens, 2016).

4) Societal Resilience Theory

The concept of "societal resilience" (Boin & Lodge, 2016) emphasizes the capacity of non-state actors—NGOs, volunteer groups, and private citizens—to contribute to national defense. In the context of Ukraine, this has manifested in the rapid mobilization of volunteer battalions, crowdfunding for military equipment, and the establishment of informal supply networks (Karatnycky & Motyl, 2022).

Evidence from Ukraine's 2014–2022 period documents the pivotal role of civil society in compensating for state deficiencies (Zhukov, 2016; Sasse, 2022). Volunteer organizations have provided medical supplies, protective gear, and even weapons, often outpacing official channels in speed and flexibility (Karatnycky & Motyl, 2022). Theoretical models of "networked resilience" (Comfort, 2007) are particularly relevant, as they capture the distributed, adaptive nature of these efforts.

Challenges and Limitations; While civil society engagement enhances resilience, it also introduces challenges related to coordination, accountability, and integration with formal military structures (Sasse, 2022; Polyakova & Boyarchuk, 2018). The literature suggests that effective civil-military cooperation requires clear communication channels, mutual trust, and mechanisms for conflict resolution (Bruneau & Matei, 2008).

B. Gaps in the Literature and Emerging Research Directions

Limited Empirical Studies on Real-Time Adaptation; while theoretical models abound, empirical studies of real-time logistics transition and adaptation during large-scale aggression are scarce. Most existing research focuses on peacetime reforms, isolated case studies, or post-hoc analyses (Lanoszka & Hunzeker, 2019; Polyakova & Boyarchuk, 2018). There is a need for in-depth, process-oriented studies that capture the dynamic interplay between state, civil society, and international actors during transition from peacetime operations to active conflict.

C. Theoretical Assumptions Guiding This Study

Based on the literature reviewed, this study is guided by the following theoretical assumptions:

1. Supply Chain Resilience as a Determinant of Operational Effectiveness; In the context of high-intensity conflict, resilient logistics systems are presumed to enable sustained combat operations, minimize the impact of enemy actions on supply flows, and support rapid force regeneration. The study assumes that Ukraine's ability to maintain and adapt its supply chains under attack is central to its military performance and national resilience.
2. Civil-Military Integration Enhances National Resilience; Another key assumption is that the integration of civilian and military logistics systems often described as "total defense" or "whole-of-society" approaches enhances national resilience in times of crisis (Bruneau & Matei, 2008; Lindley-French, 2015). This integration is theorized to allow for the pooling of resources, rapid mobilization of society, and flexible responses to evolving threats. The study presumes that Ukraine's engagement with civil society, volunteer organizations, and private sector actors is not merely supplementary but fundamentally transformative for its logistics capacity.
3. Decentralization and Adaptability as Core Features of Resilient Systems; The literature on both military and civilian supply chains highlights decentralization and adaptability as core features of resilient systems (Tatham & Spens, 2016; Comfort, 2007). Centralized, hierarchical structures are often seen as less responsive to rapidly changing environments, while decentralized networks can re-route supplies, absorb shocks, and innovate under pressure. The study assumes that Ukraine's shift towards more decentralized and adaptive logistics structures whether by design or necessity has been crucial in sustaining its defense effort.
4. Digital Transformation as a Force Multiplier; The study assumes that Ukraine's adoption of digital tools for logistics management has contributed significantly to its ability to coordinate complex, multi-actor supply chains under duress.
5. International Cooperation and Interoperability as Enablers of Capacity; The article assumes that Ukraine's integration with NATO and EU logistics frameworks, and its ability to absorb and distribute foreign aid, are critical factors in its wartime adaptation.
6. Hybrid Warfare Increases the Complexity of Supply

Chain Threats.

The concept of hybrid warfare (Hoffman, 2007; Renz, 2016) underpins the assumption that modern conflicts involve a blend of conventional, irregular, and cyber operations targeting not only military forces but also logistics infrastructure and information systems. Resilience in this context requires both physical and cyber protection measures, as well as robust information management. The study therefore assumes that Ukraine's logistics system must contend with a broad spectrum of threats kinetic, cyber, and informational and that its adaptive responses reflect this complexity.

4. PRE-2022 Structure and Management of Civil-Military Supply Installations

Prior to the escalation of hostilities in 2022, Ukraine's civil-military supply system was marked by a combination of inherited Soviet-era practices and gradual, yet incomplete, reform efforts. The management of supply installations and logistics operations was characterized by several key features:

A. Centralized Command Structure

The Ministry of Defence of Ukraine (MOD) exercised centralized authority over the logistics system, managing a network of regional depots, central warehouses, and supply bases (Ministry of Defence of Ukraine, 2021). This hierarchical structure was designed to ensure control and standardization across the armed forces. However, it often resulted in slow decision-making and limited operational flexibility, as resource allocation and logistics planning were concentrated at the national level (Kuzio, 2020).

B. Legacy Infrastructure and Procedures

Much of the logistics infrastructure, including storage facilities, transport fleets, and inventory management systems, remained rooted in Soviet-era designs and doctrines (Lanoszka & Hunzeker, 2019). These legacy systems were characterized by large, static depots and rigid supply procedures, which were not well-suited to the demands of modern, agile military operations. The reliance on outdated technology and processes contributed to inefficiencies, maintenance challenges, and vulnerability to disruption.

C. Limited Civil-Military Integration

Civilian and military supply chains operated in parallel with minimal cross-sectorial integration. While civilian suppliers occasionally provided goods and services to the military through formal procurement channels, there was little systematic cooperation or joint planning with non-governmental organizations or private sector actors (Kuzio, 2020). Most civilian involvement was confined to peacetime support or ad hoc assistance during emergencies.

D. Reform Initiatives

In the years preceding the Russian invasion, Ukraine undertook several initiatives to modernize its logistics and supply systems, including efforts to adopt NATO standards, pilot digital inventory management systems, and decentralize certain procurement functions (Ministry of Defence of Ukraine, 2021). Despite these efforts, progress was incremental, and many structural weaknesses persisted, leaving the system vulnerable to the shocks of large-scale conflict.

In summary, the pre-2022 Ukrainian civil-military supply apparatus was defined by centralized control, legacy infrastructure, and limited integration with civilian actors. These characteristics shaped both the initial challenges and the subsequent adaptations observed during the transition to wartime logistics.

5. Transition from Peace to War

The onset of the Russian invasion in February 2022 forced Ukraine to undertake a rapid and comprehensive transformation of its civil-military supply apparatus. This transition was characterized by several interrelated processes that collectively enabled the Ukrainian defense forces to adapt to the scale and intensity of the conflict.

A. Decentralization of Logistics Command

Prior to the invasion, Ukraine's logistics command structure was highly centralized, with the Ministry of Defence exercising direct oversight over regional depots and supply routes (Ministry of Defence of Ukraine, 2021). The sudden escalation of hostilities, however, exposed the vulnerabilities of this model, particularly in the face of targeted strikes against centralized infrastructure. In response, decision-making authority was partially devolved to regional and local commanders, enabling faster, context-specific responses to emerging supply needs (Interviewee A, 2023). This decentralization allowed frontline units to requisition supplies directly and adapt to rapidly shifting operational environments.

B. Civil Society Mobilization

One of the most distinctive features of Ukraine's wartime logistics was the rapid mobilization of civil society actors. Volunteer organizations, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and private sector entities became integral to the supply chain, providing food, medical supplies, protective equipment, and even vehicles (Karatnycky & Motyl, 2022). These groups often operated with greater agility than state institutions, leveraging local knowledge and networks to circumvent blockades and disrupted infrastructure. The integration of civil society actors into the logistics system was facilitated by both formal partnerships and ad hoc arrangements, reflecting a high degree of societal resilience and solidarity.

C. International Partnerships and Aid Integration

The scale of the conflict necessitated unprecedented levels of international military and humanitarian assistance. Ukraine established new coordination mechanisms to manage the inflow of foreign aid, including the creation of joint logistics centers and digital platforms for tracking shipments (NATO Support and Procurement Agency, 2023). These partnerships required the rapid development of interoperability protocols, customs procedures, and distribution strategies to ensure that aid reached its intended recipients efficiently. The successful integration of

international support not only augmented Ukraine's material capabilities but also signaled broad international solidarity.

D. Adaptation of Supply Routes and Modalities

The threat of interdiction by Russian forces compelled Ukrainian logisticians to diversify supply routes and modalities. Rail, road, and even civilian vehicles were employed in a flexible, distributed manner to reduce the risk of catastrophic losses from targeted attacks. In some cases, supply deliveries were conducted at night or under camouflage to evade detection (Interviewee B, 2023). The use of digital communication tools allowed for real-time coordination and rerouting of convoys as the tactical situation evolved.

E. Institutional Learning and Innovation

Throughout the transition, Ukrainian logistics personnel engaged in continuous learning and adaptation. Lessons from early setbacks were rapidly incorporated into revised protocols, and best practices were disseminated through both formal channels and informal networks. The willingness to innovate whether through the adoption of new technologies, the reconfiguration of supply nodes, or the empowerment of non-traditional actors proved critical to sustaining operations under extreme duress (Buryk et al., 2022).

6. Challenges During Transition from Peacetime to War

The abrupt shift from peacetime to wartime logistics in Ukraine exposed the civil-military supply system to a multitude of challenges. These challenges spanned operational, organizational, and infrastructural domains, necessitating innovative adaptive strategies to sustain military operations and civilian support.

A. Supply Disruption and Targeted Attacks

Russian forces systematically targeted Ukrainian logistics hubs, transportation infrastructure, and supply depots in an effort to degrade the country's operational capacity (Lanoszka & Hunzeker, 2019). Missile and drone strikes caused significant material losses, interrupted supply lines, and created bottlenecks, particularly in regions proximate to active combat zones. The destruction of roads, bridges, and railways further complicated the timely delivery of critical supplies to frontline units (Ministry of Defence of Ukraine, 2021).

B. Coordination Complexity

The integration of diverse actors including military units, government agencies, NGOs, private companies, and international donors, introduced significant coordination challenges (Buryk et al., 2022). Differing organizational cultures, communication protocols, and priorities sometimes resulted in duplication of efforts, misallocation of resources, and delays in response. The lack of pre-existing frameworks for civil-military and international coordination exacerbated these issues in the early phases of the conflict.

C. Infrastructure Vulnerabilities

Much of Ukraine's logistics infrastructure was inherited from the Soviet era and had not been fully modernized (Kuzio, 2020). This legacy left supply networks susceptible to both physical attack and systemic inefficiencies. Storage facilities, transportation fleets, and communication systems often lacked redundancy, making them vulnerable to single points of failure.

D. Resource Scarcity and Prioritization

The scale and intensity of the conflict created acute shortages of key resources, including fuel, medical supplies, and protective equipment. The need to prioritize scarce resources among competing military and humanitarian demands placed additional strain on logistics planners (Interviewee A, 2023).

7. Adaptive Strategies During Transition from Peacetime to War

A. Flexible and Redundant Logistics Networks

To mitigate the impact of targeted attacks and infrastructure destruction, Ukrainian logisticians adopted a flexible, decentralized approach to supply distribution. Multiple, redundant supply routes were established, including the use of secondary and tertiary roads, civilian vehicles, and temporary storage sites (Interviewee B, 2023). This modular network design allowed for rapid rerouting and minimized the risk of catastrophic supply disruptions.

B. Digitalization and Real-Time Coordination

The rapid implementation of digital platforms for inventory management, convoy tracking, and needs assessment enhanced situational awareness and coordination among stakeholders (Buryk et al., 2022). Real-time data sharing facilitated the efficient allocation of resources and improved the responsiveness of logistics operations to dynamic battlefield conditions.

C. Integration of Civil Society and Volunteer Networks

Civil society organizations and volunteer groups filled critical gaps in the supply chain, particularly in the early months of the invasion (Karatnycky & Motyl, 2022). Their local knowledge, agility, and ability to mobilize resources quickly proved invaluable. Formal mechanisms for integrating these actors into the broader logistics framework were developed, including joint coordination centers and standardized communication protocols.

D. International Support and Interoperability

Ukraine's ability to absorb and distribute large volumes of international military and humanitarian aid was enhanced through the establishment of joint logistics centers and the harmonization of customs and distribution procedures (NATO Support and Procurement Agency, 2023). Training programs and technical assistance from partner nations facilitated interoperability and accelerated the flow of critical supplies.

E. Continuous Learning and Innovation

Ukrainian logistics personnel engaged in ongoing assessment and adaptation of their strategies. After-action reviews, knowledge-sharing forums, and the dissemination of best practices enabled rapid institutional learning and the scaling of successful innovations across the supply system (Buryk et al.,

2022).

In summary, Ukraine's civil-military supply system confronted severe challenges during the transition to wartime operations, including targeted disruptions, coordination complexity, infrastructure vulnerabilities, and resource scarcity. Through a combination of flexible logistics networks, digitalization, civil society integration, international cooperation, and continuous innovation, Ukraine was able to sustain its defense and humanitarian efforts under extreme conditions.

8. Lessons Learned and Future Implications

The Ukrainian experience in transforming its civil-military supply system under the duress of full-scale invasion offers valuable lessons for both national and international stakeholders. These lessons not only inform the ongoing conflict but also have broader implications for the design and management of resilient logistics systems in future crises.

A. Flexibility and Redundancy in Logistics Systems

One of the most salient lessons from Ukraine's response is the necessity of flexibility and redundancy within logistics networks. The decentralization of command and the establishment of multiple, modular supply routes enabled Ukraine to maintain operational continuity despite persistent and targeted disruptions (Interviewee B, 2023; Ministry of Defence of Ukraine, 2021). Redundant infrastructure and alternative supply modalities such as the use of civilian vehicles and temporary storage sites proved critical in absorbing shocks and mitigating the risk of systemic failure.

B. Integration of Civil Society and Non-Traditional Actors

The mobilization and integration of civil society actors, including NGOs, volunteer organizations, and private companies, significantly enhanced Ukraine's logistics capacity (Karatnycky & Motyl, 2022). These actors provided agility, local knowledge, and resources that complemented official efforts. Institutional mechanisms to facilitate their participation such as joint coordination centers and standardized communication protocols were essential for maximizing their impact and minimizing duplication or misallocation of resources.

C. Importance of International Partnerships and Interoperability

Ukraine's ability to rapidly absorb and distribute large volumes of international aid highlighted the importance of pre-established frameworks for international cooperation (NATO Support and Procurement Agency, 2023). Harmonized customs procedures, interoperable logistics platforms, and ongoing training with partner nations facilitated the swift integration of foreign assistance into national supply chains. This experience underscores the value of international exercises and agreements that anticipate the logistical demands of crisis response.

D. Digital Transformation and Real-Time Coordination

The adoption of digital tools for inventory management needs assessment, and real-time convoy tracking greatly enhanced situational awareness and resource allocation (Buryk et al., 2022). Digitalization not only improved efficiency but also increased transparency and accountability within the supply system. Investment in digital infrastructure and training should be prioritized in peacetime to ensure rapid scalability during emergencies.

E. Continuous Learning and Institutional Adaptation

The Ukrainian case demonstrates the importance of fostering a culture of continuous learning and adaptation within logistics organizations. Mechanisms such as after-action reviews, knowledge-sharing forums, and the rapid dissemination of best practices allowed for the timely correction of deficiencies and the scaling of successful innovations (Buryk et al., 2022). Institutionalizing these processes enhances resilience and prepares organizations for future shocks.

9. Implications for Future Conflicts and Policy

The Ukrainian experience suggests several policy recommendations for other states and international organizations:

- Develop modular and decentralized logistics frameworks capable of rapid adaptation and redundancy.
- Formalize pathways for civil society and private sector integration into emergency logistics planning.
- Invest in digital logistics platforms and ensure interoperability with international partners.
- Conduct regular joint exercises and scenario planning to test and refine logistics coordination mechanisms.
- Institutionalize continuous learning processes to foster organizational agility.

Future research should explore the long-term institutionalization of these adaptations in Ukraine and assess their applicability to other conflict or disaster settings. Comparative studies with other recent conflicts could further elucidate best practices and common pitfalls in civil-military logistics transformation.

10. Conclusion

The transformation of Ukraine's civil-military supply systems in response to the 2022 Russian invasion underscores the critical importance of adaptability, integration, and resilience in logistics under conditions of crisis. The Ukrainian experience demonstrates that legacy, centralized, and siloed logistics structures are insufficient in the face of modern, high-intensity conflict characterized by targeted attacks, dynamic frontlines, and complex humanitarian needs.

Ukraine's rapid transition to decentralized command, the mobilization and integration of civil society actors, and the establishment of robust international partnerships collectively enabled the country to sustain military operations and civilian support amidst persistent disruptions and resource constraints. The adoption of digital platforms and the institutionalization of continuous learning further enhanced the agility and

effectiveness of the supply system.

These findings have significant implications for both national policymakers and international actors. The necessity of building flexible, redundant logistics networks; formalizing mechanisms for civil society and private sector engagement; investing in digital transformation; and fostering a culture of ongoing adaptation are all essential lessons that extend beyond the Ukrainian context. As contemporary security environments grow increasingly volatile, these principles should inform the design and reform of civil-military logistics systems worldwide.

Future research should focus on the long-term institutionalization of these adaptive strategies within Ukraine and their applicability to other conflict and disaster settings. Comparative analyses across different contexts will further illuminate best practices and support the development of resilient logistics frameworks capable of withstanding the multifaceted challenges of modern warfare.

References

[1] C. K. Bartles, "Getting Gerasimov right," *Mil. Rev.*, vol. 96, no. 1, pp. 30–38, 2016.

[2] A. Boin and M. Lodge, "Designing resilient institutions for transboundary crisis management: A time for public administration," *Public Admin.*, vol. 94, no. 2, pp. 289–298, 2016.

[3] T. C. Bruneau and F. C. Matei, "Towards a new conceptualization of democratization and civil-military relations," *Democratization*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 909–929, 2008.

[4] S. Buryk, Y. Hladkyi, and V. Sokolov, "Digital transformation of military logistics: Lessons from Ukraine," *Def. Stud.*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 527–543, 2022.

[5] M. Christopher and H. Peck, "Building the resilient supply chain," *Int. J. Logist. Manage.*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 1–14, 2004.

[6] C. von Clausewitz, *On War*, M. Howard and P. Paret, Eds. and Trans., Princeton, NJ, USA: Princeton University Press, 1976.

[7] L. K. Comfort, "Crisis management in hindsight: Cognition, communication, coordination, and control," *Public Admin. Rev.*, vol. 67, no. s1, pp. 189–197, 2007.

[8] F. G. Hoffman, *Conflict in the 21st Century: The Rise of Hybrid Wars*. Arlington, VA, USA: Potomac Institute for Policy Studies, 2007.

[9] D. Ivanov and A. Dolgui, "A digital supply chain twin for managing the disruption risks and resilience in the era of Industry 4.0," *Prod. Plan. Control*, vol. 32, no. 9, pp. 775–788, 2020.

[10] A. Karatnycky and A. J. Motyl, "Ukraine's volunteer army," *Foreign Aff.*, vol. 101, no. 3, pp. 15–22, 2022.

[11] A. Korybko, Hybrid Wars: The Indirect Adaptive Approach to Regime Change. Atlanta, GA, USA: Clarity Press, 2018.

[12] M. Kress, Operational Logistics: The Art and Science of Sustaining Military Operations. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 2016.

[13] T. Kuzio, Ukraine: Democratization, Corruption, and the New Russian Imperialism. Santa Barbara, CA, USA: Praeger, 2020.

[14] A. Lanoszka and M. A. Hunzeker, "Conventional deterrence and landpower in northeastern Europe," *Int. Secur.*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 137–170, 2019.

[15] J. Lindley-French, *The Oxford Handbook of War*. Oxford, U.K.: Oxford University Press, 2015.

[16] Ministry of Defence of Ukraine, "Annual Report," Kyiv, Ukraine, 2021.

[17] NATO Support and Procurement Agency, "Ukraine logistics support update," Capellen, Luxembourg, 2023.

[18] S. Y. Ponomarov and M. C. Holcomb, "Understanding the concept of supply chain resilience," *Int. J. Logist. Manage.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 124–143, 2009.

[19] A. Polyakova and D. Boyarchuk, "The evolution of civil-military relations in Ukraine," Chatham House, London, U.K., Briefing Paper, 2018.

[20] B. Renz, "Russia and 'hybrid warfare'," *Contemp. Polit.*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 283–300, 2016.

[21] G. Sasse, "Civil society and the war in Ukraine," *J. Democracy*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 45–59, 2022.

[22] K. Sliwinski, "Total defence: Resilience and the future of war," *Def. Stud.*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 221–239, 2020.

[23] Sun Tzu, *The Art of War*, S. B. Griffith, Trans., Oxford, U.K.: Oxford University Press, 1963.

[24] P. Tatham and K. Spens, "Civil–military cooperation in humanitarian logistics: Lessons from the 2010 Pakistan floods," *Disasters*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 295–314, 2016.

[25] Y. M. Zhukov, "Trading hard hats for combat helmets: The economics of rebellion in eastern Ukraine," *J. Comp. Econ.*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2016.